2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47

48

# Original Research Article

# ASSESSMENT OF DIFFERENT LAND PREPARATION FOR SAWAH FARMING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT IN NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT AND RICE GRAIN YIELD IMPROVEMENT IN INLAND VALLEYS OF SOUTHEASTERN NIGERIA

**ABSTRACT** 

Failures in agricultural development in inland valleys of southeastern Nigeria may have been caused by the inability of the farmers to develop these abundant inland valleys for such crops like rice using appropriate water management systems. In an attempt to replicate the successful Japanese Satoyama watershed management model in the African agro-ecosystems, sawah rice cultivation technology has been introduced to West Africa in the last two decades. This study was conducted in an inland valley at Akaeze, Ivo Local Government Area of Ebonyi State, Southeastern Nigeria, in 2012, 2013 and 2014 cropping seasons, to evaluate the effects of four different tillage specifications (tillage environments) and different amendments under sawah water management system on soil properties and rice grain yield. Puddling is one of the normal land preparation processes employed in the development of sawah fields. which are usually located in lowlands. Sawah described as an Indo-Malaysian word for padi, refers to leveled rice field surrounded by bunds with inlets and outlets for irrigation and drainage. A split- plot in a randomized complete block design was used to evaluate these two factors specifications/environments and soil amendments) as they affect the soil properties of the studied location and the grain yield of rice as a test crop. The four tillage specifications/environments for rice growing served as main plots and are; complete sawah tillage- bunded, puddled and leveled rice field (CST); farmers tillage environment- no bunding and leveling rice field (FTE); incomplete sawah tillagebundding with minimum leveling and puddling rice field (ICST) and partial sawah tillage- after bunding, no puddling and leveling rice field (PST). The amendments, which constituted the sub-plots, were applied as follows: 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> rice husk, 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of rice husk ash, 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of poultry droppings, 400 kgha<sup>-1</sup> of N.P.K. 20:10:10 and 0 tha (control). The study was undertaken in 3 cropping seasons (2012, 2013 and 2014) using the same watershed and treatments. The additive residual effects of the amendments were not studied in the course of this research. A bulk soil sample was collected at 0-20 cm depth in the location before tillage and amendments for initial soil characteristics. At the end of each harvest, another soil sampling was carried out on different treated plots to ascertain the changes that occurred in the soil due to treatments application. Selected soil chemical properties analyzed for included; soil pH, OC, total nitrogen, exchangeable bases (Na<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup>) and CEC, while the rice grain yields was also measured at each harvest. The soil amendments were analyzed for N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Na, and organic carbon. Data collected were subjected to statistical analysis using Genstat 3 7.2 Edition. The results showed that the soil pH, organic carbon (OC) and total nitrogen (TN) were significantly (p < 0.05) improved by different tillage parameters for the three years of study. The exchangeable bases were equally significantly (p < 0.05) improved by the tillage specifications within the periods. CEC was significantly (p < 0.05) improved by the tillage environments on the  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  year of studies. The soil amendments significantly (p < 0.05) improved the soil pH, OC, TN and all the exchangeable bases within the periods of study. The interaction significantly (p < 0.05) improved the soil exchangeable Ca2+ and Mg<sup>2+</sup> on the third year of study. The result showed a significant improvement on the rice grain yield by the tillage environments and amendments within the periods of study. It was also obtained that all the sawah adopted tillage environments positively improved both the soil parameters and rice grain yield relatively higher than the farmers' tillage environment. Generally, it was noted the superiority of organic amendments over mineral fertilizer in soil properties and grain yield improvement.

#### INTRODUCTION

51

- 52 Increasing food production both to meet in-country requirements and to help the world overcome food
- 53 crisis is one major issue facing Nigeria today. Nigeria is relatively blessed with enough rain and high
- 54 potential inland valleys for cropping. In spite of the potentials of Nigeria inland valleys especially the
- 55 Southeast for Agricultural use, these areas are yet to be exploited fully.
- 56 Poor soil fertility and inefficient weed and water control are the major constraints to proper utilization of
- 57 these inland valleys for sustainable rice-based cropping [1-4].
- 58 The soils of Southeastern Nigeria particularly, Ebonyi State is low in fertility. The soils have been noted to
- 59 be acidic, low in organic matter status, cation exchange capacity and other essential nutrients [5-9].
- 60 Studies on the interaction of organic and inorganic manure with water management systems to improve
- 61 soil properties under rice sawah management system have not received much attention in Nigeria.
- 62 Determining appropriate fertility, weed and water management practices could lead to improved and 63 sustainable crop yields in these areas. An African adaptive sawah lowland farming with small scale irrigation scheme for integrated watershed management will be the most promising strategy to tackle 64 65 these problems and restore the degraded inland valleys in these areas for increased and sustainable food 66 production [10 - 12]. With the introduction of the sawah rice production technology to Nigeria in the late 67 1990s and its high compatibility with our inland valleys, the place of these land resources in our 68 agricultural development in this Southeastern Nigeria and realization of green revolution is increasingly 69 becoming clearer Obalum et al. [13]. However, most farmers do not know much about the rudiments or fundamentals of this technology. It is therefore important to note that the rice field environment
- 70 71 determines good management of fertility, weed and water. Andriesse, [14] noted that in order to realize
- 72 and sustain the potential benefits accruable from cultivating the inland valleys of West Africa, much of the
- 73 research effort in these land resources is geared towards alleviating productivity constraints.
- 74 Sawah has been described severally as an Indo-Malaysian word for padi (Malay word for paddy) or 75 lowland rice management system comprising bunding, puddling, levelling and good water management 76 through irrigation and drainage [15]. Sawah system ensures that certain water level (minimum and 77 maximium) is maintained in field plots during the growing period of the plant. It restores/replenishes the 78 lowland with nutrients as it resists erosion. The mechanisms in sawah system of nutrient replenishments 79 encourage not only rice growth, but also the breeding of various microbes, which improves biological 80 nitrogen fixation [16].
- 81 In southeastern Nigeria, especially Ebonyi State activities aimed at ensuring food security include the 82 cultivation of rice in the numerous inland valleys in the area under the traditional and partial sawah tillage 83 systems. The impacts of full adoptions of the complete sawah tillage system (in which puddling is a key 84 soil management practice) in terms of soil fertility improvement and crop yield have not been studied.
- 85 The study aimed at bridging the gaps in knowledge of appropriate sawah tillage methods for the 86 development of suitable sawah environment in inland valley rice production and soil fertility maintenance 87 among the rice farmers in Nigeria. It also aimed at assessing different soil amendments using different 88 ploughing (tillage environments) to sawah technology for appropriate fertility, rice and water management 89 in inland valleys of Southeastern Nigeria.

