

Short Research Article

Feasibility for Same Day Tuberculosis Diagnosis Using the Smear Microscopy Approach in Rural South Western Uganda

Joel Bazira^{1*}, Bashir Mwambi¹, , Winnie Muyindike²

Department of Microbiology, Mbarara University of Science and Technology, P.O.Box 1410 Mbarara Uganda

Department of Internal Medicine, Mbarara Regional referral Hospital, P.O Box 40 Mbarara, Uganda

*** E-mail address: jbazira@gmail.com**

ABSTRACT

Background:

Current WHO guidelines require that TB suspects submit 2 sputum samples on 2 different days which is time consuming, needs multiple visits leading to a high dropout. We studied the feasibility of same day sputum smear microscopy in rural refugee settlement in South Western Uganda.

Methods:

Sputum specimens were collected from tuberculosis suspects at two health centers in Nakivale refugee settlement in South Western Uganda. Patients submitted 2 spot samples one hour apart and an early morning sample was submitted the next day. All samples were stained by Ziehl-Nielsen stain. Results for the two spot samples were given on day one and the morning sample results were given on day 2. Patients found to have TB were referred for treatment on reception of their results

Results:

Of the 316 TB suspects, 190 (60.1%) were males and 126 (39.9%) were females. The mean age of the TB suspects was 40years. Overall smear positivity rate was 46/ 316 (15.0%). Of the 40 smear positive TB cases, 38(95.0%) were positive on the spot1, 35 (92.5%), on spot 2 while 31(85.0%) were positive on the early morning specimen. Only one TB suspect had negative sport1 sample that was positive with spot2 and early morning. Three TB suspects who had positive spot1 result did not return with spot2 sample. Six (15%) patients with a positive spot 1 did not bring the early morning sample. Though the bacillary load differed on the spot2 and morning samples the difference was not significant $p\text{-value} > 0.05$, all samples that were positive on morning sample were also positive on the spot 2. There was no association between HIV and TB infection.

Conclusion:

Same day smear microscopy for diagnosing tuberculosis is feasible in a rural setup by examining two spot samples.

Keywords: Same day microscopy, Tuberculosis, ZN,

16 1. INTRODUCTION

17 In most TB high burden countries, like Uganda, the infrastructure for the diagnosis of
18 infectious diseases are inadequate. Despite numerous technical advances, microscopy
19 remains the cornerstone of TB diagnosis, particularly in developing countries.[1, 2] Owing to
20 low sensitivity of sputum smear microscopy; the diagnosis of TB requires repeated sputum
21 examinations on several days [3]. The only diagnostic technique for TB, suitable to
22 peripheral levels of health services, is serial sputum smear microscopy with Ziehl Neelsen
23 (ZN) staining. Patients submit sputum samples over multiple days incur considerable costs.
24 Direct sputum smear examination by Ziehl Neelsen (ZN) stain is a simple, economical tool
25 that is widely used not only for diagnosis of tuberculosis and monitoring treatment of
26 tuberculosis in several countries. It is also a key component of the DOTs and DOTS plus
27 strategies for management of tuberculosis. With the current World Health Organization
28 (WHO) policy on PTB diagnosis (spot-morning)[4], there is a need for a patient to make a
29 minimum of two visits to the health facility in a bid to submit all required sputum samples,
30 and then acquire laboratory results and treatment. This protracts the diagnostic process.
31 Although TB services offered in Nakivale refugee settlement are free of charge there is a
32 likelihood that patient dropout will be high because of the cost incurred to complete the
33 diagnostic process. Failing to complete the diagnosis, therefore, is a major obstacle to
34 accessing treatment in these settings.

35 The WHO has recently recommended that in countries where WHO-recommended external
36 microscopy quality assurance systems are in place and good-quality microscopy results
37 have been documented, it is sufficient to examine two specimens per patient and that these
38 specimens can be collected in an accelerated scheme (called front-loaded or same-day
39 microscopy). This study was carried out to establish the feasibility of same day tuberculosis
40 diagnosis using sputum smear microscopy in a Refugee settlement in rural South Western
41 Uganda

