



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_39189
Title of the Manuscript:	HISTOMORPHOLOGICAL AND THROMBOGENIC STATUS OF WISTAR RATS FED WITH ALLIUM SATIVUM (GARLIC) IN OXIDATIVE STRESS INDUCED WITH CABON TETRACHLORIDE
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The introduction is not grounding the purpose of the work. It is necessary that you comment, all aspects that will involve the objective of the work. It needs to be rewritten by addressing all of these aspects. Especially the oxidative stress and the model that will be used. The paper is concluded with data of antioxidant enzymes and indicators of oxidative stress that were not analyzed at work, needs to be reformulated.	Introduction has been corrected to reasonable level as pointed out. Whole conclusion was re-written as well. thanks
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	English should have a detailed correction The material and method needs to be added as the extract concentration reached ??? without reference It is necessary to describe all the techniques used. These techniques do not respond to all the aspects addressed in the objective of the work	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper is good and interesting but needs to be reworked, according to the data it has.	