# 90

91

92

#### 2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 2.1 Location of Study

- 93 The study was conducted in 2012, 2013 and 2014 on the floodplain of Ivo River in Akaeze, Ebonyi South
- 94 agro-ecological zone of Ebonyi State.
- 95 Akaeze lies at approximately latitude 05°56 N and longitude 07°41 E. The annual rainfall for the area is
- 96 1,350 mm, spread from April to October with average air temperature of 29° C [17]. The relief of the study
- 97 area is low-lying and undulating. The geology of the area comprises sequences of sandy shales, with fine
- 98 grained micaceous sandstones and mudstones that is Albian in age and belongs to the Asu River Group
- 99 [18].

The site is within the derived savanna vegetation zone with grassland and tree combinations. The soils are described as Aeric Tropoaquent [19] or Gleyic Cambisol [20]. The soils have moderate soil organic carbon (OC) content on the topsoil, low in pH and low cation exchange capacity (CEC). Soils are mainly used for rain-fed rice cultivation during the rains and vegetable production as the rain recedes.

#### 2.2 Field method

The field location was divided into four different main plots where the four tillage practices were adopted. Bulk (composite) sample was collected at 0-20 cm soil depth for initial soil characteristics (Table 1). Out of the four main plots, three were demarcated with a 0.6 m raised bunds. In these plots, water was controlled and maintained to an approximate level of between 5 cm to 10 cm from 2 weeks after transplanting to the stage of ripening of the grains, while in other plot without bunds which represent the farmers' tillage field; water was allowed to flow in and out as it comes, as described below:

The four tillage practices which represented the 4 main plots include;

- Main plot I; Complete sawah tillage: bunded, puddle and leveled rice field (CST)
- Main plot II; Incomplete sawah tillage: bunded and puddle with minimum leveling rice field (ICST)
- Main plot III; Partial sawah tillage: bunded, no puddling and leveling rice field (PST)
- Main plot IV; Farmers tillage practice: no bunding, puddling and leveling rice field (FTE)

The complete and incomplete sawah tillage practices were tilled with power-tiller according to the specification of the tillage practice; the rest of other tillage practices were manually tilled using the specifications stated above.

This was followed by the demarcation of each of the main plots into five subplots with other raised bunds, which were treated with soil amendments. In each of the sub- plots, the following treatments were arranged as a Split-Plot in a randomized complete block design (RCBD).

- I PD Poultry droppings @ 10 ton/ha
- II F NPK fertilizer (20:10:10) @ 400 kg/ha recommended rate for rice in the zones
- III RHA Rice husk ash @ 10 ton/ha obtain within the vicinity
- IV RH Rice husk @ 10ton/ha, also obtained within the vicinity
- V CT Control (no soil amendment)

# Table 1: Some properties of the topsoil of the experimental plots (0-20 cm) before tilling and amendment

Soil Property	Value	
Clay (%)	10	
Silt (%)	21	
Total sand (%)	69	
Textural class	SL	
Organic matter %	2.64	
Organic carbon % (OC)	1.61	
Total nitrogen % (N)	0.091	
pH (H <sub>2</sub> O)	3.6	
pH (KCI)	3.0	
Exchangeable bases (cmolkg <sup>-1</sup> )		
Sodium (Na)	0.15	
Potassium (K)	0.04	
Calcium (Ca)	1.0	
Magnesium (Mg)	0.6	
Cation exchange capacity (CEC)	5.6	
Exchangeable acidity (EA)	3.2	
Available phosphorous (mg/kg)	4.20	
Base saturation (BS)	24.70	

L = Loamy soil; SL = Sandy-loam soil

Table 2: Nutrient compositions (%) in the amendments

	Amendment		
	Poultry dropping (PD)	Rice husk (RH)	Rice husk ash (RHA)
OC	16.52	33.75	3.89
N	2.10	0.70	0.056
Na	0.34	0.22	0.33
K	0.48	0.11	1.77
Ca	14.4	0.36	1.4
Mg P	1.2	0.38	5.0
Ρ̈́	2.55	0.49	11.94
C:N	7.87	48.21	6.71

OC = Organic carbon; N = Nitrogen; Na = Sodium; K = Potassium; Ca = Calcium; Mg = Magnesium; P = Phosphorous; C:N = Carbon: Nitrogen ratio

Each treatment was replicated three times and each sub-plot was 6 m x 6 m. The PD, RHA and RH were incorporated manually into the top 20 cm soil depth of the plots that received them 2 weeks before transplanting. The nutrient contents of these organic amendments were determined (Table 2).

The test crop was high-tillering rice variety Oryza sativa var. FARO 52 (WITA 4). The rice seeds were first raised in the nursery and later transplanted to the main field after 3 weeks in nursery. At maturity, rice grains were harvested, dried and yield computed at 90% dry matter content. At the end of harvest, soil samples were collected from each replicate of every plot from each of the location for chemical analyses to determine the changes occurred in the soil due to the treatments application.

#### 2.3 Laboratory methods

134 135

136

137 138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145 146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155 156

157

159

160 161

162

163

164

165

166

167 168

169

170

171

172

173

174

Soil samples were air-dried and sieved with 2 mm sieve. Soil fractions less than 2 mm from individual samples were then analyzed using the following methods; Particle size distribution of less than 2 mm fine earth fractions was measured by the hydrometer method as described by Gee and Bauder [21]. Soil pH was measured in a 1:2.5 soil:0.1 M KCl suspensions. The soil OC was determined by the Walkley and Black method described by Nelson and Sommers [22]. Total nitrogen was determined by semi-micro kjeldahl digestion method using sulphuric acid and CuSO<sub>4</sub> and Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> catalyst mixture [23]. Exchangeable cations were determined by the method of Thomas [24]. CEC was determined by the method described by Rhoades [25].

#### 2.4 Data analysis

Data analysis was performed using GENSTAT 3 7.2 Edition. Treatment means were separated and 158 compared using Least Significant Difference (LSD) and all inferences were made at 5% Level of probability.

#### 3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Effects of sawah tillage environments and amendments on the soil pH

The results of soil pH (Table 3) revealed that there was significant difference (P<0.05) among the sawah tillage environment. The results (Table 3) indicated that among the tillage environments, complete sawah tillage environment significantly increased the soil pH in all the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> year of study. The pH values varied from 3.79 - 4.02, 4.30 - 4.64, 4.47 - 4.83 (farmers' - complete sawah tillage environment) in the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> year of study, respectively. It was noted from the results that farmers tillage environment generally performed statistically (p < 0.05) lower relatively to other sawah tillage environment for the three years of study. The increased pH values in complete sawah tillage environment could be attributed to the geological fertilization with materials from the upland region that are later moved into the rice field, thereby increasing the base saturation of the soil, hence improvement in the pH of the soil. This agreed with Wakatsuki et al. [26] and Fashola et al. [27] who affirmed that fertile topsoil formed in forest ecosystem and sedimentation of the eroded topsoil in lowland sawah is the geological fertilization process. Generally, the significant improvement in pH of the studied soil in all the sawah tillage

environments where water is ponded could also be linked to the findings of Russel [28], that the pH of a submerged soil usually rises, but where the temperature of the soil, the amount of reducible substances, or the amount of ferric iron is too low to produce sufficient ferrous iron for the buffering to become operatives, the pH may tend to decrease.

