Original Research Article

EFFECT OF DIFFERENT LAND PREPARATION METHODS FOR SAWAH SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT ON SOIL PRODUCTIVITY IMPROVEMENT AND RICE GRAIN YIELD IN INLAND VALLEYS OF SOUTHEASTERN NIGERIA

7 8 9 **ABSTRACT**

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

The development of agriculture in inland valleys of Southeastern Nigeria could not be realized merely due to inability of the farmers to develop these potential and abundant inland valleys for such water loving crops like rice using appropriate water management systems.

In an attempt to replicate the successful Japanese Satoyama watershed management model in the African agro-ecosystems, sawah rice cultivation technology has been introduced to farmers' fields. A study was conducted in an inland valley at Akaeze, Ivo Local Government Area of Ebonyi State, Southeastern Nigeria, in 2012, 2013 and 2014 cropping seasons using the same watershed and treatments, to assess the effects of different tillage environments and different amendments in sawah water management system on soil chemical properties and rice grain yield. Sawah described as an Indo-Malaysian word for padi, refers to leveled rice field surrounded by bunds with inlets and outlets for irrigation and drainage. A split- plot in a randomized complete block design was used to evaluate these two factors. The four tillage environments (complete sawah tillage- bunded, puddled and leveled rice field (CST); farmers tillage environment- no bunding and leveling rice field (FTE); incomplete sawah tillagebundding with little leveling and puddling rice field (ICST) and partial sawah tillage- bunding with no puddling and leveling rice field (PST)) for rice growing served as main plots. - The amendments, which constituted the sub-plots, were applied in the following forms: 10 t ha⁻¹ rice husk ash, 10 t ha⁻¹ of rice husk, 400 kgha⁻¹ of N.P.K. 20:10:10, 10 t ha⁻¹ of poultry droppings, and 0 t_ha⁻¹ (control). The additive residual effects of the amendments were not studied in the course of this research. A bulk soil sample was collected at 0-20 cm depth in the location before tillage and amendments for initial soil characteristics. At each harvest, another set of soil sample was -collected on different treated plots to ascertain the changes that occurred in the soil due to treatments application. Selected soil chemical properties analyzed include; soil pH, OC, total nitrogen, exchangeable bases (Na⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺ and K⁺) and CEC, while the rice grain yields was also measured at each harvest. The soil amendments were analyzed for N. P. K. Ca. Mg. Na. and organic carbon. Data collected were subjected to statistical analysis using Genstat 3 7.2 Edition. Results showed that the soil pH, organic carbon (OC) and total nitrogen (TN) including the exchangeable bases were significantly (p < 0.05) improved by different tillage parameters for the three years of study. CEC was significantly (p < 0.05) improved by the tillage environments on the 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} year of studies. Soil amendments significantly (p < 0.05) improved the soil pH, OC, TN and all the exchangeable bases within the periods of study. The interaction significantly (p < 0.05) improved the soil exchangeable Ca^{2+} and Mg²⁺ on the third year of study. The result showed a significant improvement on the rice grain yield by the tillage environments and amendments within the periods of study. It was also obtained that all the sawah adopted tillage environments positively improved both the soil parameters and rice grain yield relatively higher than the farmers' tillage environment.

Key words: *sawah*, tillage environment, water management, amendments, rice grain yield, soil properties **INTRODUCTION**

Increasing food production -to overcome food insecurity is one major challenge facing Nigeria today. Nigeria is a country that is well blessed with adequate rainfall and -abundant inland valleys for cropping. Despite -these abundant inland valleys in Nigeria, especially in the Southeast for Agricultural use, these areas have not been fully exploited.

50 | Soil fertility degradation and inefficient weed and water control have been the limiting factors to the proper utilization of these inland valleys for sustainable rice-based cropping [1 – 4].

The soils of Southeastern Nigeria especially that—of Ebonyi State is low in fertility. The soils have been observed to be acidic, low in organic matter status, cation exchange capacity and other essential nutrients [5 – 9]. Researches on the interaction of organic and inorganic manure with water control systems to improve soil chemical properties in rice sawah management system have not received much attention in Nigeria.

Determining appropriate fertility, weed and water management practices could lead to improved and sustainable crop yields in these areas. An African adaptive *sawah* lowland farming with irrigation scheme for integrated watershed management will be the most encouraging strategy to resolve these problems and restore the degraded inland valleys of these areas for increased and sustainable food production [10 – 12]. With the introduction of the *sawah* rice production technology to Nigeria in the late 1990s and its high compatibility with our inland valleys, the position of these land resources in our agricultural development in Southeastern Nigeria and realization of food security is increasingly becoming clearer Obalum *et al.* [13].

The problem with the full adoption of the technology in this part of the country is that farmers still rely more on their traditional method of water control. They do not know much about the field preparation as to incorporate the components of the technology into their rice farming land operation. Farmers need to know that rice field environment determines how soil fertility, weed and water control can best be managed for optimum rice production.

Andriesse, [14] noted that in order to realize and sustain the potential benefits accruable from cultivating the inland valleys of West Africa, much of the research effort in these land resources is geared towards alleviating productivity constraints.

Sawah has been described severally as an *Indo-Malaysian* word for *padi* (Malay word for paddy) or lowland rice management system comprising bunding, puddling, levelling and good water management through irrigation and drainage [15].

Sawah system through its control/ maintenance of field surface water level during plant growth period, contribute to the alleviation of global warming problems through the fixation of carbon in forest and sawah soils in ecologically sustainable ways.

It restores/replenishes the lowland with nutrients through geological fertilization as it resists erosion. The mechanisms in *sawah* system of nutrient replenishments in lowlands through geological fertilization encourage not only rice growth, but also the breeding of various microbes, which improves biological nitrogen fixation [16].

In southeastern Nigeria, especially Ebonyi State, activities aimed at ensuring food security include the cultivation of rice in the numerous inland valleys in the area under the traditional and partial *sawah* tillage systems. The impacts of full adoptions of the complete *sawah* tillage system (in which puddling is a key soil management practice) in terms of soil fertility improvement and crop yield have not been studied.

This study aims at bridging the gaps in knowledge of appropriate sawah tillage methods for the development of suitable sawah environment in inland valley rice production and soil fertility maintenance among the rice farmers in Nigeria. It also aimed at assessing different soil amendments using different ploughing (tillage environments) to sawah technology for appropriate fertility, rice and water management in inland valleys of Southeastern Nigeria.

2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Location of Study

The study was conducted in 2012, 2013 and 2014 on the floodplain of Ivo River in Akaeze, Ebonyi South agro-ecological zone of Ebonyi State.



