



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_41451
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARISON OF TWO HIV ANTIBODY ELISAs WITH A COMBINED ANTIGEN-ANTIBODY ELISA FOR THE OCCURANCE OF FALSE RESULTS IN A HOSPITAL-BASED BLOOD BANK IN NORTH CENTRAL NIGERIA
New title of the Manuscript:	FALSE NEGATIVE TESTING OF TWO HIV ANTIBODY ELISAs IN COMPARISON WITH A COMBINED ANTIGEN-ANTIBODY ELISA IN A HOSPITAL-BASED BLOOD BANK IN NORTH CENTRAL NIGERIA.
New title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARISON OF TWO HIV ANTIBODY ELISAs WITH A COMBINED ANTIGEN-ANTIBODY ELISA FOR THE OCCURANCE OF FALSE RESULTS IN A HOSPITAL-BASED BLOOD BANK IN NORTH CENTRAL NIGERIA : IMPLICATIONS ON BLOOD SAFETY AND AVAILABILITY
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Line 144: the formulae; $n=Z^2pq/d^2$ should be properly written as "$n=Z^2pq/d^2$". Line 146: Authors should state the value of "p" in bracket. I guess "p" is the proportion of sero prevalence of HIV by combined antigen antibody assay from previous studies. This should be supported by a reference. ETHICAL APPROVAL Line 175-176, Authors made claim that they obtained a letter conveying ethical approval for the study from the ethical committee of JUTH, but couldn't provide ethical approval registration number from the named Ethical Committee. Their hesitation in this regard, makes one to doubt if they really did. To clear such doubt, Authors are hereby requested to make available a scanned copy of such letter. This is to ensure that Authors received the required administrative/institutional permission to use the laboratory data as it were in the current retrospective study. Where the Authors fail to provide such evidence, it is then up to the Editorial Board to decide whether or not they can condole such gross lapses. Without bias or prejudice, there have been cases of indictments, where Heads of Institutions accused and condemned Publishers for accepting to publish articles containing unauthorized data. Such post-publication embracement and its attending complications can be avoided pre-publication if necessary measures are taken.	



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

The Reviewer's final submission is that the manuscript under consideration can be accepted for publication in the Asian Haematology Research Journal (AHRJ), after the minor revision have been made and the issue of ethical approval properly addressed. This Reviewer is not satisfied with mere comments such as "noted", without the Authors providing the required document to authenticate their claim.

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Enitan Seyi Samson</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Babcock University, Nigeria</i>