



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_14405
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Acetylation on the Physical and Functional Properties of Industrial and Laboratory Cassava (Manihot esculenta) Starches
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Source of Materials : Degree of acetylated potato starch should given. What 's the different on extract method between industrial starch and lab starch? The purity of starch in the study should be given. 2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION : Why the properties of lab starch and industrial starch are different? Author should put acetylated potato starch as control in all experiments. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	China