



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_AIR_14405
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Acetylation on the Physical and Functional Properties of Industrial and Laboratory Cassava (Manihot esculenta) Starches
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>This paper deals with the physical and functional properties of acetylated cassava starch. It is interesting. The data is solid and the analysis is detailed. I would like to recommend publish this manuscript after minor revision.</p> <p>(1)Page 3 line 70 to 83, the experimental methods (bulk density, sedimentation volume, water or oil absorption capacity, viscosity, swelling power and solubility) should be more detailed.</p> <p>(2)Figure 2,4A, 4B and 6 are complicated, it is better use tables.</p> <p>(3)The discussion part is too short, and the authors concluded their results were similar to the previous reports, Hence, they should carefully analyze their results and show originality.</p>	<p>Thank you for all the wonderful comments. We have revised the manuscript with your invaluable suggestions as follows:</p> <p>(1) The Bulk Density, Sedimentation Volume, Viscosity, Swelling Power and Solubility have been discussed in detail in the manuscript. They all appear in RED.</p> <p>(2) We have allowed Figures 2, 4A, 4B and 6 to remain as Figures rather than Tables because the trends are easier to comprehend with Figures rather than Tables</p> <p>(3) The Discussion part has been beefed-up to show the originality of the work.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		