



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_37954
Title of the Manuscript:	ABO AND RHESUS BLOOD GROUPS AND THEIR ASSOCIATION WITH TYPE II DIABETES MELLITUS AMONG THE URHOBOS
New title of the Manuscript:	ASSOCIATION BETWEEN ABO AND RHESUS BLOOD GROUPS WITH TYPE II DIABETES MELLITUS AMONG THE URHOBOS
Type of Article:	Original research article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
A lot of adjustment had been made as suggested but all these points must be adjusted before accepting the manuscript for publication: 1. Kindly reframe your sentence under study design. 2. Author said they moved interpretation of the tables to discussion. This is not acceptable. You must first of all give tables interpretation under results before discussion 3. Work on your references cited in this statement, "Apparently, this is similar to the findings of Odokuma, Okolo and Aloamaka (2007)9" under your discussion 4. Lastly, the author did not work on references as was advised previously. Kindly do that. Thanks	Sentence reframed as marked in yellow We meant to say that a brief statistical interpretation of tables' contents was already added (now highlighted in yellow under each table). However, details of the explanations were emphasized at in the discussion section. Double checked and corrected. Don't know exactly what you mean by "did not work on references". We have tried to follow standard specified by journal. We have also checked for match and/or mismatch between references and reference list. Where we found any error, we immediately effected corrections. Thanks!