



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_39189
Title of the Manuscript:	HISTOMORPHOLOGICAL AND THROMBOGENIC STATUS OF WISTAR RATS FED WITH ALLIUM SATIVUM (GARLIC) IN OXIDATIVE STRESS INDUCED WITH CABON TETRACHLORIDE
Type of the Article	Original article - experimental

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The introduction in the abstract is lengthy (118 words) and should be wrapped-up. More results should be presented on the expence of the introduction of the abstract so that the abstract is able to stand alone regardless the article. The effect on markers of oxidative stress is unclearly presented. The studied parameters reflect the thrombogenic status otherwise; markers of lipis peroxidation or ROS should be assessed to best reflect this aspect. Moreover, the results among the 5 groups should be presented. 7 charts and 5 figures (total 12) is too much for an original article. Merge relevant figures and charts. Tables with the reached rsults would be impressive. The discussion is non focused and does not proerly address the reached results. As mentioned, it is unclear if the study design was done to check the effect on oxidative stress markers. Garlic in this study has therefore been seen to be associated with a favorable dose dependent improvement in 328 oxidative biomarkers...this conclusion is not supported by the reached results.	
Minor REVISION comments	English language needs polishing and revision by a native pseaker. The methodology should be better illustrated and wrapped-up. They are 'Charts' not 'Chats'. 15 references is too few for an orignakl article.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tamer A Gheita
Department, University & Country	Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, Egypt