



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_44873
Title of the Manuscript:	MALARIA DIAGNOSIS BY AUTOMATED ANALYSER: A CROSS SECTIONAL CASE CONTROL STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is an interesting study, but it should be included in the conclusions that have been used in a specific area and can not be extrapolated. Being a small sample you can see the effectiveness of the method, in that population but there are many limitations because standard values in the malaria factors are unknown.	Thank you for the valuable suggestions. I have made the suggested changes in the article and changes are highlighted and are there in 207 and 212 lines of the article.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	It is a study that only reports utility of a method in a determined area in a determined time, the bad thing that can not be standardized the method since all the populations are different in the factors of malaria that are used	Sir/Ma'am I agree with the comment. Standardization of the malaria factors for individual population is not possible and the results obtained cannot be extrapolated. Thank you.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	