Nwite *et al.* [9] remarked that pH increased significantly in *sawah* water – managed system in a two year of study to evaluate *sawah* and non-*sawah* water management systems in a similar location.

The soil pH was significantly (p < 0.05) improved higher in soils treated with rice husk ash in all the *sawah* tillage including the farmers' tillage environment for the three years of study. The values ranged from 3.57 – 4.30, 3.50 – 4.84 and 3.73 – 5.03, in the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> year of study, respectively. The significant improvement made by RHA on pH agrees with the findings of Abyhammer *et al.* [29]; Markikainen, [30] and Nwite *et al.* [12]; who stated that ash amendment could induce a pH increase by as much as 0.6 – 1.0 units in humus soils. Generally, the result showed that soils treated with amendments increased pH significantly higher than untreated for period of study. This result is in conformity with the finding of Opara-Nnadi *et al.* [31] who reported pH increase following the application of organic wastes.

Table 3: Effects of Tillage environments and amendments soil pH

Sawah Tillage	Amen	dments				
environments	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
Yea	ar 1					
Complete	3.6	3.7	4.1	4.2	4.5	4.02
Incomplete	3.6	3.9	4.3	3.8	4.4	4.01
Partial	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.9	4.3	3.88
Farmer	3.5	4.0	3.7	3.8	3.9	3.79
Mean	3.57	3.84	3.97	3.93	4.30	
LSD (0.05) Tillage	environments	;	N	IS		
LSD (0.05) Amendr	ment		0.	1789		
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environments	x Amendm	ents 0.	3553		
	Year 2					
Complete	3.7	4.8	4.8	4.7	5.1	4.64
Incomplete	3.4	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.9	4.51
Partial	3.4	4.7	4.6	4.6	4.7	4.42
Farmer	3.4	4.5	4.6	4.4	4.6	4.30
Mean	3.50	4.68	4.68	4.63	4.84	
LSD (0.05) Tillage		;	0.	1182		
LSD (0.05) Amendr				0897		
LSD (0.05) Tillage	environments	x Amendm	ents N	S		
	Year 3					
Complete	4.0	5.0	4.9	4.9	5.3	4.83
Incomplete	3.7	4.8	4.9	4.8	5.0	4.65
Partial	3.7	4.8	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.61
Farmer	3.5	4.6	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.47
Mean	3.73	4.83	4.83	4.97	5.03	
LSD (0.05) Tillage		;		1952		
LSD (0.05) Amendr				1230		
LSD (0.05) Tillage						

CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash, NS = non-significant.

#### 3.2 Effects of sawah tillage environments and amendments on the soil organic carbon (SOC)

It was also obtained that sawah tillage environments significantly (p < 0.05) affected soil organic carbon (SOC) pool higher compared to farmers' tillage method (Table 4). The results (Table 4) showed that complete sawah tillage environment significantly (p < 0.05) improved the accumulation of soil organic

carbon over other sawah tillage environments. 0.92 - 1.34, 1.03 - 1.47, 1.06 - 1.51 range values were obtained in the first, second and third year, farmers' to complete tillage field, respectively. This could be attributed to finer fractions that were formed after the destruction of the soil structure due to puddling in the complete sawah tillage environment [13]. This shows the superiority of sawah eco-technology if the whole components are fully employed on sawah farming operations. It is also significant in harnessing the health conditions of the soil and reduction in global warming. Hirose and Wakatsuki, [10]; Wakatsuki et al. [32] submitted that sawah fields will contribute to the alleviation of global warming problems through the fixation of carbon in forest and sawah soils in ecologically sustainable ways.

This result also affirms the findings of Igwe *et al.* [17] that higher soil organic carbon was recorded in soils with finer fraction (WSA<1.00) brought about by well puddle activity associated with a complete *sawah* technology. This arrangement confirms the submission of Igwe and Nwokocha [33] and Lee *et al.* [34] that more SOC was found in finer aggregates than in the macro-aggregates. Follet [35] showed that sequestering  $CO_2$  from the atmosphere through improved soil management practices can have a positive impact on soil resources, because increasing soil C increases the functional capabilities of soils.

It was also obtained from the results (Table 4) that soil amendments significantly improved the soil organic carbon relatively higher than the control. The result equally indicated a significantly higher SOC concentration on plots amended with rice husk dust than plots amended with other treatments. The result confirms the findings of Lee *et al.* [34] who reported from a long-term paddy study in southeast Korea that continuous application of compost improved SOC concentration and soil physical properties in the plough layer, relative to inorganic fertilizer application. The results also showed that there was significant improvement on the buildup of SOC with the interactions of *sawah* tillage environments and amendments at a long-term management. This agreed with the submission that incorporation of plant residues coupled with appropriate puddling and water management build up organic carbon status of soil [36].

Table 4: Effects of Tillage environments and amendments soil organic carbon

Sawah Tillage	Amend	ments				
environments	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
Yea						
Complete	0.83	1.72	1.21	1.85	1.09	1.34
Incomplete	0.76	1.22	1.21	1.28	1.15	1.13
Partial	0.90	1.02	1.03	1.47	1.21	1.13
Farmer	0.63	1.09	1.09	1.21	0.57	0.92
Mean	0.78	1.26	1.14	1.45	1.01	
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	nvironments			0.2650		
LSD (0.05) Amendm	ent			0.2579		
LSD (0.05) Tillage e		x Amendment	ts	NS		
```	Year 2					
Complete	0.99	1.81	1.46	1.89	1.20	1.47
Incomplete	0.92	1.28	1.49	1.53	1.22	1.29
Partial	0.87	1.19	1.42	1.57	1.14	1.24
Farmer	0.74	1.11	1.14	1.22	0.96	1.03
Mean	0.88	1.35	1.38	1.55	1.13	
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	nvironments		0	.2134		
LSD (0.05) Amendm			C	).1558		
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	nvironments	x Amendment	ts N	IS		
```	Year 3					
Complete	1.07	1.80	1.52	1.91	1.27	1.51
Incomplete	0.92	1.21	1.55	1.38	1.24	1.26
Partial	0.67	1.27	1.53	1.69	1.13	1.26
Farmer	0.83	1.17	1.13	1.20	0.99	1.06
Mean	0.87	1.36	1.43	1.54	1.16	
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	nvironments		0	.1897		
LSD (0.05) Amendm	ent		C	).2131		

LSD (0.05) Tillage environments x Amendments NS

CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash, NS = non-significant.

