



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_44696
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of loss rate and organoleptic characterization of kola nuts ( <i>Cola nitida</i> ) during conservation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Line number 107-111, write the formula using equation tool in word document.</li> <li>2. Line number 139, check the significant difference (<math>P &lt; 0.000</math>) and correct it should have 0.0001.</li> <li>3. Line number 219, 222, 231, correct the citations. Line number 231, For example, write; According to Hayma [14] instead writing of According to [14]. Remaining citations were according to journal format.</li> <li>4. Line number 230, 231 ...rephrase the sentences.</li> <li>5. Rephrase 235 and 236 line numbers.</li> <li>6. Other minor grammatical mistakes were corrected in the PDF copy of manuscript.</li> </ol>	We agree with the observations. The document has been revised in accordance with the reviewer's recommendations.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	I warrant that the manuscript represents original work that is not being considered for publication, in whole or in part, in another journal, book, conference proceedings, or government publication with a substantial circulation. There is no conflict of interest.