



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_41255
Title of the Manuscript:	Variations In Platelet Indices Among Healthy Nigerian Population
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
ABSTRACT What statistical tool presented this result? That has always been my question. If you state the statistical tool before these results then I may be guided properly to critique the result. METHODS I asked if the sample size reflected the entire population of Nigeria. This is an important study, which you had already established that the values may vary with location, which includes region and landscape, and ethnicity. Now, take a second look into the fact that this values cannot represent those in Jos because of the difference in altitude; hence the methodology statement may need adjustment Figure 1 The description of the figures are not explanatory enough. Please, why did you omit the statistical analysis for the MPV? Also the comparison are in asterisks and I complained about this previously. Original P-values are essential. CONCLUSION Reference ranges among different age groups..... Why did you not calculate reference ranges for the studied age groups? REFERENCE You did not stick to a particular format. Some of the journal names are abbreviate while others are in full. Kindly adjust to single format as specified by the journal. NOTE I noticed a very serious issue I raised was not addressed.	The statistical tool used have been corrected in the manuscript The location of the study have been indicated in the manuscript The statistical tool and key have been provided in the Figure 1 The mean values for the studied populations were well documented in Figure 1 Done , except for the journals that can't be abbreviated