## 3.3 Effects of sawah tillage environments and amendments on the soil total nitrogen

The results (Table 5) also indicated that there was significant difference among the *sawah* tillage environments in the second and third year of study in the site. It was equally obtained that among the four tillage environments, complete *sawah* tillage environment statistically (p < 0.05) improved soil total nitrogen higher than other tillage adopted environments. This affirms the submissions made by some researchers that, soil submergence also promotes biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) [37], and submerged soils can sustain an indigenous N supply for rice as evidenced by long-term stable yields in minus-N plots in long term experiments. Buresh *et al.* [37] stated that uncontrolled water in lowland rice field results in alternate wetting and drying which leads to greater sequential nitrogen-denitrification than with continuous submergence.

The results (Table 5) equally pointed highly significant (Table 5) differences on the soil total nitrogen with application of amendments in all the three years of the study. It was obtained that NPK amended plots did improve the element higher within the period of study. Consequently, there was an increased trend in the soil total nitrogen as the year progresses.

The interaction of the two factors only improved the soil total nitrogen significantly in the second year of study.

Table 5: Effects of Tillage environments and amendments soil total nitrogen

Sawah Tillage	Amendn	nents				
environments	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
Yea					11174	moun
Complete	0.059	0.117	0.098	0.079	0.084	0.088
Incomplete	0.049	0.098	0.084	0.065	0.075	0.074
Partial	0.051	0.089	0.093	0.088	0.112	0.087
Farmer	0.050	0.089	0.079	0.084	0.061	0.073
Mean	0.053	0.098	0.089	0.079	0.087	
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environments		NS			
LSD (0.05) Amendr	ment		0.02	060		
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environments x	Amendment	ts NS			
	Year 2					
Complete	0.060	0.117	0.103	0.103	0.095	0.095
Incomplete	0.045	0.110	0.095	0.089	0.081	0.084
Partial	0.041	0.095	0.099	0.092	0.099	0.085
Farmer	0.043	0.079	0.075	0.072	0.069	0.068
Mean	0.047	0.100	0.093	0.089	0.086	
LSD (0.05) Tillage			0.006			
LSD (0.05) Amendr			0.00			
LSD (0.05) Tillage		Amendment	ts 0.013	340		
	Year 3					
Complete	0.065	0.117	0.116	0.107	0.089	0.099
Incomplete	0.047	0.114	0.098	0.095	0.085	0.088
Partial	0.041	0.102	0.107	0.098	0.094	0.089
Farmer	0.047	0.083	0.079	0.080	0.075	0.073
Mean	0.050	0.104	0.100	0.095	0.086	
LSD (0.05) Tillage			0.012			
LSD (0.05) Amendr			0.00	876		
LSD (0.05) Tillage	environments x	Amendment	ts NS			

246
 247
 248
 CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash, NS = non-significant.

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261 262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271 272

273

## 3.4 Effects of sawah tillage environments and amendments on the exchangeable bases

The results (Tables 6, 7, 8 and 9) indicated that different sawah tillage environments significantly improved the exchangeable bases with complete sawah tillage environment giving a higher significant (p. < 0.05) increase in the exchangeable bases in the three years of study than others. Generally, all the sawah tillage environments with sawah technology component(s) statistically (p < 0.05) improved the exchangeable bases relatively higher than the farmers'/traditional adopted tillage environment. Eswaran et al., [38]; Abe et al., [39] reported that these natural soil fertility replenishment mechanisms observed in sawah adopted plots are essential for enhancing the sustainability and productivity of lowland rice farming systems in inherently unfertile soils in West Africa and Sub-Saharan Africa. Nwite et al., [9] affirms that essential plant nutrients such as K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> including fertility index like the CEC were improved upon in sawah managed plots than non-sawah managed plots within the studied period in an experiment conducted in one of the same location. The results (Tables 6, 7, 8 and 9) also showed that the soil amendments equally improved (P<0.05) the exchangeable bases in the studied location. Generally, the result confirmed that rice husk ash performed significantly higher in the improvement of the exchangeable bases than other treatments. This result confirms the submission of Nwite et al. [12] that amending the lowland soils of Southeastern Nigeria with plant residue ash under sawah management system of rice production improved the organic carbon and total nitrogen, exchangeable K<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup> of the soil.

It was also recorded that the interactions of the four tillage environments and amendments significantly improved the exchangeable magnesium and calcium in the second and third year of study.

This result agrees with Buri *et al.* [40] who report that increased nutrient use efficiency is basically associated with improved water management. The "*sawah*" system leads to not only significant improvements in nutrient use but also in water use as well.

Table 6: Effects of Tillage environments and amendments soil exchangeable sodium

Sawah Tillage	Amen	dments				
environments	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
Yea	ar 1					
Complete	0.107	0.153	0.177	0.197	0.150	0.157
Incomplete	0.107	0.173	0.183	0.197	0.120	0.156
Partial <sup>*</sup>	0.143	0.247	0.197	0.187	0.140	0.183
Farmer	0.100	0.157	0.153	0.127	0.137	0.135
Mean	0.114	0.183	0.178	0.177	0.137	
LSD (0.05) Tillage	environment	S	N:	S		
LSD (0.05) Amenda			0.0	) <mark>2772</mark> )		
LSD (0.05) Tillage	environment	s x Amendmer	nts NS	3		
	Year 2					
Complete	0.163	0.250	0.243	0.240	0.267	0.233
Incomplete	0.140	0.223	0.227	0.217	0.240	0.209
Partial	0.153	0.220	0.223	0.220	0.233	0.210
Farmer	0.130	0.203	0.193	0.187	0.203	0.183
Mean	0.147	0.224	0.222	0.216	0.236	
LSD (0.05) Tillage	environment	S	0.0	)1844		
LSD (0.05) Amenda	ment		0.0	)1748		
LSD (0.05) Tillage	environment	s x Amendmer	nts NS	3		
	Year 3					
Complete	0.183	0.260	0.263	0.250	0.290	0.249
Incomplete	0.173	0.233	0.237	0.230	0.250	0.225
Partial	0.173	0.240	0.233	0.230	0.260	0.227
Farmer	0.153	0.223	0.203	0.193	0.213	0.197
Partial Farmer Mean LSD (0.05) Tillage (LSD (0.05) Amendi LSD (0.05) Tillage (Complete Incomplete Partial	0.153 0.130 <b>0.147</b> environments ment environments <b>Year 3</b> 0.183 0.173 0.173	0.220 0.203 <b>0.224</b> s s x Amendmer 0.260 0.233 0.240	0.223 0.193 <b>0.222</b> 0.0 0.0 onts NS 0.263 0.237 0.233	0.220 0.187 <b>0.216</b> 01844 01748 0.250 0.230 0.230	0.233 0.203 <b>0.236</b> 0.290 0.250 0.260	0.210 0.183 0.249 0.225 0.227

Mean	0.171	0.239	0.234	0.226	0.227
LSD (0.05) Tilla	age environment	S	0.0	02638	
LSD (0.05) Am	endment		0.	02475	
	age environment	s x Amendment	s NS	3	

LSD (<sub>0.05</sub>) Illage environments x Amendments NS

CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash, NS = non-significant.