98 Figure 1: Arial photograph of study area

Akaeze lies -at approximately latitude 05⁰ 56 N and longitude 07⁰ 41 E. The annual rainfall for the area is 1,350 mm, spread from April to October with average air temperature of 29° C [17]. The area falls within the derived savanna of Southeastern Nigeria -with a low-lying and undulating relief. The geology of the area comprises sequences of sandy shales, with fine grained micaceous sandstones and mudstones that is Albian in age and belongs to the Asu River Group [18].

The soils are described as Aeric Tropoaquent [19] or Gleyic Cambisol [20]. Soils are mainly used by the farmers for rain-fed rice production during the rainy seasons and vegetable production as the rain subsides.

2.2 Field method

The experimental field was demarcated into four main plots where the four different tillage practices were adopted. A composite sample was collected at 0- 20 cm soil depth using soil auger for initial soil characteristics (Table 1). Out of the four main plots, three were later divided into sub-plots with a 0.6 m raised bunds. In these plots, the water level was controlled at an approximate level of between 5 cm to 10 cm from 2 weeks after transplanting to the time of ripening of the rice grains, while in unbunded plots that represent the farmers' traditional field; water was allowed to flow in and out as it comes, as described below:

The four tillage practices which represented the 4 main plots include;

- Main plot I; Complete sawah tillage: bunded, puddle and leveled rice field (CST)
- Main plot II; Incomplete sawah tillage: bunded and puddle with minimum leveling rice field (ICST)
- Main plot III; Partial sawah tillage: bunded, no puddling and leveling rice field (PST)
 - Main plot IV; Farmers tillage practice: no bunding, puddling and leveling rice field (FTE)

The complete and incomplete sawah tillage practices were tilled with power-tiller according to the specification of the tillage practice; the rest of other tillage practices were manually tilled using the specifications stated above.

The sub-plots demarcated from the main-plots with 0.6 m raised bunds were treated with soil amendments. A split-plot in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) was used to arrange the treatments in the sub-plots. The amendments were as follows:

- Poultry droppings (PD) @ 10 ton/ha
- NPK fertilizer (20:10:10) (NPK) @ 400 kg/ha recommended rate for rice in the zones.
- Rice husk ash (RHA) @ 10 ton/ha obtain within the vicinity

- ——Rice husk (RH) @ 10ton/ha, also obtained within the vicinity
- Control (CT no soil amendment)

132 133 134

Table 1: Initial properties of the topsoil of the studied site (0-20 cm) before tilling and treatments application

Soil Property	Value	
Clay (%)	10	
Silt (%)	21	
Total sand (%)	69	
Textural class	SL	
Organic matter %	2.64	
Organic carbon % (OC)	1.61	
Total nitrogen % (N)	0.091	
pH (H₂O)	3.6 (?)	
pH (KCI)	3.0	
Exchangeable bases (cmolkg ⁻¹)		
Sodium (Na)	0.15	
Potassium (K)	0.04	
Calcium (Ca)	1.0	
Magnesium (Mg)	0.6	
Cation exchange capacity (CEC)	5.6	
Exchangeable acidity (EA)	3.2	
Available phosphorous (mg/kg)	4.20	
Base saturation (BS)	24.70	

L = Loamy soil; SL = Sandy-loam soil

135 136 137

Table 2: Nutrient compositions (%) in the amendments

Amendment

	Poultry dropping (PD)	Rice husk (RH)	Rice husk ash (RHA)
OC	16.52	33.75	3.89
N	2.10	0.70	0.056
Na	0.34	0.22	0.33
K	0.48	0.11	1.77
Ca	14.4	0.36	1.4
Mg	1.2	0.38	5.0
Ρ̈́	2.55	0.49	11.94
C:N	7.87	48.21	6.71

OC = Organic carbon; N = Nitrogen; Na = Sodium; K = Potassium; Ca = Calcium; Mg = Magnesium; P = Phosphorous; C:N = Carbon: Nitrogen ratio

140

141

142

143

144

145

The treatments were replicated three times in each of the four main-plots to give a total of twenty subplots in each of the main-plot, with each sub-plot measuring 6 m x 6 m. The PD, RHA and RH were incorporated manually into the top 20 cm soil depth using hand fork in each of the plots that received them 2 weeks before the transplanting was done. The nutrient contents of these organic amendments were determined as presented in Table 2.

146 147 148

A high-tillering and yielding rice variety Oryza sativa var. FARO 52 (WITA 4) was used as a test crop for the study. The rice seeds were first raised in the nursery and later transplanted to the main field after 3 weeks in nursery. At maturity, the rice were harvested, threshed, dried and the yield weight was computed at 90% dry matter content (10% moisture content). At the end of each harvest, another set of soil samples were collected from each replicate of every plot for chemical analyses to determine the changes that occurred in the soil due to the -amendments.

150 151

149

152153 **2.3 Laboratory Analysis**

- Auger samples were collected from all the identified sampling points from the top (0–20 cm) soil in triplicates at each harvest.
- The auger topsoil samples were air-dried and sieved with 2 mm sieve. Soil fractions less than 2 mm from individual samples were then analyzed using the following methods; Particle size distribution of less than 2 mm fine earth fractions was measured by the hydrometer method as described by Gee and Bauder [21]. Soil pH was measured in a 1:2.5 soil:0.1 M KCl suspensions (22). The soil OC was determined by
- the Walkley and Black method described by Nelson and Sommers [23]. Total nitrogen was determined by
- semi-micro kjeldahl digestion method using sulphuric acid and CuSO₄ and Na₂SO₄ catalyst mixture [24].
- Exchangeable cations were determined by the method of Thomas [25]. CEC was determined by the method described by Rhoades [26].

164165**2.4 Data analysis**

170

171

172

173

174 175

176

177 178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192 193

194

195

196

197

198 199

200

- Data analysis was performed using GENSTAT 3 7.2 Edition. Treatment means were separated and compared using Least Significant Difference (LSD) and all inferences were
- made at 5% Level of probability.

