



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_41255
Title of the Manuscript:	Variations In Platelet Indices Among Healthy Nigerian Population
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) The authors must explain in detail about each table or figure in "Results". 2) What is "PLT"? As the abbreviations are used about many words, they should be put in the special area in the manuscript.	Ok noted.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1) The 19th-21 lines must be moved in the "Methods". 2) In "Discussion", it is said that <u>fig.2b</u> shows the difference among age's difference. Is it really? 3) In "References", journal names are written in several styles. 4) There are so many typing errors. Before the revised manuscript is sent back to the journal office, the manuscript must be checked by other native speakers.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	If the manuscript is revised, this is accepted.	