Table 7: Effects of Tillage environments and amendments soil exchangeable potassium

Sawah Tillage	Amend	lments				
environments	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
Yea			<del>-</del>			
Complete	0.017	0.057	0.097	0.053	0.070	0.059
Incomplete	0.013	0.050	0.060	0.040	0.057	0.044
Partial	0.013	0.036	0.050	0.030	0.047	0.035
Farmer	0.013	0.023	0.023	0.016	0.040	0.023
Mean	0.014	0.042	0.058	0.035	0.053	
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environments		0.0	01713		
LSD (0.05) Amendr	nent		0.0	1484		
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environments	x Amendmer	nts NS	}		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Year 2					
Complete	0.027	0.070	0.090	0.073	0.093	0.071
Incomplete	0.013	0.067	0.110	0.063	0.087	0.068
Partial	0.023	0.067	0.080	0.067	0.063	0.060
Farmer	0.013	0.053	0.070	0.053	0.060	0.050
Mean	0.019	0.064	0.088	0.064	0.076	
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environments		0.0	1032		
LSD (0.05) Amendr	nent		0.0	1031		
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environments	x Amendmer	nts NS	}		
	Year 3					
Complete	0.040	0.073	0.097	0.077	0.103	0.078
Incomplete	0.040	0.077	0.123	0.073	0.090	0.081
Partial	0.033	0.073	0.087	0.077	0.087	0.071
Farmer	0.023	0.067	0.087	0.070	0.067	0.063
Mean	0.034	0.073	0.098	0.074	0.087	
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environments		NS	}		
LSD (0.05) Amendr	nent			1873		
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environments	x Amendmer	nts NS			

CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash, NS = non-significant.

Table 8: Effects of Tillage environments and amendments soil exchangeable calcium

Sawah Tillage environments	e Ame	ndments				
	CT	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
Ye	ear 1					
Complete	1.13	1.67	1.80	1.47	1.87	1.59
Incomplete	1.07	1.57	1.53	1.50	1.83	1.50
Partial	1.00	1.53	1.47	1.47	1.47	1.39
Farmer	1.00	1.43	1.33	1.53	1.40	1.34
Mean	1.05	1.55	1.53	1.49	1.64	
LSD (0.05) Tillage	environmen	ts	0.0	) <mark>751</mark> )		
LSD (0.05) Amend			0.1	625		
LSD (0.05) Tillage		ts x Amendmer	nts NS	}		

	Year 2					
Complete	1.13	2.07	1.97	1.93	2.67	1.95
Incomplete	1.00	1.77	2.00	1.77	2.20	1.75
Partial	1.00	1.80	1.80	1.77	2.00	1.67
Farmer	1.00	1.60	1.60	1.60	1.70	1.50
Mean	1.03	1.81	1.84	1.77	2.14	
LSD (0.05) Tillage	environmen	ts	<b>0</b> .	<b>1017</b>		
LSD (0.05) Amend	dment		0.	1266		
LSD (0.05) Tillage	environmen	ts x Amendm	ents 0.	2403		
	Year 3					
Complete	1.27	2.13	2.13	2.00	2.93	2.09
Incomplete	1.07	1.87	2.13	1.80	2.43	1.86
Partial	1.03	1.97	1.93	1.93	2.20	1.81
Farmer	1.00	1.70	1.77	1.70	1.77	1.59
Mean	1.09	1.92	1.99	1.86	2.33	
LSD (0.05) Tillage		ts	0.	1485		
LSD (0.05) Amend				1606		
LSD (0.05) Tillage		ts x Amendm		3108	DU vies busk	

CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash.

Table 9: Effects of Tillage environments and amendments soil exchangeable magnesium

Sawah Tillage	Amer	ndments				
environments	ОТ	NDI	DD.	В	DUIA	
.,	CT	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
	ar 1					
Complete	0.37	1.27	1.20	1.07	1.93	1.17
Incomplete	0.47	1.00	1.20	1.13	1.27	1.01
Partial	0.53	1.13	0.93	1.00	1.53	1.03
Farmer	0.40	0.93	1.07	.080	1.27	0.89
Mean	0.44	1.08	1.10	1.00	1.50	
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environment	S	NS			
LSD (0.05) Amendr	ment		0.2	2636		
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environment	s x Amendmer	nts NS	S		
	Year 2					
Complete	0.60	1.73	1.97	1.73	2.73	1.75
Incomplete	0.60	1.60	1.73	1.43	2.00	1.47
Partial	0.63	1.30	1.40	1.13	1.80	1.25
Farmer	0.43	1.00	1.07	1.00	1.27	0.95
Mean	0.57	1.41	1.54	1.33	1.95	
LSD (0.05) Tillage 6	environment	S	0.1	182		
LSD (0.05) Amendr			0.	1413		
LSD (0.05) Tillage		s x Amendmer	nts 0.2	2696		
(0.00)	Year 3					
Complete	0.93	1.93	2.07	1.93	2.93	1.96
Incomplete	0.70	1.80	1.87	1.60	2.27	1.65
Partial	0.70	1.40	1.40	1.23	2.00	1.35
Farmer	0.50	1.10	1.17	1.07	1.37	1.04
Mean	0.71	1.56	1.63	1.46	2.14	
LSD (0.05) Tillage				1479		
LSD (0.05) Amendr				1409		
LSD (0.05) Tillage		s x Amendmer		2789		

CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash.

3.5 Effects of sawah tillage environments and amendments on the soil cation exchange capacity (CEC)

The values of CEC (Table 10) in the whole soils in the first year was not positively influenced by different tillage environments, but the use of different *sawah* tillage environments significantly (p < 0.05) improved the CEC in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> year of study. It was generally observed that all *sawah* tillage environments significantly (p < 0.05) highly influenced the CEC relative to the farmers' environment, with complete tillage environment improving it best. The CEC values varied from 5.87 – 6.75 cmolkg<sup>-1</sup>, 5.59 – 10.31 cmolkg<sup>-1</sup> and 5.83 – 11.31 cmolkg<sup>-1</sup>, in the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> year, respectively. This result implies that there was geological fertilization process and cycling of nutrients in the inland valley soils. It also implies that soil erosion which tries to erode most topsoil nutrient of most inland valleys are eliminated or reduced when all the components of *sawah* technology is employed during lowland rice field operations. These assertion agrees with [41, 42, 10, 43, 44] that the soils formed and nutrients released during rockweathering and soil formation processes in upland areas arrive and accumulate in lowland areas through geological fertilization processes, such as soil erosion and sedimentation, as well as surface and ground water movements or colluviums formation processes. Ideal land use patterns and landscape management practices will optimize the geological fertilization processes through the optimum control of hydrology in a given watershed [38, 39].