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effects of sawah tillage environments and amendments on the soil pH

The results of soil pH (Table 3) revealed that there was significant difference (P<0.05) among the sawah tillage environment. The results (Table 3) indicated that among the tillage environments, complete sawah tillage environment significantly increased the soil pH in all the 2nd and 3rd year of study. The pH values varied from 3.79 - 4.02, 4.30 - 4.64, 4.47 - 4.83 (farmers' - complete sawah tillage environment) in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd year of study, respectively. It was noted from the results that farmers tillage environment generally performed statistically (p < 0.05) lower relatively to other sawah tillage environment for the three years of study. The increased pH values in complete sawah tillage environment could be attributed to the geological fertilization with materials from the upland region that are later moved into the rice field, thereby increasing the base saturation of the soil, hence improvement in the pH of the soil. This agreed with Wakatsuki et al. [27] and Fashola et al. [28] who affirmed that fertile topsoil formed in forest ecosystem and sedimentation of the eroded topsoil in lowland sawah is the geological fertilization process. Generally, the significant improvement made in pH of the studied soil by the complete sawah tillage environments where water is ponded could also be linked to the findings of Russel [29], that the pH of a submerged soil usually rises, but where the temperature of the soil, the amount of reducible substances, or the amount of ferric iron is too low to produce sufficient ferrous iron for the buffering to become operatives, the pH may tend to decrease.

- Nwite *et al.* [9] remarked that pH increased significantly in *sawah* water managed system in a two year of study to evaluate *sawah* and non-*sawah* water management systems in a similar location.
- The soil pH was improved significantly (p < 0.05) higher in soils treated with rice husk ash in all the sawah tillage including the farmers' tillage environment for the three years of study. The values ranged from 3.57 4.30, 3.50 4.84 and 3.73 5.03, in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd year of study, respectively. The significant improvement made by RHA on pH is in conformity with the findings of Abyhammer *et al.* [30]; Markikainen, [31] and Nwite *et al.* [12]; who stated that ash amendment could induce a pH increase by as much as 0.6 1.0 units in humus soils. Generally, the result showed that soils treated with amendments increased pH significantly higher than untreated for period of study. This result is in conformity with the finding of Opara-Nnadi *et al.* [32] who reported pH increase following the application of organic wastes.

Table 3: Effects of Tillage environments and amendments soil pH

Sawah Tillage Amendments

environments						
	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
	'ear 1					
Complete	3.6	3.7	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.02
Incomplete	3.6	3.9	4.3	3.8	4.4	4.01
Partial	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.9	4.3	3.88
Farmer	3.5	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.79
Mean	3.57	3.84	3.97	3.93	4.30	
LSD (0.05) Tillag	e environmen	ts	N	IS		
LSD (0.05) Amer			0	.1789		
LSD (0.05) Tillag	e environmen	ts x Amendm	ents 0.	3553		
	Year 2					
Complete	3.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	5.1	4.64
Incomplete	3.4	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.9	4.51
Partial	3.4	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.42
Farmer	3.4	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.30
Mean	3.50	4.68	4.68	4.63	4.84	
LSD (0.05) Tillag	e environmen	ts	0.	1182		
LSD (0.05) Amer	ndment		0	.0897		
LSD (0.05) Tillag	e environmen	ts x Amendm	ents N	S		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Year 3					
Complete	4.0	5.0	4.9	4.9	5.3	4.83
Incomplete	3.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	5.0	4.65
Partial	3.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.61
Farmer	3.5	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.47
Mean	3.73	4.83	4.83	4.97	5.03	
LSD (0.05) Tillag	e environmen	ts	0.	1952		
LSD (0.05) Amer	ndment		0	.1230		
LSD (0.05) Tillag	e environmen	ts x Amendm	ents N	S		

CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash, NS = non-significant.

3.2 Effects of sawah tillage environments and amendments on the soil organic carbon (SOC)

It was also observed that sawah tillage environments significantly (p < 0.05) affected soil organic carbon (SOC) pool higher compared to farmers' tillage method (Table 4). The results (Table 4) showed that complete sawah tillage environment significantly (p < 0.05) improved the soil organic carbon pool over other sawah tillage environments. 0.92 – 1.34, 1.03 – 1.47, 1.06 – 1.51 range values were obtained in the first, second and third year, farmers' to complete tillage field, respectively. This could be attributed to finer fractions that were formed after the destruction of the soil structure due to puddling in the complete sawah tillage environment [13]. This shows the superiority of sawah eco-technology if the whole components are fully employed on sawah farming operations. It is also significant in harnessing the health conditions of the soil and reduction in global warming. Hirose and Wakatsuki, [10]; Wakatsuki et al. [33] submitted that sawah fields will contribute to the alleviation of global warming problems through the fixation of carbon in forest and sawah soils in ecologically sustainable ways.

This result equally agrees with the findings of Igwe et al. [17] that higher soil organic carbon was recorded in soils with finer fraction of water stable aggregate (WSA<1.00) brought by well puddle activity associated with a complete sawah technology. This arrangement confirms the submission of Igwe and Nwokocha [34] and Lee et al. [35] that more SOC was found in finer aggregates than in the macroaggregates. Follet [36] showed that sequestering CO₂ from the atmosphere through improved soil management practices can have a positive impact on soil resources, because increasing soil C increases the functional capabilities of soils.

The results (Table 4) indicated that -amended plots significantly (p < 0.05) improved the soil organic carbon relatively higher than the control plots within the period of study. The result equally indicated a significantly higher SOC pool on plots amended with rice husk dust than plots treated with—other

amendments. The result confirms the findings of Lee *et al.* [35] who reported from a long-term paddy study in southeast Korea that continuous application of compost improved SOC concentration and soil physical properties in the plough layer, relative to inorganic fertilizer application. The results also showed that there was significant improvement on the buildup of SOC with the interactions of *sawah* tillage environments and amendments at a long-term management. This agreed with the submission that incorporation of plant residues coupled with appropriate puddling and water management build up organic carbon status of soil [37].

Table 4: Effects of Tillage environments and amendments on soil organic carbon (%)

Sawah Tillage	Amen	dments				
environments	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	ВЦА	Maan
Va		NPK	PU	КП	RHA	Mean
Yea		4.70	4.04	4.05	4.00	4.04
Complete	0.83	1.72	1.21	1.85	1.09	1.34
Incomplete	0.76	1.22	1.21	1.28	1.15	1.13
Partial	0.90	1.02	1.03	1.47	1.21	1.13
Farmer	0.63	1.09	1.09	1.21	0.57	0.92
Mean	0.78	1.26	1.14	1.45	1.01	
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6		6		2650		
LSD (0.05) Amendr				579		
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6		s x Amendmer	nts NS			
	Year 2					
Complete	0.99	1.81	1.46	1.89	1.20	1.47
Incomplete	0.92	1.28	1.49	1.53	1.22	1.29
Partial	0.87	1.19	1.42	1.57	1.14	1.24
Farmer	0.74	1.11	1.14	1.22	0.96	1.03
Mean	0.88	1.35	1.38	1.55	1.13	
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environments	3	0.21	34		
LSD (0.05) Amendr			0.15	58		
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environments	s x Amendmer	nts NS			
	Year 3					
Complete	1.07	1.80	1.52	1.91	1.27	1.51
Incomplete	0.92	1.21	1.55	1.38	1.24	1.26
Partial	0.67	1.27	1.53	1.69	1.13	1.26
Farmer	0.83	1.17	1.13	1.20	0.99	1.06
Mean	0.87	1.36	1.43	1.54	1.16	
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environments	3	0.18	97		
LSD (0.05) Amendr			0.21	31		
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6		s x Amendmer	nts NS			

CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash, NS = non-significant.