42 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

43
44 The study was conducted at Nakivale Health Centre III and Kibengo Health Centre II in
45 Nakivale refugee settlement located in Isingiro district, South Western Uganda. The
46 settlement hosts refugees mainly from the Democratic Republic of Congo, Rwanda,
47 Somalia, Burundi, Ethiopia and Eritrea. Sputum specimens were collected from 316
48 randomly selected tuberculosis suspects who attended at the Health units. Patients
49 submitted 2 spot samples one hour apart and an early morning sample was submitted the
50 next day [5]. All the samples received were given different numbers and stained by acid fast
51 Ziehl-Neelsen (ZN) stain. The first two samples were examined on the first day and the
52 morning sample was examined on the second day. ZN staining technique and smear
53 grading were done as per the Uganda national guidelines. For quality control, all the positive
54 slides and randomly examined, 5% of negative slides were screened by another technician
55 at Mbarara University of Science and Technology. Patients were referred for treatment as
56 soon they received their results. We performed data analysis using Stata Version 12.1 (Stata
57 Corp., College station Texas). Percentages and proportions were calculated and a p-value <
58 0.05 was regarded as significant
59 Ethical clearance was obtained from the faculty research and ethics committee of the faculty
60 of medicine of Mbarara University of Science and Technology and the institutional review
61 board of Mbarara University of Science and Technology
62

64 3. RESULTS

65 Out of the 316 patients recruited in the study, 190 (60.1%) were males and 126 (39.9%)
 66 were females with a mean age of 40years. The 316 patients submitted the first sample, 262
 67 submitted the second spot ample while 253 submitted the morning sample (Table1). Of the
 68 316 TB suspects, 54 (17.0%) and 63 (20.0%) did not did not submit the spot2 and early
 69 morning samples respectively

70 The overall smear positivity rate was 46/ 316 (15.0%. Out of the 46 smear positives TB
 71 cases, 45(97.8 %) were positive on the spot1, 42 (91.3%) were positive on the spot 2 while
 72 35(76.1%) were positive on the early morning specimen. (Table1)

73 Only one TB suspect had negative sport1 sample that was positive with spot2 and early
 74 morning. Of the 45 Smear positive TB cases on spot1, 10(22.2 %) patients did not bring the
 75 early morning sample. There were 7 TB suspects who had spot1 results but did not return
 76 with spot2 sample (Table 1)

77 Table 1: Timing of specimen collection and positivity results

	Spot1 (%)	Spot2 (%)	Early morning (%)
Negative	271 (85.02)	225(85.66)	219(86.95)
Positive	45(14.98)	42(14.34)	35(13.08)
Total	316	262 (82.9)	253(80.1)

78

79 Although the bacillary load reduced in spot2 and morning samples the difference was not
 80 significant P > 0.05. All the samples that were positive on morning sample were also
 81 positive on the spot 2. One sample was negative on spot 1 but positive on spot2 and
 82 morning and one sample was positive on spot1 (1-9/100) but negative on spot2 and
 83 morning. The patient who had a scanty positive ZN result on spot1 was also HIV positive
 84 (Table 2).

85 Table 2: Bacillary load of the samples collected at the different times

86

Types of sample	Bacilli load				Total
	1-9/100	1+	2+	3+	
spot1	4	7	7	27	45
spot2	2	6	7	27	42
Morning	0	4	7	24	35

87 Of the 316 TB suspects who submitted the first sample 9.2 %(29/ 316) did not submit the
 88 second spot and 19.0 % (60/316) failed to submit the morning sample. Three TB suspects

89 that had a positive ZN smear for the spot1 did not submit the second spot and four TB
90 suspects that had a positive ZN smear for spot2 did not submit the morning sputum sample.

91 Among those who were sputum positive, 24 were HIV negative and 21 were HIV positive
92 (Table3). There was no association between HIV and TB Infection. $\chi^2 = 0.49$, $P = 0.48$

93 Table 3: Relationship between TB and HIV status

TB status	HIV status		Total n(%)
	Neg n(%)	Pos n(%)	
Neg	131 (56.73)	107(43.27)	238(100.00)
Pos	25(54.35.)	21(45.65)	46 (100.00)
Total	156(55.67)	128(44.33)	284 (100.00)

94

95 4. DISCUSSION

96 Many patients screened for TB abandon the diagnostic process and 22% of the participants
97 in the present study failed to bring the second day specimens.

98 As patients screened with spot-morning and spot-morning-spot specimens receive all test
99 results together, when all specimens have been examined, patients not returning the second
100 day fail to start treatment even if their first smear was positive. Under routine conditions, this
101 number is much more[6-8].Examination of two spot smears enables us to identify most
102 smear-positive cases on the first day they consult which is beneficial in our setting and would
103 lead to more TB suspects completing the diagnostic process and commencing treatment
104 thereby limiting transmission.

105 Most smear-positive patients were identified by the first smear. This study shows that the
106 spot-spot and spot-morning schemes have similar yields. This indicates that front-loaded TB
107 diagnostic services are feasible and would not be associated with significantly less yield than
108 the equivalent standard approach.

