

**Editor's Comment:**

Overall the revised manuscript is considerably better than the original draft. Although 4 of the reviewers have acknowledged that the revised manuscript should be accepted for publication, I do feel that the authors need to address the concerns expressed by the one reviewer who suggested changes be made before publication:

- ☐ Introduction: There was not an improvement regarding the novelty of the study?
- ☐ Methods have improved there is no information regarding sample power? The cutoffs of BP and BMI are based in what authors?
- ☐ Results: There is no information about blood pressure
- ☐ Discussion: This part is still critical. What are the min gains of the study? Are there any limitations? And strengths?
- ☐ English should be improved throughout the manuscript.

I do recommend that these revisions be made to the manuscript before it can be published.

**Editor's Details:**

Dr. Dale A. Quattrochi  
Senior Research Scientist, NASA, ZP11/Earth Science Office, Marshall Space Flight Center  
Huntsville, AL 35812, USA