



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Advances in Research |
| Manuscript Number: | 2014_AIR_14189 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Contact Analysis for Coupling of Plates and Screws in Fracture Fixation of Cortical Bone |
| Type of the Article | Short Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | <p>Authors should put in the body of your paper bibliográficas references at the end of each paragraph.</p> <p>Authors should enrich bilbiografica review, it is very short.</p> <p>All assertions of the paper (introduction and discussion) should be justified in the literature</p> <p>Only 6 paper, is very poor.</p> | <p>We thank the reviewer for the detailed and thorough review which help us in improving the article</p> <p>We agree with the reviewer that we did not add enough literature to the article, 9 more articles are added</p> |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | <p>Figures 1 e 2 .</p> <p>Attention Figures 1 and 2, are copyrighted? otherwise possess place the source of its origin in the legend.</p> | <p>We agree that the figures are copy righted, however source were indicated in the caption of each figure.</p> |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | <p>The idea of the article is very interesting, the authors are to be congratulated for the initiative. The methodology is reproducible and applicable, is good quality and outcomes collaborate with scientific development.</p> <p>would like a declaration of conflict of interest of the authors.</p> <p>Figures 1 and 2 are taken from publications that may be copyrighted, you must apply these explanations to authors</p> | <p>conflict of interest declaration is no declared in the end of the text</p> |