The results (Table 10) also indicated a significant improvement on the soil CEC due to amendments within the period of study. Generally, there was a long-term improvement on the CEC of the locations with the application of different amendments. Poultry dropping amended plots generally improved the soil CEC higher than other amendments within the periods of study. The values ranged from 4.55 - 7.35 cmolkg<sup>-1</sup>, 4.33 - 9.47 and 4.35 - 10.60 cmolkg<sup>-1</sup>, in the first, second and third year of study.

Table 10: Effects of Tillage environments and amendments soil cation exchange capacity (cmolkg<sup>-1</sup>)

Sawah Tillage	Amend	ments				
environments	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean
Yea	ır 1					
Complete	4.53	6.27	8.67	6.53	7.73	6.75
Incomplete	4.67	5.20	7.47	6.40	7.33	6.21
Partial	5.33	5.20	6.73	6.07	7.40	6.15
Farmer	3.67	5.80	5.67	7.27	6.93	5.87
Mean	4.55	5.62	7.13	6.57	7.35	
LSD ( <sub>0.05</sub> ) Tillage e	environments		NS	3		
LSD $(0.05)$ Amendn			1.	035		
LSD $(0.05)$ Tillage e	environments	x Amendmer	its NS	S		
	Year 2					
Complete	4.60	10.33	12.07	13.07	11.47	10.31
Incomplete	4.47	8.20	10.67	7.07	8.20	7.72
Partial <sup>'</sup>	4.60	9.47	8.40	7.20	8.27	7.59
Farmer	3.63	5.77	6.73	5.07	6.73	5.59
Mean	4.33	8.44	9.47	8.10	8.67	
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	environments		2.0	021		
LSD (0.05) Amendn	nent		1.3	348		
LSD $(0.05)$ Tillage e	environments	x Amendmer	its NS	S		
	Year 3					
Complete	5.20	10.60	14.07	13.80	13.20	11.37
Incomplete	3.87	8.80	12.73	11.47	8.73	9.12
Partial <sup>.</sup>	4.67	10.47	8.73	7.67	9.07	8.12
Farmer	3.67	5.87	6.87	5.93	6.80	5.83
Mean	4.35	8.93	10.60	9.72	9.45	
LSD (0.05) Tillage e	environments		1.3	381		
LSD $(0.05)$ Amendn			1.	703		
LSD $(0.05)$ Tillage e		x Amendmer	its NS	S		

CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash, NS = non-significant.

# 3.6 Effects of sawah tillage environments and amendments on the rice grain yield

The results (Table 11) indicated a significant difference in the grain yield with the different *sawah* tillage environments in all the planting years. It did record that the highest significant values in the grain yield were obtained in complete *sawah* adopted tillage environment relative to other tillage environments including the farmers' tillage environment. The mean values varied from 2.84 – 4.75 t/ha, 3.28 – 4.72 t/ha and 6.06 – 6.96 t/ha in the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> year of planting, respectively (Table 11). The result agrees with the submissions of Becker and Johnson, [45]; Ofori *et al*, [43]; Touré *et al*, [46] that improved performance of field water management can sustainably increase rice yields. On the other hand, the higher grain yield of 6.06 t/ha recorded in the farmers' field could be attributed to higher level of nutrients management involved and improved variety used in the study. This agrees with the findings of Buri *et al.*, [40] who maintained that lowlands constitute one of the largest and appropriate environments suitable for rice cultivation. They further stated that, within these environments, crop is traditionally grown without any structures to control water, minimal use of fertilizers and most often than not local varieties are used. Paddy yields are therefore normally low under the traditional system and vary sharply due to yearly variation in total rainfall and its distribution.

Generally, all the *sawah* tillage environments significantly increased the grain yield higher than the farmers' growing environment within the three years of study.

The results indicated very great significant (p < 0.05) improvements in the yield of rice in the amended plots over the non-amended (control) plots for the three years of planting. The results showed the range mean values of the rice as; 1.91 to 4.23 t/ha in the first year, 1.62 to 4.77 t/ha in the second year and 3.76 to 7.47 t/ha in the third year of planting.

Achieving high yield in most West African ecology is difficult without soil amendment, as the soils are highly leached, porous and low in essential plant nutrient [6, 47].

The results equally indicated a significant increase in the grain yield of rice due to the interaction of sawah tillage environment and the amendments within the periods of study.

This result confirms the submissions of Becker and Johnson, [45]; Sakurai, [48]; and Toure *et al.* [46], that *sawah* system development can improve rice productivity in the lowlands to a great extent when applied in combination with improved varieties and fertilizers, and a certain amount of improvement can even be expected by bund construction which is one of the *sawah* system components.

Table 11: Effects of Sawah Tillage environments and amendments on the Rice Grain Yield (ton/ha)

Sawah Tillage	Amend	dments						
environments	СТ	NPK	PD	RH	RHA	Mean		
Year 1								
Complete	2.03	5.37	5.73	5.37	5.23	4.75		
Incomplete	1.97	3.70	4.17	3.10	3.83	3.35		
Partial	1.87	3.37	3.77	3.07	4.10	3.23		
Farmer	1.77	3.47	3.27	3.37	2.33	2.84		
Mean	1.91	3.98	4.23	3.73	3.88			
LSD ( <sub>0.05</sub> ) Tillage environments 0.7956								
LSD (0.05) Amendr	nent	0.5520						
LSD (0.05) Tillage environments x Amendments 1.1885								
,,,,,	Year 2							
Complete	1.97	5.77	5.77	5.30	4.80	4.72		
Incomplete	2.00	4.90	4.90	4.73	4.60	4.23		
Partial	1.43	4.27	4.37	4.80	4.67	3.91		
Farmer	1.07	3.40	4.03	4.17	3.73	3.28		
Mean	1.62	4.58	4.77	4.75	4.45			
LSD (0.05) Tillage environments				5494				
LSD (0.05) Amendment			0.	5894				
LSD (0.05) Tillage		s x Amendmer	nts 1.	1422				

