SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	**Advances in Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AIR_20792**
Title of the Manuscript:	**ASSESSMENT OF DIFFERENT LAND PREPARATION FOR SAWAH FARMING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT IN NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT AND RICE GRAIN YIELD IMPROVEMENT IN INLAND VALLEYS OF SOUTHEASTERN NIGERIA**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
It is nice to read the revised version of this manuscript. I don't think the revision on the way of sentence writing or even the change of paper title help to improve the quality of manuscript. I mean both versions are nice for readers to understand and catch the points of the research paper.	Most of the earlier revision made on the manuscript were based on the comments and suggestions of the reviewers. I am much convinced that the revision based on reviewers' comments and way of sentence writing has helped to improve the quality of the manuscript. I quite agree with the evaluator's comment that both versions a nice for readers to understand.
As partially described in the paper that the tiller numbers were improved by PD treatment, which resulted in the grain yield increase. Maybe this time in this paper, there is no way to add the data on grain yield component. The result is still reasonable and will not affect the overall understanding of the effects of different treatments for better rice production in West Africa.	Adding the grain yield components might increase the volume of the paper unnecessarily. Such information may be developed to form another paper.
Because of the reversion on sentence writing, please proofread the space between words and some grammar mistakes	The manuscript has been proofread properly. The spaces between words and necessary grammatical errors have been amended accordingly.