



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_44696
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of loss rate and organoleptic characterization of kola nuts (Cola nitida) during conservation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 64: State the three techniques clearly Line 159 (Table 1): There is need to look at the loss rate as storage time progresses, along the row. I suggest you do a two-way ANOVA for better clarity. Line 197 and 198: rephrase	We agree with the observations. The document has been revised in accordance with the reviewer's recommendations.
Minor REVISION comments	Line 10: Good Hygiene Practices (GHP or BPH), be consistent. Line 66: why walnut? Fig 1 and Fig 2 are not necessary	We agree with the observations. The document has been revised in accordance with the reviewer's recommendations.
Optional/General comments	The technical content is good. The article may be accepted with minor corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	I warrant that the manuscript represents original work that is not being considered for publication, in whole or in part, in another journal, book, conference proceedings, or government publication with a substantial circulation. There is no conflict of interest.