

Original Research Article
**Study of Physical and Chemical Composition
and Quality of *Hoplias malabaricus* and
Leporinus friderici (Bloch, 1794) Fillet Collected
in Pirativa River -Santana-AP**

ABSTRACT

Aims: The purpose of this research was to perform the physical and chemical study of the composition and the quality of the fillet of *Hoplias malabaricus* (Traíra) and *Leporinus friderici* (Bloch, 1794) collected in Pirativa River-Santana-AP.

Study design: This study was chosen in the areas on the banks of Pirativa river in anthropized and non-anthropized areas in the city of São Raimundo, at coordinates N 00 02'08,9 "W 051 15 '32.3" in Santana-AP.

Place and Duration of Study: the research was conducted in Pharmacognosy and Phytochemical Laboratory of the Course of Pharmacy from January to September of 2014, and in the Fish Processing Laboratory of the Federal University of Amapá (UNIFAP), from July to September of 2014.

Methodology: The physical and chemical parameters adopted in this research were pH, humidity, Waste from Incineration (Ash), Lipids and Total Volatile Bases. All the tests were carried out according to standards in the Adolfo Lutz Institute.

Results: The yield values were 48.30 and 46,632% respectively for *Hoplias malabaricus* (Traíra) and *Leporinus friderici* (Aracu). Regarding the physical and chemical parameters, the species *Hoplias malabaricus* (Traíra) and *Leporinus friderici* (Aracu) presented, respectively, pH 6.80 and 7, humidity 70.004 ± 4.57 and $2.44 \pm 65.55\%$, ash 2.88 ± 0.74 and ± 3.50 1:51%, lipid values of 10:50 and 11.90% indicating high molecular weight lipids, and volatile bases ± 0.01 1.76 ± 1.69 and 0:03.

Conclusion: Attention should be paid to the proper packaging and treatment of fillet, because external factors, from the fish catch to the market of its by-products, influence the quality and nutritional value.

Keywords: Physical Chemistry. Fish. *Hoplias malabaricus*. *Leporinus friderici*.

1. INTRODUCTION

The importance of fish in the human diet is due to its high content of protein, essential amino acids, and good protein digestibility of its flesh (90-98%), which is higher than beef and pork. Fish is a highly perishable food because of its complex chemical composition, pH close to neutrality, high water activity, high content of nutrients easily usable for the microorganisms, the unsaturated lipid content, the enzymatic action and the high metabolic activity of the microbiota (ASHIE, et al, 1996).

In fact, there is no disagreement with the visible qualities of fish as raw material. However, as occurs with other foods, it can act as a carrier of a disease, causing many pathogens (APHA, 2001). Because they have fresh or chilled, intrinsic characteristics that are essential to the development and survival of many pathogens, such as pH close to

neutrality, high water activity in the tissues (not less than 0.98), and high amount of nutrients available (FRAIZER; WESTHOFF, 1993; MARTINS et al., 2002).

Most often, the poor handling, storage and transportation of fresh fish greatly contribute to the loss of quality and even deterioration of the fish landed. In Brazil, the situation is precarious in almost all of fish landing sites, the traditional practices of the passage of fresh fish through one or more intermediaries. On its trip from the angler to the final consumer, also contributes decisively to the loss of quality and deterioration of fresh fish offered to consumers in street fairs, markets, fishmongers, and supermarkets in the country. Changes that most characterize the deterioration of fish are those related to odor and flavor, determining the improper state for consumption, since they affect the condition of edibility (ORDÓÑEZ, 2005).

Data related to the chemical composition of fresh fish are important in the product conservation and preparation processes while the data relating to yield identifies the amount of edible part and nutrients that make up the fillet. From yield the producer can plan, the amount of fish that will be needed to deliver to the slaughter.

The standardization of filleting techniques and the definition of economically feasible size are parameters that need to be established for obtaining higher fillet yields. Therefore, there is a need for studies to evaluate the processing yields, as well as the percentages by-products that may be used for industrialization, in function of the fish slaughter weight (SOUZA et al., 2001).