3.3 Effects of sawah tillage environments and amendments on the soil total nitrogen

The results (Table 5) also indicated that there was significant difference among the *sawah* tillage environments in the second and third year of study in the site. It was equally obtained that among the four tillage environments, complete *sawah* tillage environment significantly (p < 0.05) improved soil total nitrogen higher than other tillage adopted environments. This affirms the submissions made by some researchers that, soil submergence also promotes biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) [38], and submerged soils can sustain an indigenous N supply for rice as evidenced by long-term stable yields in minus-N plots in long term experiments. Buresh *et al.* [38] stated that uncontrolled water in lowland rice field results in alternate wetting and drying which leads to greater sequential nitrogen-denitrification than with continuous submergence.

The results (Table 5) equally pointed highly significant (Table 5) differences on the soil total nitrogen with application of amendments in all the three years of the study. It was observed that NPK amended plots did improve the element higher within the period of study, especially on the 2nd and 3rd year. Consequently, there was an increased trend in the soil total nitrogen as the year progresses.

The interaction of the two factors only improved the soil total nitrogen significantly in the second year of study.

Table 5: Effects of Tillage environments and amendments on soil total nitrogen (%)

Sawah Tillage environments	Amend	ments				
environments	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
Yea	r 1					
Complete	0.059	0.117	0.098	0.079	0.084	0.088
Incomplete	0.049	0.098	0.084	0.065	0.075	0.074
Partial	0.051	0.089	0.093	0.088	0.112	0.087
Farmer	0.050	0.089	0.079	0.084	0.061	0.073
Mean	0.053	0.098	0.089	0.079	0.087	
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	environments		NS			
LSD (0.05) Amendm	nent		0.02	060		
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	environments	x Amendmer	nts NS			
,	Year 2					
Complete	0.060	0.117	0.103	0.103	0.095	0.095
Incomplete	0.045	0.110	0.095	0.089	0.081	0.084
Partial	0.041	0.095	0.099	0.092	0.099	0.085
Farmer	0.043	0.079	0.075	0.072	0.069	0.068
Mean	0.047	0.100	0.093	0.089	0.086	
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	environments		0.00	679		
LSD (0.05) Amendm	nent		0.00	0684		
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	environments	x Amendmer	nts 0.01	340		
,	Year 3					
Complete	0.065	0.117	0.116	0.107	0.089	0.099
Incomplete	0.047	0.114	0.098	0.095	0.085	0.088
Partial	0.041	0.102	0.107	0.098	0.094	0.089
Farmer	0.047	0.083	0.079	0.080	0.075	0.073
Mean	0.050	0.104	0.100	0.095	0.086	
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	environments		0.01	268		
LSD (0.05) Amendm			0.00	876		
LSD (0.05) Tillage e						

CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash, NS = non-significant.

3.4 Effects of sawah tillage environments and amendments on the exchangeable bases

The results (Tables 6, 7, 8 and 9) indicated that different *sawah* tillage environments significantly improved the exchangeable bases with complete *sawah* tillage environment giving a higher significant (p < 0.05) increase in the exchangeable bases in the three years of study than others. Generally, all the *sawah* tillage environments with *sawah* technology component(s) statistically (p < 0.05) improved the exchangeable bases relatively higher than the farmers'/traditional adopted tillage environment. Eswaran *et al.*, [39]; Abe *et al.*, [40] reported that these natural soil fertility replenishment mechanisms observed in *sawah* adopted plots are essential for enhancing the sustainability and productivity of lowland rice farming systems in inherently unfertile soils in West Africa and Sub-Saharan Africa. Nwite *et al.*, [9] affirms that essential plant nutrients such as K⁺, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ including fertility index like the CEC were improved upon in *sawah* managed plots than non-*sawah* managed plots within the studied period in an experiment conducted in one of the same location. The results (Tables 6, 7, 8 and 9) also showed that the soil amendments equally improved (P<0.05) the exchangeable bases in the studied location. Generally, the

287 288

289

290

result confirmed that rice husk ash performed significantly higher in the improvement of the exchangeable bases than other treatments. This result confirms the submission of Nwite et al. [12] that amending the lowland soils of Southeastern Nigeria with plant residue ash under sawah management system of rice production improved the organic carbon and total nitrogen, exchangeable K⁺, Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ of the soil.

It was also recorded that the interactions of the four tillage environments and amendments significantly improved the exchangeable magnesium and calcium in the second and third year of study.

This result agrees with Buri et al. [41] who report that increased nutrient use efficiency is basically associated with improved water management. The "sawah" system leads to not only significant improvements in nutrient use but also in water use as well.

Table 6: Effects of Tillage environments and amendments on soil exchangeable sodium (cmolkg⁻¹)

Sawah Tillage environments	Amend	ments				
environments	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
Yea						
Complete	0.107	0.153	0.177	0.197	0.150	0.157
Incomplete	0.107	0.173	0.183	0.197	0.120	0.156
Partial	0.143	0.247	0.197	0.187	0.140	0.183
Farmer	0.100	0.157	0.153	0.127	0.137	0.135
Mean	0.114	0.183	0.178	0.177	0.137	
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	nvironments			NS		
LSD (0.05) Amendm	nent		(0.02772		
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	nvironments	x Amendmer	nts i	NS		
	Year 2					
Complete	0.163	0.250	0.243	0.240	0.267	0.233
Incomplete	0.140	0.223	0.227	0.217	0.240	0.209
Partial	0.153	0.220	0.223	0.220	0.233	0.210
Farmer	0.130	0.203	0.193	0.187	0.203	0.183
Mean	0.147	0.224	0.222	0.216	0.236	
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	environments		(0.01844		
LSD (0.05) Amendm	nent		(0.01748		
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	nvironments	x Amendmer	nts I	NS		
,	Year 3					
Complete	0.183	0.260	0.263	0.250	0.290	0.249
Incomplete	0.173	0.233	0.237	0.230	0.250	0.225
Partial	0.173	0.240	0.233	0.230	0.260	0.227
Farmer	0.153	0.223	0.203	0.193	0.213	0.197
Mean	0.171	0.239	0.234	0.226	0.227	
LSD (0.05) Tillage e			(0.02638		
LSD (0.05) Amendment				0.02475		
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	nvironments	x Amendmer	nts I	NS		

CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash, NS =

Table 7: Effects of Tillage environments and amendments on soil exchangeable potassium (cmolkg⁻¹)

Sawah Tillagenvironments	ge Amer	ndments				
	CT	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
Υ	ear 1					
Complete	0.017	0.057	0.097	0.053	0.070	0.059
Incomplete	0.013	0.050	0.060	0.040	0.057	0.044
Partial	0.013	0.036	0.050	0.030	0.047	0.035

0.023

0.058

0.040

0.053

0.016

0.035

0.023

Farmer

Mean

293 294

295

296

0.013

0.014

0.023

0.042

CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash, NS = non-significant.

Table 8: Effects of Tillage environments and amendments on soil exchangeable calcium (cmolkg) ¹)

Sawah Tillag	je Amei	ndments				
environments	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
Υ	ear 1					
Complete	1.13	1.67	1.80	1.47	1.87	1.59
Incomplete	1.07	1.57	1.53	1.50	1.83	1.50
Partial	1.00	1.53	1.47	1.47	1.47	1.39
armer	1.00	1.43	1.33	1.53	1.40	1.34
Mean	1.05	1.55	1.53	1.49	1.64	
SD (0.05) Tillage	e environmen	ts	0.0	751		
SD (0.05) Amen			0.1	625		
SD (0.05) Tillage	e environmen	ts x Amendmer	nts NS	;		
	Year 2					
Complete	1.13	2.07	1.97	1.93	2.67	1.95
ncomplete	1.00	1.77	2.00	1.77	2.20	1.75
Partial	1.00	1.80	1.80	1.77	2.00	1.67
-armer	1.00	1.60	1.60	1.60	1.70	1.50
Mean	1.03	1.81	1.84	1.77	2.14	
_SD (0.05) Tillage	e environmen	ts	0.1	017		
_SD (_{0.05}) Amen	dment		0.1	266		
₋SD (_{0.05}) Tillage	e environmen	ts x Amendmer	nts 0.2	403		
	Year 3					
Complete	1.27	2.13	2.13	2.00	2.93	2.09
Incomplete	1.07	1.87	2.13	1.80	2.43	1.86
Partial	1.03	1.97	1.93	1.93	2.20	1.81
Farmer	1.00	1.70	1.77	1.70	1.77	1.59
Mean	1.09	1.92	1.99	1.86	2.33	

LSD (0.05) Tillage environments	0.1485
LSD (0.05) Amendment	0.1606
LSD (0.05) Tillage environments x Amendments	0.3108

CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash.

Table 9: Effects of Tillage environments and amendments on soil exchangeable magnesium (cmolkg⁻¹)

Sawah Tillage environments	Amend	ments				
environments	СТ	NPK	PI	D RH	RHA	Mean
Yea				-		
Complete	0.37	1.27	1.20	1.07	1.93	1.17
Incomplete	0.47	1.00	1.20	1.13	1.27	1.01
Partial	0.53	1.13	0.93	1.00	1.53	1.03
Farmer	0.40	0.93	1.07	.080	1.27	0.89
Mean	0.44	1.08	1.10	1.00	1.50	
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	environments			NS		
LSD (0.05) Amenda	nent			0.2636		
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	environments	x Amendmer	nts	NS		
	Year 2					
Complete	0.60	1.73	1.97	1.73	2.73	1.75
Incomplete	0.60	1.60	1.73	1.43	2.00	1.47
Partial	0.63	1.30	1.40	1.13	1.80	1.25
Farmer	0.43	1.00	1.07	1.00	1.27	0.95
Mean	0.57	1.41	1.54	1.33	1.95	
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	environments			0.1182		
LSD (0.05) Amenda	nent			0.1413		
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environments	x Amendmer	nts	0.2696		
	Year 3					
Complete	0.93	1.93	2.07	1.93	2.93	1.96
Incomplete	0.70	1.80	1.87	1.60	2.27	1.65
Partial	0.70	1.40	1.40	1.23	2.00	1.35
Farmer	0.50	1.10	1.17	1.07	1.37	1.04
Mean	0.71	1.56	1.63	1.46	2.14	
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	environments			0.1479		
LSD (0.05) Amenda	nent			0.1409		
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6				0.2789		

CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash.

3.5 Effects of sawah tillage environments and amendments on the soil cation exchange capacity (CEC)

The values of CEC (Table 10) in the whole soils in the first year was not positively influenced by different tillage environments, but the use of different sawah tillage environments significantly (p < 0.05) improved the CEC in the 2nd and 3rd year of study. It was generally observed that all sawah tillage environments significantly (p < 0.05) highly influenced the CEC relative to the farmers' environment, with complete tillage environment improving it best. The CEC values varied from 5.87 – 6.75 cmol (+) kg⁻¹, 5.59 – 10.31 cmol (+) kg⁻¹ and 5.83 – 11.31 cmol (+) kg⁻¹, in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd year, respectively. This result implies that there was a realization of geological fertilization mechanism and cycling of nutrients in the inland valley soils of the area studied. This means that soil erosion effect which do erode most topsoil nutrients in most inland valleys of Southeastern Nigeria can be eliminated or reduced when all the components of sawah technology is employed during lowland rice field operations. These submission agrees with [42, 43, 10, 44, 45] that the soils formed and nutrients released during rock-weathering and soil formation processes in upland areas arrive and accumulate in lowland areas through geological fertilization processes, such as soil erosion and sedimentation, as well as surface and ground water movements or

330

333

334

335

336

337

338 339

340

341

342

343

colluviums formation processes. Ideal land use patterns and landscape management practices will optimize the geological fertilization processes through the optimum control of hydrology in a given watershed [39, 40].

The results (Table 10) also indicated a significant improvement on the soil CEC due to amendments within the period of study. Generally, there was a -short-term improvement on the CEC of the locations with the application of different amendments. Poultry dropping amended plots generally improved the soil CEC higher than other amendments within the periods of study. The values ranged from 4.55 – 7.35 cmol (+) kg⁻¹, 4.33 – 9.47 and 4.35 – 10.60 cmol (+) kg⁻¹, in the first, second and third year of study.

