



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ AIR_20585
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF TECHNICAL INNOVATIONS IN BUS RAPID TRANSIT SYSTEM IN LAGOS STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	A lot of errors about spelling. I show them on the manuscript with yellow colour. References must be sequential... etc	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Spelling errors have been corrected.- References were arranged as sighted in the paper.- Reviewer can go through the work again to confirm corrections.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Sufficient	