	Year 3					
Complete	4.21	7.30	8.27	7.22	7.78	6.96
Incomplete	3.86	7.15	6.80	6.94	6.52	6.25
Partial	3.51	6.38	7.64	7.50	7.29	6.46
Farmer	3.44	5.82	7.15	7.43	6.45	6.06
Mean	3.76	6.66	7.47	7.27	7.01	
LSD (0.05) Tillag	e environmen	0.	550			
LSD (0.05) Amer	ndment	0.	0.685			
LSD (0.05) Tillag						

CT = control, NPK = nitrogen. phosphorous. potassium, PD = poultry dropping, RH = rice husk, RHA = rice husk ash.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

The study revealed the better performance of complete *sawah* tillage environment in ensuring the optimum restoration of degraded inland valley soils with optimum grain yield. It was noted the superiority of organic amendments over mineral fertilizer on a short-term bases in soil properties and grain yield improvement. The combination of good *sawah* management and amendment practices would improve the soil properties and rice grain yield. Therefore, *sawah* ecotechnology is possibly the most promising rice production method because the *sawah* system is already a highly productive and sustainable rice production system. These natural soil fertility replenishment mechanisms are essential for enhancing the sustainability and productivity of lowland rice farming systems in inherently unfertile soils in Southeastern Nigeria.

#### References

- 1. Moormann, F.R. Problem in characterizing and classifying wetland soils. In wetland soils. Characterization, classification, utilization. Proceeding of a workshop 26 mar. to 5 April 1984, 1985. 53-68, IRRI, Los Banos, Philippines.
- 2. Wakatsuki, T; Koski, T. and Palada, M. Ecological engineering for sustainable rice farming in inland valley (Ivs) in West Africa. Paper presented at the second WAFSRN symposium. Accra, Ghana; 1989.
- 3. Windmeijer, P. N. and Andriesse, W. Inland valleys in West Africa: An Agro-ecological characteristics of rice- growing environment, 1993. Pp28-37, ILRI. Wageningen, The Netherlands.
- 4. Otoo, E. and Asubonteng, K.O. Reconnaissance characterization of inland valleys in Southern Ghana. In characterization of inland valley Agron-ecosystems. A tool for their sustainable use. Proceeding of a workshop, 6 to 10 Nov. 1995, p 149-160. WARDA, Bouake, Ivory Coast. 10 Nov. 1995, p 149-160. WARDA, Bouake, Ivory Coast, 1995.
- 5. Asadu, C.L.A. and F.O.R. Akamigbo. Relative 13. FAO, 1988. Soil Map of the World: 1:5 million Contributions of Organic matter and clay fractions to cation exchange capacity of soils in southeastern Nigeria. Samaru Journal of Agricultural Research, 7: 1990; 17-23.
- 6. Enwezor, W.O., A.C. Ohiri, E.E. Opuwaribo and E.J. Udoh,. A review of fertilizer use of crops in Southeastern Zone of Nigeria. Fertilizer Procurement and Distribution Department, Lagos; 1988.
- 7. Nnabude, P.C. and J.S.C. Mbagwu. Soil water relations of a Nigerian Typic Haplustult Amended with fresh and burnt rice-mill wastes. Soil and tillage Research, 50(3-4): 1999. 207-214.
- 8. Ogbodo, E.N. and P.A. Nnabude. Evaluation of the Performance of three varieties of upland rice in degraded acid soil in Abakaliki, Ebonyl State. Journal of technology and Education in Nigeria, 9(2): 2004; 1-7.
- 9. Nwite, J.C; C. A. Igwe and T. Wakatsuki. Evaluation of Rice Management System in an Inland Valley in Southeastern Nigeria. I: Soil Chemical Properties and Rice Yield, Paddy and Water Environment, Vol. 6, No. 3, 2008, pp. 299 30.
- 10. Hirose, S and Wakatsuki, T. Restoration of inland valley ecosystems in West Africa. 2002, Pp56-86, 222-2224. Association of agriculture and forestry statistics. Megro-Sumiya building, Tokyo, Japan.
- 11. Hayashi, K and T. Wakatsuki. Sustainable soil fertility management by indigenous and scientific knowledge in Sahel zone of Niger, in the CD- ROM Transactions of the 17th World congress of

- soil science, symposium No. 15. perceptions of soil management: Matching indigenous and scientific knowledge systems, paper No. 1251; 2002.
  - 12. Nwite, J.C., S.E. Obalum, C.A. Igwe and T. Wakatsuki. Properties and Potential of Selected Ash Sources for Improving Soil Condition and Sawah Rice Yields in a Degraded Inland Valley in Southeastern Nigeria. World Journal Agricultural Sciences, 7(3): 304-310. 2011. ISSN 1817-3047.
  - 13. Obalum, S.E., J.C. Nwite, J. Oppong, C.A. Igwe and T. Wakatsuki. Comparative topsoil characteristics of sawah rice fields in selected inland valleys around Bida, North-Central Nigeria: Textural, structural and Hydro-physical properties. J. Paddy Water Environ. 2011; 9: 291-299. DOI 10.1007/s10333- 010-0233-3. Springer.
  - 14. Andriesse, W. Mapping and characterizing inland valley agro-ecosystems: the case of West Africa. In: Wetland characterization and classification for sustainable agricultural development. FAO Corporate Document Repository. 1998. Available online: <a href="http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/x6611e/x6611e03a.htm#1">http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/x6611e/x6611e03a.htm#1</a> MAP
  - 15. Wakatsuki, T. and Masunaga, T. Ecological engineering for sustainable food production and the restoration of degraded watersheds in Tropics of low pH soils: Focus on West Africa. Soil Sci. Plant Nutri; 51: 2005; 629-636.
  - Nwite, J.C, B.A. Essien, C.I. Keke, C A. Igwe, T. Wakatsuki. Evaluation of Water Sources for Sawah Management in the Restoration of Degraded Lowlands and Sustainable Rice Production in Southeastern Nigeria. Asian Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences (ISSN: 2321 – 1571) Volume 01– Issue 03, August 2013
  - 17. Igwe, C.A; J. C. Nwite; K. U. Agharanya; Y. Watanabe; S. E. Obalum; C. B. Okebalama & T. Wakatsuki. Aggregate-associated soil organic carbon and total nitrogen following amendment of puddled and sawahmanaged rice soils in Southeastern Nigeria, Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science, 2012; DOI:10.1080/03650340.2012.684877.
  - 18. Ezeh, H. N. and E. Chukwu. Small scale mining and heavy metals pollution of agricultural soils: The case of Ishiagu Mining District, South Eastern Nigeria. Journal of Geology and Mining Research Vol. 3(4) 2011; pp. 87-104, April 2011.
  - 19. USDA. Keys to Soil Taxonomy. Natural Resources Conservation Services, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C; 1998.
  - 20. FAO. Soil Map of the World: 1:5 million (Revised Legend). World Soil Resources Report, 60. Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO), Rome; 1988.
  - 21. Gee, G.W. and J.W. Bauder, Particle Size Analysis. In: Klute A (ed) Methods of Soil Analysis, part 1: Physical and Mineralogical Properties. Agronomy Monograph No 9. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, 1986. pp: 91-100.
  - 22. Nelson, D.W. and L.E. Sommers. Total carbon, total organic carbon and organic matter. In: Sparks DL (ed) Methods of soil analysis, part 3: chemical methods. Agronomy Monograph No 9. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, 1996; pp: 961-1010.
  - 23. Bremner, J.M. and C.S. Mulvancy. Total Nitrogen. In: A.L. Page et al., (eds.). Methods of Soil Analysis. No.9; part 2, Amer. Soc. Of Agron. Inc, Madison, Wisconsin, USA., 1982, pp: 595-624.
  - 24. Thomas, G.W. Exchangeable cations. In: A.L. Page, R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeny, (eds.), Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Am. Soc. Agron. Monogr., Madison, 1982; pp: 159-165.
  - 25. Rhoades, J.D. Cation exchange capacity. In: A.L. Page, R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeny, (eds.). Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, 1982. pp: 149-157.
  - 26. Wakatsuki T, Buri MM, and Fashola 0.0. Restoration of degraded inland valley watersheds in West Africa by sustainable "Sawah" development. Paper presented at the International Conference on "Managing Soils for Food Security, Human Health and the Environment: Emerging Strategies for Poverty Alleviation," 2003; GIMPA-Accra, Ghana, July 28- August 2, 2003.
  - 27. Fashola, O.O; K. Hayashi and T. Wakatsuki. Effect of water management and polyolefin coated urea on growth and nitrogen uptake of indica rice. J. Plant Nutr. 25: 2002; 2173 2190.
  - 28. Russels, E.J. Soil conditions and plant growth (11th eds.) Alan Wild (eds.) 1988. Longman Group U.K. Ltd. Pp 898 908.
- 447 29. Abyhammer, T; A. Fablin; A. Nelson and V. Henfrindison. Askater Forings system Deiproject I: Tekniker Ochmojiligheter. (Production of wood ash, techniques and possibilities), 1994; pp: 341. In Swedish with English Summary).