Table 10: Effects of Tillage environments and amendments on soil cation exchange capacity (cmolkg⁻¹)

Sawah Tillage	Amen	dments				
environments	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
Yea						
Complete	4.53	6.27	8.67	6.53	7.73	6.75
Incomplete	4.67	5.20	7.47	6.40	7.33	6.21
Partial	5.33	5.20	6.73	6.07	7.40	6.15
Farmer	3.67	5.80	5.67	7.27	6.93	5.87
Mean	4.55	5.62	7.13	6.57	7.35	
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environment	S	NS			
LSD (0.05) Amendr			1.0	035		
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environment	s x Amendmer	nts NS	3		
-	Year 2					
Complete	4.60	10.33	12.07	13.07	11.47	10.31
Incomplete	4.47	8.20	10.67	7.07	8.20	7.72
Partial	4.60	9.47	8.40	7.20	8.27	7.59
Farmer	3.63	5.77	6.73	5.07	6.73	5.59
Mean	4.33	8.44	9.47	8.10	8.67	
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environment	S	2.0)21		
LSD (0.05) Amendr	nent			348		
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environment	s x Amendmer	nts NS	3		
	Year 3					
Complete	5.20	10.60	14.07	13.80	13.20	11.37
Incomplete	3.87	8.80	12.73	11.47	8.73	9.12
Partial	4.67	10.47	8.73	7.67	9.07	8.12
Farmer	3.67	5.87	6.87	5.93	6.80	5.83
Mean	4.35	8.93	10.60	9.72	9.45	
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6		S	1.3	381		
LSD (0.05) Amendr				703		
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6						

CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash, NS = non-significant.

3.6 Effects of sawah tillage environments and amendments on the rice grain yield

The results (Table 11) indicated a significant difference in the grain yield with the different sawah tillage environments in all the planting years. It did record that the highest significant values in the grain yield were obtained in complete sawah adopted tillage environment relative to other tillage environments including the farmers' tillage environment. The mean values varied from 2.84 - 4.75 t ha⁻¹, 3.28 - 4.72 t ha⁻¹ and 6.06 – 6.96 t ha⁻¹ in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd year of planting, respectively (Table 11). The result agrees with the submissions of Becker and Johnson, [46]; Ofori et al, [44]; Touré et al, [47] that improved performance of field water management can sustainably increase rice yields. On the other hand, the higher grain yield of 6.06 t/ha recorded in the farmers' field could be attributed to higher level of nutrients management involved and improved variety used in the study. This agrees with the findings of Buri et al., [41] who maintained that lowlands constitute one of the largest and appropriate environments suitable for

rice cultivation. They further stated that, within these environments, crop is traditionally grown without any structures to control water, minimal use of fertilizers and most often than not local varieties are used. Paddy yields are therefore normally low under the traditional system and vary sharply due to yearly variation in total rainfall and its distribution.

Generally, all the *sawah* tillage environments significantly increased the grain yield higher than the farmers' growing environment within the three years of study, except in 1st and 3rd year where the partial and farmers' field statistically performed same.

The results indicated highermuch significant (p < 0.05) improvements in the yield of rice in the amended plots over the non-amended (control) plots for the three years of planting. The results showed the range mean values of the rice as; 1.91 to 4.23 t ha⁻¹ in the first year, 1.62 to 4.77 t ha⁻¹ in the second year and 3.76 to 7.47 t ha⁻¹ in the third year of planting. It was observed that poultry dropping amended plots significantly (p < 0.05) gave higher grain yield value among the amendments including the control. This increase in the yield in PD treated plots could be attributed to higher nitrogen percent in the material which might have been translated to the improved tillering, hence, improved yield.

Achieving high yield in most West African ecology is difficult without soil amendment, as the soils are highly leached, porous and low in essential plant nutrient [6, 48].

The results equally indicated a significant increase in the grain yield of rice due to the interaction of sawah tillage environment and the amendments within the periods of study.

This result confirms the submissions of Becker and Johnson, [46]; Sakurai, [49]; and Toure *et al.* [47], that *sawah* system development can improve rice productivity in the lowlands to a great extent when applied in combination with improved varieties and fertilizers, and a certain amount of improvement can even be expected by bund construction which is one of the *sawah* system components.

Table 11: Effects of Sawah Tillage environments and amendments on the Rice Grain Yield (ton/ha)

Sawah Tillage	Amend	ments				
environments	ОТ	NDIZ	D	D. I	DIIA	N4
	CT	NPK	PI	D RH	RHA	Mean
Yea		F 07	F 70	F 07	F 00	4 75
Complete	2.03	5.37	5.73	5.37	5.23	4.75
Incomplete	1.97	3.70	4.17	3.10	3.83	3.35
Partial	1.87	3.37	3.77	3.07	4.10	3.23
Farmer	1.77	3.47	3.27	3.37	2.33	2.84
Mean	1.91	3.98	4.23	3.73	3.88	
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	environments			0.7956		
LSD (0.05) Amendn	nent			0.5520		
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	environments	x Amendmer	nts	1.1885		
	Year 2					
Complete	1.97	5.77	5.77	5.30	4.80	4.72
Incomplete	2.00	4.90	4.90	4.73	4.60	4.23
Partial	1.43	4.27	4.37	4.80	4.67	3.91
Farmer	1.07	3.40	4.03	4.17	3.73	3.28
Mean	1.62	4.58	4.77	4.75	4.45	
LSD (0.05) Tillage e				0.5494		
LSD (0.05) Amendn				0.5894		
LSD (0.05) Tillage e		x Amendmer	nts	1.1422		
	Year 3	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Complete	4.21	7.30	8.27	7.22	7.78	6.96
Incomplete	3.86	7.15	6.80	6.94	6.52	6.25
Partial	3.51	6.38	7.64	7.50	7.29	6.46
Farmer	3.44	5.82	7.15	7.43	6.45	6.06
Mean	3.76	6.66	7.13 7.47	7.43 7.27	7.01	0.00
			1.41		7.01	
LSD (0.05) Tillage e				0.550		
LSD (0.05) Amendn	nent			0.685		

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

4.0 CONCLUSION

The study revealed the significant performance of complete sawah tillage environment in ensuring the optimum restoration of degraded inland valley soils with optimum grain yield. It was noted the superiority of organic amendments (poultry droppings and rice husk dust) over mineral fertilizer on a short-term bases in soil properties and grain yield improvement. The combination of -complete components of sawah management and soil amendment practices would improve the soil properties and rice grain yield. Therefore, sawah ecotechnology is possibly the most promising strategy for increased rice production and realization of food security in Nigeria. These natural soil fertility replenishment mechanisms are essential for enhancing the sustainability and productivity of lowland rice farming systems in inherently unfertile soils in Southeastern Nigeria. The mechanisms in sawah system of nutrient replenishments encourage not only rice growth, but also the breeding of various microbes, which improves biological nitrogen fixation. It restores/replenishes the lowland with nutrients as it resists erosion.

