

**Evaluation of Antimicrobial, Antifungal, and Cytotoxic Properties of
Abroma augusta Linn.**

ABSTRACT

Objective: This present study has been undertaken to evaluate the antimicrobial, antifungal and cytotoxic properties of four different fractionates such as petroleum ether, chloroform, ethyl acetate and dia-ion resin adsorbed fractions of *Abroma augusta* leaf extract.

Methodology: The antimicrobial and antifungal properties have been characterized by disc diffusion method. The cytotoxicity property was determined against brine shrimp nauplii.

Results and conclusion: Among the four fractionates, the dia-ion resin adsorbed fraction showed the highest activity towards the *S. aureus*, *E. coli* bacteria and the fungus *C. albicans* with the zone inhibition of 12~13 mm which was comparable with that of the standard Kanamycin. The chloroform, petroleum ether, and ethyl acetate fraction was exhibited least activity toward the bacteria and fungus with the zone inhibition of 6~9 mm. Similarly, the dia-ion resin adsorbed fractions showed the highest antifungal activity with the zone inhibition of 15 mm. From the results of cytotoxicity test, it was observed that the chloroform and ethyl acetate fractions were found be the highest active on 6, 12, 18, 24, and 30h of exposures in which almost all the nauplii were dead. From the corresponding LD₅₀ values, the chloroform and ethyl acetate fractions were found to be the highest toxic against *Artemia salina* nauplii of 75.019 and 65.553 ppm, respectively. It has been predicted that the *Abroma augusta* leaves might be considered as a potent cytotoxic agent for further advanced research.

Keywords: *Abroma augusta*, antimicrobial, antifungal, cytotoxicity, brine shrimp.

1. INTRODUCTION

Abroma augusta Linn. belonging to the family “Sterculiaceae” commonly known as Devil’s cotton has been used as remedy for the treatment of various types of disorders. In Bangladesh *A. augusta* is very much familiar with name “Ulatkambal”. It is one of the widely found plants all over in India and Australia [1,2]. The root of *A. augusta* are used as a uterine tonic, an emmenagogue, dysmenorrhoea, amenorrhoea, sterility and other menstrual diseases. The

34 different solvent fractions showed the presence of tannins, glycosides, steroids and alkaloids.
35 The whole plant contains several alkaloids and secondary metabolites including steroids,
36 triterpenes, flavonoids, megastigmanes, benzohydrofurans and their glycosides and
37 phenylethanoid glycosides [3]. The leaves of *A. augusta* contain octacosanol, taraxerol, β -
38 sitosterol acetate, an aliphatic alcohol and mixture of long chain fatty diols. Different
39 parts of *A. augusta* are useful in treating diabetes, stomachache, dermatitis, leucorrhoea,
40 scabies, gonorrhoea, cough, leukoderma, jaundice, nerve stimulant, weakness, hypertension,
41 uterine disorders, dermatitis, inflammation, rheumatic pain of joints and headache with
42 sinusitis [3]. The plant is reported to have hypolipidemic effect, however root bark is reported
43 to contain antifertility agent [2]. The ethanolic extract of roots of *A. augusta* also exhibit the
44 hypoglycemic effect in alloxan induced diabetic rats [4,5]. The petroleum extracts of roots of
45 *A. augusta* is used for its anti-inflammatory activity [6]. The n-hexane extract of seeds of *A.*
46 *augusta* is used as antifungal and phytotoxic activity [7]. From the point of view, it has been
47 observed that a number of phytoconstituents and/or drugs are exclusively derived from the
48 plant *A. augusta* such as betaine, β -sitosterol, maslinic acid, α -amyrin, protocatechuic acid,
49 vanillic acid, caffeic acid etc [1,8,]. In spite of being widely used in traditional systems of
50 medicines, there is a report published on antimicrobial, antifungal and cytotoxic properties of
51 acetone fraction of the plant *A. augusta* [3]. In this context, the objective of the present study
52 is to evaluate the antimicrobial, antifungal and cytotoxic properties on four different extracts
53 such as petroleum ether, chloroform, ethyl acetate and dia-ion resin adsorbed fraction of the
54 medicinal plant *A. augusta* using some known pathogenic bacteria and fungal.