- 31. Opara-Nadi, O.A; B.S. Ezua; A. Wogu. Organic manures and inorganic fertilizers added to an acid ultisol in Southeastern Nigeria: II. Effects on soil chemical properties and nutrient loss, In: proceedings of the 15th Annual Conf. SSSN, Kaduna, Nigeria; 1987.
- 32. Wakatsuki T, Buri MM and Oladele O.I. West African green revolution by eco-technology and the creation of African SATOYAMA systems. Kyoto Working Papers on Area Studies No. 63; 2009, (G-COE Series 61). Center for Southeast Asian Studies, Kyoto, Japan. 30 p. ISBN 978 4 901668 63 7. <a href="http://www.humanosphere.cseas.kyoto-u.ac.jp/article.php/workingpaper61">http://www.humanosphere.cseas.kyoto-u.ac.jp/article.php/workingpaper61</a>
- 33. Igwe CA, Nwokocha D. Soil organic matter fractions and microaggregation in a ultisol under cultivation and secondary forest in southeastern Nigeria. Aust J Soil Res. 44: 2006, 627–635.
- 34. Lee SB, Lee CB, Jung KY, Park KD, Lee D, Kim PJ. Changes of soil organic carbon and its fractions in relation to soil physical properties in a long-term fertilized paddy. Soil Till Res. 104: 2009, 227–232.
- 35. Follet RF. Soil carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 74: 2010, 345–346.
- 36. Bhagat, R.M. and Verma, T.S. Impact of rice straw management on soil physical properties and wheat yield. Soil Sci. 152: 1991, 108-115.
- 37. Buresh RJ, Reddy KR, van Kessel C. Nitrogen transformations in submerged soils. In 'Nitrogen in agricultural systems'. (Eds JS Schepers, WR Raun), 2008; pp. 401- 436. Agronomy Monograph 49. (ASA, CSSA, and SSSA: Madison, WI, USA).
- 38. Eswaran, H., Almaraz, R., Van den Berg, E., and Reich, P. 'An assessment of the soil resources of Africa in relation to productivity', Geoderma, Vol 77, 1997, pp 1–18.
- 39. Abe, S. S., Buri, M. M., Issaka, R. N., Kiepe, P., and Wakatsuki, T. 'Soil fertility potential for rice production in West African lowlands', *Japan Agricultural Research Quarterly*, Vol 44, 2010, pp 343–355.
- 40. Buri M.M; Issaka, R.N, Wakatsuki, T, and Kawano N. Improving the productivity of lowland soils for rice cultivation in Ghana: the role of the 'sawah' system. Journal of Soil Science & Environment management Vol. 3(3), 2012, pp. 56 62.
- 41. Greenland, D. J. Sustainability of Rice Farming, CABI, Wallingford, and IRRI, Los Banõs, The Philippines, 1997.
- 42. Wakatsuki, T., Shinmura, Y., Otoo, E., and Olaniyan, D. O. 'System for integrated watershed management of small inland valleys in West Africa', in: Institutional and Technical Options in the Development and Management of Small Scale Irrigation, Water Report No 17, FAO, Rome, 1998, pp 45–60.
- 43. Ofori, J., Hisatomi, Y., Kamidouzono, A., Masunaga, T., and Wakatsuki, T. 'Performance of rice cultivars in various ecosystems developed in inland valleys, Ashanti region, Ghana', Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, Vol 51, 2005, pp 469–476.
- 44. Wakatsuki, T. and Masunaga, T. Ecological engineering for sustainable food production and the restoration of degraded watersheds in Tropics of low pH soils: Focus on West Africa. Soil Sci. Plant Nutri; 51: 2005, 629-636.
- 45. Becker, M., and Johnson, D. E. 'Improved water control and crop management effects on lowland rice productivity in West Africa', Nutrient Cycling Agroecosystems, Vol 59, 2001, pp 119–127.
- 46. Touré, A., Becker, M., Johnson, D. E., Koné, B., Kossou, D. K., and Kiepe, P. 'Response of lowland rice to agronomic management under different hydrological regimes in an inland valley of Ivory Coast', Field Crops Research, Vol 114, 2009, pp 304–310.
- 47. Igwe, C.A; Akamigbo F.O.R and Mbagwu J.S.C. Physical properties of soils of Southeastern Nigeria and the role of some aggregating agents in their stability, Soil Sci. 160: 1995. 431 441.
- 48. Sakurai, T. 'Intensification of rainfed wetland rice production in West Africa: present status and potential green revolution', Developing Economies, Vol 44, 2006, pp 232–251.