



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_ACSj_11787
Title of the Manuscript:	SPECIATION OF SOME TRACE METALS IN FLOODPLAIN SOIL OF EKE-MGBOM, AFIKPO, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript highlights the chemical analysis of floodplain soil. However, there are some points should be taken into consideration before publication. 1. Experimental needs more details about the sample collection and the extraction method. 2. Reference 13 does not refer to author Horowitz et al. but its reference 19 without et al. 3. Also delete Horowitz et al from abstract line 11. 4. I suggest to compare his results in this manuscript with previous results obtained by the same author as referred in references 23 and 24.	1. Detailed experimental procedures were discussed in our previous published articles. These were referred to in the original article (See line 96-97). 2. et al deleted 3. Horowitz et al deleted. 4. The results were compared (see line 136, 189, 193).
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	NON	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		