55

56 2. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS AND MATERIALS

57 2.1 Plant collection and extraction process

58 The *Abroma augusta* plant leaves were collected from the uncultivated adjacent areas of
59 Rajshahi University campus, Bangladesh. The collected leaves were washed thoroughly in
60 water then dried in open air for a week at 35–40°C. The dried leaves were chopped and
61 pulverized in electric grinder. The ground leaves of *A. augusta* were exhaustively extracted
62 with methanol (MeOH, Analytical Grade) in soxhlet extractor. The resulting juicy extract was
63 filtered through Whatman paper and concentrated under reduced pressure at 45°C using the
64 Buchi Rotavapor (R–200). The obtained material was then called crude methanolic extract.
65 The process was done for several times to increase the crude extract. The crude extract was
66 divided into two parts: one part was kept as stock crude in refrigerator and other part was

67 used to obtain water soluble component. The crude was taken in a reagent bottle (2.5L) and
68 triturate with water; then it was filtered and water soluble triturate was separated out. It is
69 known that the antioxidant compounds are water soluble; therefore, dia-ion resin column was
70 used to separate the antioxidants components from the water soluble triturate.

71 The water soluble portion was passed through the dia-ion resin until the white color of the
72 resin was turned to brown. The adsorbed components were then eluted with methanol (Merck
73 KGaA, Germany). This process was repeatedly done to separate all the antioxidants
74 components from the remaining water soluble part of the *A. august* extract. The methanol was
75 removed from the elute using Rotavapor at 45°C and water was removed from the condensed
76 eluted part by freeze dryer. This dried material was then used to fractionate into three
77 fractions by triturating with petroleum ether, chloroform, ethyl acetate (Fluka, India) and by
78 dissolving the residue in methanol. Finally, petroleum ether, chloroform and ethyl acetate
79 triturate were collected and were subjected to the further evaluation of the antimicrobial,
80 antifungal and cytotoxic properties.

81

82 **2.2 Antimicrobial and antifungal activity test**

83 The antimicrobial and antifungal activity was determined by standard disc diffusion method
84 by measuring the zone of inhibition and was compared to that of the standard disc [9, 10].
85 Two pathogenic bacteria (*Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*) and one fungal (*Candida*
86 *albicans*) were used in this test. The nutrient agar media were dispensed to a number of clean
87 test tubes, each containing 5 mL of the prepared slants. The test tubes were plugged with
88 cotton and sterilized in an autoclave at 121°C and 15 lbs/sq-inch pressure for 15 min. After
89 sterilization, the test tubes were kept in an inclined position for solidification. These were
90 then incubated at 37.5°C to ensure the sterilization. Finally, the slants were streaked with
91 pure culture of the test organisms in the laminar air flow and incubated at 37.5° for 24 hrs.
92 The test plates were prepared by pouring nutrient agar in 15.0 mL in clean test tubes and
93 plugged with cotton. The test tubes were sterilized by autoclaving and allowed to cool at
94 about 50°C. The media in the test tubes were incubated with fresh culture. Bacteria were
95 agitated to ensure uniform dispersion of organisms into the media. Finally, the media were
96 poured into sterile petri discs in aseptic condition. The petri discs were rotated several times,
97 first clockwise and then anticlockwise to assure homogeneous distribution of the test
98 organisms. Thus the plates were ready for sensitivity test and stored it in a refrigerator at 4°C.

