



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_20792</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ASSESSMENT OF DIFFERENT LAND PREPARATION FOR SAWAH FARMING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT IN NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT AND RICE GRAIN YIELD IMPROVEMENT IN INLAND VALLEYS OF SOUTHEASTERN NIGERIA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript is the report about Sawah management on soil property and lowland rice yield. It is valuable for readers.</p> <p>However, the MS include serious flaws in the discussion about the result dataset especially on statistical issue, and neglect of readability for journal readers. So it is very difficult to understand author's assertion. To make more understandable, tables need to be remade as Figures.</p> <p>Statistical method need to be chosen appropriately. Further, authors discuss the effect of Sawah management using mean values of five amendments, but organic amendment possibly disturb the effect of tillage. So effect of sawah management must be discuss using data from CT treatment.</p> <p>Further, this paper should be divided into two paper 1) effect of various sawah management on soil properties and rice yield, 2) effect of OM amendment on sawah management. Authors little discuss about OM amendment in this MS.</p> <p>As a whole, MS should be highly re-arranged, to be published</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	I added some comments on attached PDF file. Please find it.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	This manuscript has the important data. On the other hand, it includes various flaws to publish.	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anonymous</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Japan International Research Center, Japan</b>