



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2015_AIR_18480
Title of the Manuscript:	A MODEL FOR CALCULATING THE MACHINING TIME OF A LASER CUTTING MACHINE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	the state of the art is very low, although the author present the laser cutting technique, hands it did not show the methods and techniques used to model the laser cutting time, bibliographic references are too old (1993-2004) only references in 2009. The most of these references are not in the indexed or archived Literatures databases. The method used to model the cutting time of the machining of a laser cutting machine is very simple dedicated for simple cases.	The references are previous work carried out by expert in the field with facts; in our own opinion, their contributions remain valid, the years notwithstanding.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In the Reviewer opinion the paper contains poor contribution to the field. The fundamental basis of the paper is not interesting with potential interest to the community;	