99

100 **2.3 Preparation of sample and standard discs**

101 The sample solution was prepared in methanol in such a manner that 10 μL contained 200 μg
102 of the **bacteria and fungus**. 20 μL of the test solution was applied on a disc and thus the disc
103 containing 400 μg of the **sample prepared**. These discs were left for few min in aseptic
104 condition for complete removal of the solvent. The standard discs were used as positive
105 control to ensure the activity of the standard antibiotic against the test organisms as well as
106 for comparison of the response produced by the known antibacterial agent. In this study,
107 Kanamycin (K-30) containing 30 $\mu\text{g}/\text{disc}$ of antibiotic was used as standard disc for
108 comparison. The sample impregnated discs and standard antibiotic discs were placed gently
109 on solidified agar plates seeded with the organisms to ensure contact with the media. The
110 plates were kept in a refrigerator 4°C for 24h and then incubated at 37.5°C for 24h.

111

112 **2.4 Cytotoxicity activity test**

113 **2.4.1 Brine shrimp lethality bioassay**

114 Brine shrimp lethality bioassay was used for the probable cytotoxic activity according to the
115 method described here [11–13]. The eggs of Brine Shrimp (*Artemia salina*) were collected
116 from the aquarium shop of Kalabagan, Dhaka, Bangladesh and hatched in a small artificially
117 partitioned tank with constant oxygen supply at temperature around 37°C . The artificial sea
118 water contains 3.8% of sodium chloride was made by dissolving 38 g sodium chloride in
119 1000 mL distilled water. The **pH** of the brine water was maintained at 8 ~ 9 using NaHCO_3 .
120 In the two partitioned tank, the eggs were hatched in the darkened side whereas the other part
121 of the tank was put under sunlight. With the help of light illumination, the larvae (nauplii)
122 were attracted to one side of the tank and were easily collected from the non-hatched eggs.
123 One day old mature nauplii were used for the experiment. The extracts (petroleum ether,
124 chloroform, ethyl acetate and dia-ion resin adsorbed) dissolved in DMSO were added into
125 each vial to obtain final concentration of 800, 400, 200, 100, 50 and 25 ppm. Each
126 concentration was tested in triplicate. The controls were prepared in same manner except that
127 DMSO was used instead of the extracts. 30 shrimp nauplii were used as negative control
128 group. When the nauplii in the control showed a rapid mortality, then the test was considered
129 to be invalid due to reasons other than the cytotoxicity of the test compounds. After 24h, the
130 number of survivors were counted by magnifying glass and next, the percentage of death and
131 LD_{50} was calculated by probit analysis [14]. The mortality percentage was corrected by using
132 the Abbott's formula [15].

133

134

$$P_t = \frac{(P_o - P_c)}{(100 - P_c)} \times 100 \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

135

136 Where, P_t = corrected mortality%, P_o = observed mortality %, and P_c = control mortality %.

137

138 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

139 3.1 Antimicrobial and antifungal activity

140 The antibacterial and antifungal activities of the four different fractions were examined and
141 the results are given in the Table 1. It has been observed that among the four fractions tested,
142 the dia-ion resin adsorbed fraction showed broader spectrum of activity, being active to both
143 the *S. aureus*, *E. coli* bacteria and *C. albicans* fungus with the inhibition zone of 12, 13 and
144 15 mm, respectively. The ethyl acetate fraction showed the inhibition zone (8~9 mm) against
145 *E. coli* and *S. aureus* bacteria and 11 mm against *C. albicans* fungal. The chloroform and
146 petroleum ether fractions showed mild activity against the tested organism with the inhibition
147 zone of 6~8 mm. However, the acetone extract of the *A. augusta* was reported to found the
148 highest activity with the zone inhibition of 27 mm against *B. megaterium* which was little
149 higher than that of our results [3]. From the results of our finding, it is obvious that the dia-
150 ion resin adsorbed fraction have the highest antimicrobial and antifungal activity. The highest
151 activity of the dia-ion resin adsorbed fraction may be due to the higher amount of
152 polyphenolic compounds which inhibit the microbial growth [16].

