



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_44088
Title of the Manuscript:	The predominant lactic acid microorganisms of spontaneously fermented amala, a yam food product
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It will be appropriate to present charts, tables and figures according to the manuscript guidelines.</p> <p>The chart should be presented at the materials and methods, while tables and figures should appear at result and discussion rather than appearing at the appendix</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Line 24 ; correct the typographical error of repeating "at" twice and use the manuscript guideline of expressing P=.05 Line 31 ; it should be "shows" and not show Line 34 ; Follow the manuscript guidelines for presenting the keywords <p>Introduction</p> <p>Follow the manuscript guideline of numbering e.g 1.introduction</p> <p>Materials and Methods</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Follow the manuscript guideline of numbering e.g 2.Materials and Methods Bold format should be applied to the subheadings at line 105, 115, 134, 144, 153, 161 and 183 <p>Result</p> <p>It should be presented as Results and Discussion with the numbering 3. As stated at the guideline.</p> <p>Please ensure your follow the Manuscript guideline for this journal</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A good contribution to research	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Olokun Alexander Lanzema</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Department of Biological Sciences, Bingham University, Nigeria</i>