



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_44696
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of loss rate and organoleptic characterization of kola nuts ( <i>Cola nitida</i> ) during conservation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Line 64: State the three techniques clearly</b></p> <p><b>Line 159 (Table 1): There is need to look at the loss rate as storage time progresses, along the row. I suggest you do a two-way ANOVA for better clarity.</b></p> <p><b>Line 197 and 198: rephrase</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Line 10: Good Hygiene Practices (GHP or BPH), be consistent.</p> <p>Line 66: why walnut?</p> <p>Fig 1 and Fig 2 are not necessary</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The technical content is good. The article may be accepted with minor corrections.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chibor, Bariwere Samuel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rivers State University, Nigeria</b>