153

154 3.2 Cytotoxicity activity test

155 The brine shrimp lethality assay has been used extensively in the primary screening of the
156 crude extracts as well as the isolated compounds to evaluate cytotoxic, phototoxic, pesticidal,
157 and many other activities towards brine shrimp that could provide an indication of possible
158 cytotoxic properties of the test material [17]. In this present study, the brine shrimp lethality
159 test was used to assess cytotoxic potential of the petroleum ether, chloroform, ethyl acetate,
160 and dia-ion resin adsorbed fractionates of *Abroma augusta*. The lethality of the different
161 fractions of *Abroma augusta* was determined on *A. salina* after 6-30-hour exposure. The
162 results are presented in Table 2. From the mortality percentage of brine shrimp, the probits
163 were calculated for each concentration of the fractions and plotted against the corresponding
164 log concentrations. From this plot, the LD₅₀ values were calculated and the values are
165 presented in Table 3. The results of brine shrimp lethality test has been expressed as: the

166 fraction would not be toxic with the value of $LD_{50} > 1000$ ppm, would show weak toxicity
167 with the value of LD_{50} 500-1000 ppm, might be toxic with the value of LD_{50} 100-500 ppm
168 and would be very toxic with the value $LD_{50} < 100$ ppm [18]. Among all the four fractions, it
169 has been observed that the chloroform and ethyl acetate fractions displayed significant
170 toxicity and different mortality rate towards shrimp nauplii. The mortality rate of nauplii was
171 found to be increased with concentration of each of the fractions. The chloroform and ethyl
172 acetate fractions showed the highest level of toxicity with the LD_{50} values of 75.01 and 65.55
173 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, respectively at 30h exposure. On the other hand, the petroleum ether and dia-ion resin
174 adsorbed fraction showed the toxicity with LD_{50} values of 407.11 and 268.02 $\mu\text{g/mL}$,
175 respectively at 30h exposure. The inhibitory effect of the extract might be due to the toxic
176 components present in the active fraction that possess ovicidal and larvicidal properties. The
177 metabolites either affected the embryonic development or slay the eggs [19]. Therefore, the
178 toxicity effects of the plant extract articulate that it can be selected for further cell line assay
179 because there is a correlation between cytotoxicity and activity against the brine shrimp
180 nauplii [20].

181

182 **4. CONCLUSION**

183 The antimicrobial, antifungal and cytotoxic properties of *Abroma augusta* leaf extract have
184 been evaluated on *S. aureus*, *E. coli*, *C. albicans*, and *A. salina*, respectively. Among the four
185 fractionates, dia-ion resin adsorbed fraction showed the activity with the zone inhibition of
186 12~13 mm that was comparable with the standard Kanamycin. The chloroform, petroleum
187 ether, and ethyl acetate fractions exhibited weak activity with the zone of inhibition 6~9 mm.
188 In the case of antifungal activity test, the dia-ion resin adsorbed fractions showed the highest
189 antifungal activity with the zone inhibition of 15mm. From the results of cytotoxicity test, it
190 was observed that the chloroform and ethyl acetate fractions were found to be the highest active
191 on 6, 12, 18, 24, and 30h of exposures in which almost all the nauplii were dead. From the
192 corresponding LD_{50} values, the chloroform and ethyl acetate fractions were found to be the
193 highest toxic of 75.019 and 65.553 ppm, respectively. It has been predicted that the *Abroma*
194 *augusta* leaves may be considered to be a potent cytotoxic agent for further advanced
195 research.