383 384 385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413 414

415

417

418

419

420

421

416

References

- 1. Moormann, F.R. Problem in characterizing and classifying wetland soils. In wetland soils. Characterization, classification, utilization. Proceeding of a workshop 26 mar. to 5 April 1984, 1985. 53-68, IRRI, Los Banos, Philippines.
- 2. Wakatsuki, T; Koski, T. and Palada, M. Ecological engineering for sustainable rice farming in inland valley (Ivs) in West Africa. Paper presented at the second WAFSRN symposium. Accra, Ghana; 1989.
- 3. Windmeijer, P. N. and Andriesse, W. Inland valleys in West Africa: An Agro-ecological characteristics of rice- growing environment, 1993. Pp28-37, ILRI. Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- 4. Otoo, E. and Asubonteng, K.O. Reconnaissance characterization of inland valleys in Southern Ghana. In characterization of inland valley Agron-ecosystems. A tool for their sustainable use. Proceeding of a workshop, 6 to 10 Nov. 1995, p 149-160. WARDA, Bouake, Ivory Coast. 10 Nov. 1995, p 149-160. WARDA, Bouake, Ivory Coast, 1995.
- 5. Asadu, C.L.A. and F.O.R. Akamigbo. Relative 13. FAO, 1988. Soil Map of the World: 1:5 million Contributions of Organic matter and clay fractions to cation exchange capacity of soils in southeastern Nigeria. Samaru Journal of Agricultural Research, 7: 1990; 17-23.
- 6. Enwezor, W.O., A.C. Ohiri, E.E. Opuwaribo and E.J. Udoh, A review of fertilizer use of crops in Southeastern Zone of Nigeria. Fertilizer Procurement and Distribution Department, Lagos; 1988.
- 7. Nnabude, P.C. and J.S.C. Mbagwu. Soil water relations of a Nigerian Typic Haplustult Amended with fresh and burnt rice-mill wastes. Soil and tillage Research, 50(3-4): 1999. 207-214.
- 8. Ogbodo, E.N. and P.A. Nnabude. Evaluation of the Performance of three varieties of upland rice in degraded acid soil in Abakaliki, Ebonyl State. Journal of technology and Education in Nigeria, 9(2): 2004: 1-7.
- 9. Nwite, J.C; C. A. Igwe and T. Wakatsuki. Evaluation of -Rice Management System in an Inland Valley in Southeastern Nigeria. I: Soil Chemical Properties and Rice Yield, Paddy and Water Environment, Vol. 6, No. 3, 2008, pp. 299 – 30.
- 10. Hirose, S and Wakatsuki, T. Restoration of inland valley ecosystems in West Africa. 2002, Pp56-86, 222-2224. Association of agriculture and forestry statistics. Megro-Sumiya building, Tokyo,
- 11. Hayashi, K and T. Wakatsuki. Sustainable soil fertility management by indigenous and scientific knowledge in Sahel zone of Niger, in the CD- ROM Transactions of the 17th World congress of soil science, symposium No. 15. perceptions Perceptions of soil management: Matching indigenous and scientific knowledge systems, paper No. 1251; 2002.
- 12. Nwite, J.C., S.E. Obalum, C.A. Igwe and T. Wakatsuki. Properties and Potential of Selected Ash Sources for Improving Soil Condition and Sawah Rice Yields in a Degraded Inland Valley in Southeastern Nigeria. World Journal Agricultural Sciences, 7(3): 304-310. 2011. ISSN 1817-3047.

422 13. Obalum, S.E., J.C. Nwite, J. Oppong, C.A. Igwe and T. Wakatsuki. Comparative topsoil characteristics of sawah rice fields in selected inland valleys around Bida, North-Central Nigeria: Textural, structural and Hydro-physical properties. J. Paddy Water Environ. 2011; 9: 291-299. DOI 10.1007/s10333- 010-0233-3. Springer.

