



SDI Review Form 1.6

2

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_41398
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of von Willebrand Factor levels In Sickle Cell Patients Attending Babcock University Teaching Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-Did the authors find any effect of medications taken by sickle cell patients on VWF? - Complete statistical analysis by looking that impact of medications on studied parameters - Authors said: <i>One way analysis of variance (ANOVA)and Independent Student T-test were performed where appropriate. Provide the justification of the use of ANOVA. Precise which variables undergoe T-test</i> - review your table title. For example in table 4 authors said: <i>comparisons of mean values of analyzed parameters between the crisis state and steady state using t-test. Remove the statistical analysis test and put it as legend below the table. Precise the statistical analysis at the bottom of each table</i> - Authors should bring statistical analysis statement immediately after methods. - The authors should give the units of the different parameters in the results section as well as an appropriate legend. - Read carefully the entire manuscript and give spaces between words. Example: fifty (50) subjects instead of fifty (50)subjects -How do authors found the population size? Should be mentioned in the text Ethical issue: Authors stated that Ethical approval was obtained from Babcock University Health Research Ethics Committee (BUHREC). But there is no any approval reference number	The study was not designed to answer the question ANOVA & Students t-Test were used for three group and two group comparison repectively Ethical issue corrected
Minor REVISION comments	-A list of abbreviation should be provide. -All the tables should be state in roman number. -References need to be update. The most recent here is 2013. - Authors stated that <i>Patients who received blood 66 transfusion less than 6 weeks before the time of sampling were excluded. Were they included and then excluded (exclusion criteria) or were not included at all (non inclusion criteria).</i>	corrected
Optional/General comments		