Both species *Leporinus friderici* (Aracu-head-fat or three pints), belonging to Anostomidae family, and *Hoplias malabaricus* (Traíra), of the Erythrinidae family, are considered long of distance migratory in Brazilian river basins, and predominate in lotic environments, performing reproductive upward migration and tragic downward migration (RÊGO et al., 2008). Moreover, species were chosen due to some peculiar characteristics, as a greater acceptance of these fish by the consumer market and to being found in abundance in the rivers, dams, and lakes distributed throughout the state of Amapá. Therefore, the aim of this research was to perform the study of the physicochemical composition and of the quality of the fillet of *Hoplias malabaricus* (Traíra) and *Leporinus friderici* (Bloch, 1794) collected in Pirativa River, Santana-AP.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS / EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS / METHODOLOGY

2.1 Field of study

57 This study was conducted in the areas along the Pirativa river in anthropized and non-
58 anthropized areas of the city of São Raimundo, with coordinates N 00 02'08.9" W 051 15'
59 32.3", in Santana-AP, as shown in Figure 1.

60 **Figure 1 - Location of the area of study - collection points - Santana/ AP.**



61 Source: GoogleMaps.2012.
62

63 2.2 Obtaining of fish

64 The fish were obtained in Pirativa River in both disturbed and intact areas in the city
65 of Pirativa-Santana-AP, duly informed to the competent bodies. The fish were used in three
66 stages of development: young, developing and adult. Specimens were captured in a
67 traditional way with fishing nets, and gill nets, and were after identified, measured and
68 classified for cataloging.

69 2.3 Study of yield

70 In the Laboratory of Processing and Fish Technology of the Amapá State University,
71 the obtained specimens were weighed: total weight (TW) with the aid of electronic precision
72 scale accurate to 0.001 g, eliminating the excess water, and measured the standard length
73 (SL), between the end forward of the muzzle and the lower perimeter of the caudal
74 peduncle, using a tape according to Crepaldi et al. (2008).

75 Then the fish filleting was performed, the process in which were removed the head,
76 the viscera, skin, cervical, carcass and then the fillet of tambaqui and pintado according to
77 what is recommended by Souza (2002), seeking the method which gives a higher yield of
78 the fish. After the above procedure, they were weighed separately for yield calculation. The
79 analyzes were performed in triplicate.

80 In order to avoid individual differences the yield of cuts, the procedure was performed
81 by a single person as proposed by Burkert (2008).

Then, they were washed to remove any element that is not derived from the raw materials and which can have eventually been obtained during processing, in accordance with the standards proposed by Brasil (1997). Subsequently, they were stored in polypropylene recipients. To prevent the formation of ice crystals on the surface of the fillets, the recipients were covered with plastic bags.

Thus, one can get the values of the Whole Fish Gutted (W.F.G), the Fillet Yield (F.Y.) and by-products. It was considered as by-products some fish parts as fins, skin, head, bones and spine. The ventrecha was considered as part of the fillet.

The yield calculations were performed as proposed by Burkert (2008), and thus, the assessed yields were a yield of by-products and fillet yield. For this purpose the formulas described below were used:

$$\% \text{ byproducts} = \frac{\text{By-products weight}}{\text{Total weight of fish}} \times 100$$

$$\% \text{ fillet} = \frac{\text{Fillets weight}}{\text{Total weight of fish}} \times 100$$

2.4 Physical and chemical analysis

The physical and chemical parameters adopted in this research were pH, Humidity, Waste from Incineration (Ash), lipids and total volatile bases. All the tests were carried out according to standards of Adolf Lutz Institute (2008).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From the analysis of data, the fillet average yields were 48.30 and 46.632 %, respectively, for *Hoplias malabaricus* (Traíra) and *Leporinus friderici* (Aracu). Santos et al. (2001) determined similar fillet yield results to traíra with a value of 44.33 ± 9.51 .