196

197 **Conflict of interest**

198 We declare that we have no conflict of interest.

200 **References**

- 201 [1] Nahar N, Hazra BK, Mosihuzzaman M, Rahman MM, Ander R. Structural studies of a
202 mucilage from *Abroma augusta* bark. *Carbohydrate Polymer*. 1994; 24(4):277-280.
- 203 [2] Gupta N, Ganeshpurkar A, Jatav N, Bansal D, Dubey N. In prevention of chick pancreatic
204 lipase activity by *Abroma augusextract*. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed*. 2012;2(2):712-715.
- 205 [3] Saikot FK, KhanA, Hasan MF. Antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of *Abroma augusta*
206 Lnn. Leaves extract. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed*. 2012;2(3):S1418-S1422.
- 207 [4] Kar A, Choudhary BK, Bandyopadhyay NG. Comparative evaluation of hypoglycaemic
208 activity of some Indian medicinal plants in alloxan diabetic rats. *J. Ethnopharmacology*.
209 2003;84:105-108.
- 210 [5] Atmakuri LR, Dathi S. Current trends in herbal medicines. *J. pharm. research*.
211 2010;3(1):109-113.
- 212 [6] Mishra M, Ghosh G, Mishra D, Barik B. Advance in pharmacology and toxicology.
213 2008;9(3):147-150.
- 214 [7] Rahmatullah M, Bachar C, Rahman S, Jahan R. Advance in natural and applied science.
215 2010;4(2):163-173.
- 216 [8] Babita G, Satish N, Sarita S. *Abroma augusta* Linn f: A review. *Der pharmacia sinica*.
217 2011;2(4):253-261.
- 218 [9] Bauer AW, Kirby WMM Sherries, Tuck M. Antibiotic susceptibility testing by a
219 standardized disc diffusion method. *Am. J. clini. path*. 1966;45:493-496.
- 220 [10] Rios JL, Recio MC, Villar A. Screening methods for natural products with antimicrobial
221 activity: A review of the literature. *J. Ethnopharma*.1988;23:127-149.
- 222 [11] Meyer BN, Ferringm NR, Puam JE, Lacobsen LB, Nichols DE, McLaughlin
223 JL. Brine shrimp: a convenient general bioassay for active constituents. *Planta Med*. 1982;
224 45:31-32.
- 225 [12] Persoone G. Proceeding of the International Symposium on brine shrimp, *Artemia salina*,
226 Vol. 1 3, Universa Press, Witteren, Belgium 1980.
- 227 [13] Ahmad B, Azam S, Bashir S. Insecticidal, brine shrimp cytotoxicity, antifungal and
228 nitric oxide free radical scavenging activities of the aerial parts of *Myrsine Africana* L. *Afr. J.*
229 *Biotech*. 2011;10:1448-1453.
- 230 [14] Busvine JR. A critical review of the techniques for testing insecticides, *Commonwealth*
231 *Agricultural Buereux*, 1971, 345.

- 232 [15] Abbott WS. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide, J. Econ.
233 Entomol. 1925;18:265-267.
- 234 [16] Ouattara MB, Kiendrébéogo M, Millogo-Rasolodimby J, Compaore M, Nacoulma OG.
235 Phytochemical, Antibacterial and Antioxidant Investigations of *Sesbania rostrate* De
236 (Fabaceae) Extracts form Leaves, Stems, Granulates, Pods and Roots. Current Research
237 Journal of Biological Sciences. 2011;3(6):606-611.
- 238 [17] McLughilin JL. Bench top bioassays for the discovery of bioactive compounds in
239 higher plants. Brenesia. 1991; 34:1-14.
- 240 [18] Arias ME, Gomez JD, Cudmani NM, Vattuone MA, Isla MI. Antibacterial activity of
241 ethanolic and aqueous extracts of *Acacia aroma* Gill. ex Hook et Arn. Life Sciences. 2004;
242 75:191-202.
- 243 [19] Aseer Manilal, Sujith S, Seghal Kiran G, Joseph Selvin, Chippu Shakir. Cytotoxic
244 Potentials of Red Alga, *Laurencia brandenii* Collected from the Indian Coast. Global Journal
245 of Pharmacology 2009; 3(2):90-94.
- 246 [20] Haque M, Obayed Ullah M, Nahar K. *In vitro* antibacterial and cytotoxic activities of
247 different parts of plant *Swietenia mahagony*. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences 2009;
248 12(7):599-602.