- 14. Andriesse, W. Mapping and characterizing inland valley agro-ecosystems: the case of West Africa. In: Wetland characterization and classification for sustainable agricultural development. FAO Corporate Document Repository. 1998. Available online: http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/x6611e/x6611e03a.htm#1_MAP
- 15. Wakatsuki, T. and Masunaga, T. Ecological engineering for sustainable food production and the restoration of degraded watersheds in Tropics of low pH soils: Focus on West Africa. Soil Sci. Plant Nutri; 51: 2005; 629-636.
- Nwite, J.C, B.A. Essien, C.I. Keke, C A. Igwe, T. Wakatsuki. Evaluation of Water Sources for Sawah Management in the Restoration of Degraded Lowlands and Sustainable Rice Production in Southeastern Nigeria. Asian Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences (ISSN: 2321 – 1571) Volume 01– Issue 03, August 2013
- 17. Igwe, C.A; J. C. Nwite; K. U. Agharanya; Y. Watanabe; S. E. Obalum; C. B. Okebalama & T. Wakatsuki. Aggregate-associated soil organic carbon and total nitrogen following amendment of puddled and sawahmanaged rice soils in Southeastern Nigeria, Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science, 2012; DOI:10.1080/03650340.2012.684877.
- 18. Ezeh, H. N. and E. Chukwu. Small scale mining and heavy metals pollution of agricultural soils: The case of Ishiagu Mining District, South Eastern Nigeria. Journal of Geology and Mining Research Vol. 3(4) 2011; pp. 87-104, April 2011.
- 19. USDA. Keys to Soil Taxonomy. Natural Resources Conservation Services, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C; 1998.
- 20. FAO. Soil Map of the World: 1:5 million (Revised Legend). World Soil Resources Report, 60. Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO), Rome; 1988.
- 21. Gee, G.W. and J.W. Bauder, Particle Size Analysis. In: Klute A (ed) Methods of Soil Analysis, part 1: Physical and Mineralogical Properties. Agronomy Monograph No 9. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, 1986. pp: 91-100.
- 22. McLean, E.O. Soil pH and lime requirement. In: A.L. Page, R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeny, (eds.). Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, 1982, pp: 199-224.
- 23. Nelson, D.W. and L.E. Sommers. Total carbon, total organic carbon and organic matter. In: Sparks DL (ed) Methods of soil analysis, part 3: chemical methods. Agronomy Monograph No 9. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, 1996; pp: 961-1010.
- 24. Bremner, J.M. and C.S. Mulvancy. Total Nitrogen. In: A.L. Page et al., (eds.). Methods of Soil Analysis. No.9; part 2, Amer. Soc. Of Agron. Inc, Madison, Wisconsin, USA., 1982, pp: 595-624.
- 25. Thomas, G.W. Exchangeable cations. In: A.L. Page, R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeny, (eds.), Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Am. Soc. Agron. Monogr., Madison, 1982; pp: 159-165.
- 26. Rhoades, J.D. Cation exchange capacity. In: A.L. Page, R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeny, (eds.). Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, 1982. pp: 149-157.
- 27. Wakatsuki T, Buri MM, and Fashola 0.0. Restoration of degraded inland valley watersheds in West Africa by sustainable "Sawah" development. Paper presented at the International Conference on "Managing Soils for Food Security, Human Health and the Environment: Emerging Strategies for Poverty Alleviation," 2003; GIMPA-Accra, Ghana, July 28- August 2, 2003.
- 28. Fashola, O.O; K. Hayashi and T. Wakatsuki. Effect of water management and polyolefin coated urea on growth and nitrogen uptake of indica rice. J. Plant Nutr. 25: 2002; 2173 2190.
- 29. Russels, E.J. Soil conditions and plant growth (11th eds.) Alan Wild (eds.) 1988. Longman Group U.K. Ltd. Pp 898 908.
- 30. Abyhammer, T; A. Fablin; A. Nelson and V. Henfrindison. Askater Forings system Deiproject I: Tekniker Ochmojiligheter. (Production of wood ash, techniques and possibilities), 1994; pp. 341. In Swedish with English Summary).
- 31. Markikainen, P.N. Nitrification in two coniferous forest soils after different fertilizer treatments. Soil Biol. Biochem., 16: 2002, 577 882.
- 32. Opara-Nadi, O.A; B.S. Ezua; A. Wogu. Organic manures and inorganic fertilizers added to an acid ultisol in Southeastern Nigeria: II. Effects on soil chemical properties and nutrient loss, In: proceedings of the 15th Annual Conf. SSSN, Kaduna, Nigeria; 1987.

478 33. Wakatsuki T, Buri MM and Oladele O.I. West African green revolution by eco-technology and the creation of African SATOYAMA systems. Kyoto Working Papers on Area Studies No. 63; 2009, (G-COE Series 61). Center for Southeast Asian Studies, Kyoto, Japan. 30 p. ISBN 978 4 901668 63 7. http://www.humanosphere.cseas.kyoto-u.ac.jp/article.php/workingpaper61

- 34. Igwe CA, Nwokocha D. Soil organic matter fractions and microaggregation in a ultisol under cultivation and secondary forest in southeastern Nigeria. Aust J Soil Res. 44: 2006, 627–635.
- 35. Lee SB, Lee CB, Jung KY, Park KD, Lee D, Kim PJ. Changes of soil organic carbon and its fractions in relation to soil physical properties in a long-term fertilized paddy. Soil Till. Res. 104: 2009, 227–232.
- 36. Follet RF. Soil carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 74: 2010, 345–346.
- 37. Bhagat, R.M. and Verma, T.S. Impact of rice straw management on soil physical properties and wheat yield. Soil Sci. 152: 1991, 108-115.
- 38. Buresh RJ, Reddy KR, van Kessel C. Nitrogen transformations in submerged soils. In 'Nitrogen in agricultural systems'. (Eds JS Schepers, WR Raun), 2008; pp. 401- 436. Agronomy Monograph 49. (ASA, CSSA, and SSSA: Madison, WI, USA).
- 39. Eswaran, H., Almaraz, R., Van den Berg, E., and Reich, P. 'An assessment of the soil resources of Africa in relation to productivity', Geoderma, Vol 77, 1997, pp 1–18.
- 40. Abe, S. S., Buri, M. M., Issaka, R. N., Kiepe, P., and Wakatsuki, T. 'Soil fertility potential for rice production in West African lowlands', *Japan Agricultural Research Quarterly*, Vol 44, 2010, pp 343–355.
- 41. Buri M.M; Issaka, R.N, Wakatsuki, T, and Kawano N. Improving the productivity of lowland soils for rice cultivation in Ghana: the role of the 'sawah' system. Journal of Soil Science & Environment management Vol. 3(3), 2012, pp. 56 62.
- 42. Greenland, D. J. Sustainability of Rice Farming, CABI, Wallingford, and IRRI, Los Banõs, The Philippines, 1997.
- 43. Wakatsuki, T., Shinmura, Y., Otoo, E., and Olaniyan, D. O. 'System for integrated watershed management of small inland valleys in West Africa', in: Institutional and Technical Options in the Development and Management of Small Scale Irrigation, Water Report No 17, FAO, Rome, 1998, pp 45–60.
- 44. Ofori, J., Hisatomi, Y., Kamidouzono, A., Masunaga, T., and Wakatsuki, T. 'Performance of rice cultivars in various ecosystems developed in inland valleys, Ashanti region, Ghana', Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, Vol 51, 2005, pp 469–476.
- 45. Wakatsuki, T. and Masunaga, T. Ecological engineering for sustainable food production and the restoration of degraded watersheds in Tropics of low pH soils: Focus on West Africa. Soil Sci. Plant Nutri: 51: 2005. 629-636.
- 46. Becker, M., and Johnson, D. E. 'Improved water control and crop management effects on lowland rice productivity in West Africa', Nutrient Cycling Agroecosystems, Vol 59, 2001, pp 119–127.
- 47. Touré, A., Becker, M., Johnson, D. E., Koné, B., Kossou, D. K., and Kiepe, P. 'Response of lowland rice to agronomic management under different hydrological regimes in an inland valley of Ivory Coast', Field Crops Research, Vol 114, 2009, pp 304–310.
- 48. Igwe, C.A; Akamigbo F.O.R and Mbagwu J.S.C. Physical properties of soils of Southeastern Nigeria and the role of some aggregating agents in their stability, Soil Sci. 160: 1995. 431 441.
- 49. Sakurai, T. 'Intensification of rainfed wetland rice production in West Africa: present status and potential green revolution', Developing Economies, Vol 44, 2006, pp 232–251.