109 Although it was widely accepted that overnight specimens were more likely to contain more
110 bacilli, it was also acknowledged that in less favorable circumstances, it was more practical
111 to obtain specimens at the time the patient was attending the service. Though the sputum
112 sample collected in the morning is 10% more sensitive compared to spot sample because of
113 higher bacillary load[9], in our study there was no big difference in the yields of the spot2
114 sample and morning sample. Therefore if two spot samples are properly collected on the
115 same day, morning samples may not be necessary as the difference is not statistically
116 significant.

117 Studies conducted in India on the comparison of same day versus Conventional microscopy
118 have yielded differing results. One study conducted Rajahmundry; India [9] had similar
119 results to our study whereas two other studies in India [10, 11] reported different results. The
120 difference in these findings, it might be related to the differences in patient characteristics
121 and the spectrum of severity of TB in populations, which could be assessed indirectly using
122 the distribution of smear quantification among smear positive TB patients [12].

Further, this same day diagnostic approach for PTB can help to initiate therapy on the same day and can save time as well as resources of the patients.

5. CONCLUSION

According to the findings of this study there is sufficient generalizable evidence that same-day diagnosis using the spot spot algorithm (microscopy of two consecutive spot-spot sputum specimens) is equivalent, in terms of diagnostic accuracy, to conventional case-finding strategies by microscopy. Same day smear microscopy for diagnosing tuberculosis is feasible in a rural setup as the two spot samples on the same day yielded comparable results and there was no much gain from early morning sample.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

CONSENT

"All authors declare that 'informed consent was obtained from all the participants.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

This study was approved by the Institutional Ethics review committee of Mbarara University of Science and technology and the Uganda National Council for Science and Technology

REFERENCES

1. Perkins MD, Cunningham J. **Improving the diagnosis of tuberculosis in the HIV era.** J Infectious diseases, 2007. **196(S)**(5): p. 15-27.
2. WHO/TDR, **Diagnostics for tuberculosis: global demand and market potential.** [<http://www.who.int/tdr/old/publications/publications/tbdi.htm>], 2006.
3. Andrews RH, Radhakrishna S, **A comparison of two methods of sputum collection in the diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis.** Tubercle, 1959. **40**(3): p. 155-62.
4. WHO, **New WHO policies.** [who.int/tb/dots/laboratory/policy/en/index.html](http://www.who.int/tb/dots/laboratory/policy/en/index.html) 2007.
5. Ramsay A, Harries AD. **The clinical value of new diagnostic tools for tuberculosis.** FIOD Medicine Reports, 2009;. **1**: p. 36.
6. Chandrasekaran V, Ramachandran R., Cunningham J, Balasubramaniam R, Thomas A, et al. , **Factors leading to tuberculosis diagnostic drop-out and delayed treatment initiation in Chennai, India.** Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2005. **9**: p. 172.
7. Squire S. B., Belaye A. K., A. Kashoti, et al. **Lost smear positive pulmonary tuberculosis cases: where are they and why did we lose them?** Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2005. **9**(1): p. 25-31.

- 166 8. Keeler E, Perkins MD., Small P, et al. **Reducing the global burden of**
167 **tuberculosis: the contribution of improved diagnostics.** Nature 2006. **444**(1): p.
168 49-57.
- 169 9. Chandra TJ (2012) **Same day sputum smear microscopy approach for the**
170 **diagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis in a microscopy centre at Rajahmundry.**
171 **Indian J Tuberc 59: 141-144. PubMed: 23362710.**
- 172 10. Myneedu VP, Verma AK, Sharma PP, Behera D (2011) **A pilot study of same data**
173 **sputum smear examination, its feasibility and usefulness in diagnosis of**
174 **pulmonary TB.** Indian J Tuberc 58: 160-167. PubMed: 22533165.
- 175 11. Nayak P, Kumar AMV, Claassens M, Enarson DA, Satyanarayana S, et al. (2013)
176 **Comparing Same Day Sputum Microscopy with Conventional Sputum**
177 **Microscopy for the Diagnosis of Tuberculosis – Chhattisgarh, India.** PLoS ONE
178 8(9): e74964. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074964
- 179 12. Hobby GL, Holman AP, Iseman MD, Jones JM (1973) **Enumeration of tubercle**
180 **bacilli in sputum of patients with pulmonary tuberculosis.** Antimicrob Agents
181 **Chemother 4: 94-104. doi:10.1128/AAC.4.2.94. PubMed: 4208508.**
182