249

250

251 **Table 1. Antimicrobial and antifungal activity of four different fractions such as**
252 **petroleum ether, chloroform, ethyl acetate and dia-ion resin adsorbed fractionate of *A.***
253 ***augusta*.**

254

Fractions	Dose (µg/disc)	Zone of inhibition (mm)		
		Bacteria		Fungal
		<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>C. albicans</i>
Petroleum ether	400	7	6	8
Chloroform	400	8	7	8
Ethyl acetate	400	9	8	11
Dia-ion resin adsorbed	400	12	13	15
Kanamycin (K-30)	30	22	22	22

255

256

257 **Table 2. Cytotoxic activity of four different fractionates of *Abroma augusta* on *Artemia salina* nauplii after**
 258 **6-30h exposure in which 30 nauplii were used.**
 259

Fractions	Concentration (ppm)	No. of nauplii killed after exposure (h)					Control
		6	12	18	24	30	
Petroleum ether	400	0	0	4	10	11	0
	200	0	0	2	5	9	0
	100	0	0	1	5	7	0
	50	0	0	1	2	5	0
	25	0	0	0	2	2	0
Chloroform	400	3	20	24	26	29	0
	200	1	5	12	15	21	0
	100	1	2	5	12	15	0
	50	1	3	5	9	11	0
	25	0	3	6	6	8	0
Ethyl acetate	400	4	12	21	26	30	0
	200	1	8	14	22	28	0
	100	2	7	8	12	14	0
	50	0	7	8	11	12	0
	25	0	3	6	8	8	0
Dia-ion resin	400	2	3	6	11	14	0
	200	0	0	3	7	11	0
	100	0	2	3	5	5	0
	50	0	0	3	3	4	0
	25	0	0	1	4	4	0

260

261

262

263 **Table 3. LD₅₀, 95% confidence limits and regression equations of *Abroma augusta* extracts against *A.***
 264 ***salina* nauplii. Where X is the log Dose and Y is the working probit of the analytical calculation.**
 265

Fractions	Exposure (h)	Regression equation	χ^2 value for heterogeneity	LD ₅₀ µg/mL	95% confidence limits	
					Lower	Upper
Petroleum ether	12	-	-	-	-	-
	18	Y = 1.524 + 0.875 X	0.287	9300.042	397.99	217.31
	24	Y = 1.959 + 0.997 X	1.367	1123.295	442.77	2849.73
Chloroform	30	Y = 1.745 + 1.247 X	4.640	407.113	256.24	646.80
	12	Y = 1.171 + 1.461 X	14.467	416.878	112.07	1550.59
	18	Y = 1.821 + 1.362 X	10.697	215.466	94.08	493.44
Ethyl acetate	24	Y = 2.013 + 1.425 X	4.208	124.717	87.72	177.30
	30	Y = 1.901 + 1.652 X	3.658	75.019	54.66	102.96
	12	Y = 2.878 + 0.701 X	1.024	1071.242	166.43	6894.83
Dia-ion resin	18	Y = 2.466 + 1.098 X	2.405	202.310	119.60	342.20
	24	Y = 2.156 + 1.463 X	3.246	87.646	62.41	123.06
	30	Y = 1.431 + 1.965 X	6.967	65.553	58.63	111.23
Dia-ion resin	12	Y = 2.103 + 0.679 X	0.391	18384.830	53.44	6324.85
	18	Y = 1.528 + 1.104 X	4.758	1391.716	532.51	3637.19
	24	Y = 1.542 + 1.317 X	11.171	421.572	200.24	887.54
	30	Y = 1.247 + 1.545 X	10.903	268.025	155.47	462.05

266

267

268