The filleting method also influences the yield for the species *L. friderici* (Aracu-fat-head or three pints) and *H. malabaricus* (Traíra), with differences in the form of skin removal and the type of cutting head (decapitation). In the first case, removing the skin with pliers and then the fillet, one obtains the largest fillet yield (36.67%), compared to filleting then removing the skin with the aid of a knife (32, 89%) (Souza et al., 1999). The results found in this study for the fillet yield are in agreement to the research of Souza et al (1999).

According to Pinheiro (2006), several factors influence the yield of filleting, among which mainly include the degree of mechanization, filleting method (skin or fillet order of removal, removal or not the head and fins, type of cut made on Beheading) and, the most important, skill of who performs filleting.

The values determined in the physical and chemical analysis of fillet composition of *Hoplias malabaricus* (Traíra) and *Leporinus friderici* (Aracu) are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Chemical composition of the fillet samples of *Hoplias malabaricus* (Traíra) and *Leporinus friderici* (Aracu).

Parameters	<i>Hoplias malabaricus</i>	<i>Leporinus friderici</i>
pH	6.80	7.00
humidity (% m/m)	70.004±4.57	65.55±2.44
ash (% m/m)	2.88±0.74	3.50±1.51
lipids (% m/m)	10.50	11.90
Total volatile bases (mg N/%)	1.76±0.01	1.69±0.03

The hydrogenionic potential (pH) values found for *Hoplias malabaricus* (traíra) is 6.8 and the *Leporinus friderici* (aracu-comum ou piau-três pintas) 7, samples showed a value of pH greater than 6.5 on the inner flesh, being outside the limits recommended by RIISPOA (BRASIL, 1952). This regulation proposes a pH limit for various species, but some species of fish after “rigor mortis” already have high pH values, which can even be equal to or greater than 6.5, after the end of the experiment. This fact suggests that the pH is not always an appropriate tool for quality control, since it may be associated with increased resistance to capture by using fishing nets, decomposition, and oxidizing action of amino acids and urea, fish species, type of microbial load, handling, packaging and excessive use of polyphosphates after filleting.

The measured pH values exceeded the limit set by federal law to *Hoplias malabaricus* (traíra) and *Leporinus friderici* at 4.6% and 7.7% respectively, thereby good use of fishing techniques and reduction of stress when the fish is caught, are suitable for the maintenance of pH of the fish internal flesh (BRASIL, 1997).

Determined moisture values were 70.004 ± 4.57 and $65.55 \pm 2.44\%$ to *Hoplias malabaricus* (traíra) and *Leporinus friderici* (piauí-três pintas) do not comply with the standards required by RIISPOA which states that the moisture content does not exceed more than 35%. The high degree of moisture found in both species, influence directly on a lower shelf life, because high levels of water activity give a conducive environment to proliferation of microorganisms and associated enzymatic actions that may modify its natural properties. These results are similar to those of the survey by Santos et al., (2001) in the study of the chemical composition and fillet yield of Traíra, in which average values found for moisture, it was 77.71. In the study of Burket et al. (2008) the determined average values of 64.83 ± 2.35 of moisture of the side fillet of *Pseudo platystoma* sp (surubins) fed with three different commercial food are close to fillet yield values of *Leporinus friderici* (piauí-three pints) found in this search.

145 The fixed mineral residue determined for the species were 2.88 ± 0.74 and $3.50 \pm$
146 1.51 to *Hoplias malabaricus* (traíra) and *Leporinus friderici* (piau-três pintas), respectively.
147 According to Contreras-Guzmán (1994), Machado and Lin (2009). report that the fraction of
148 ash in freshwater fish shows variations in amounts ranging from 0.90 to 3.39%. These
149 values are consistent with those found in Traíra and Piau-three pints. In the nutritional
150 sense, the fish meat is a rich and valuable source of nutrients, such as calcium (Ca) and
151 phosphorus (P), and also provides reasonable quantities of sodium (Na), potassium (K),
152 manganese (Mn), copper (Cu), iron (Fe) and iodine (I) (SIMÕES et al., 2007).

153 The values found in the extraction of lipids to *Hoplias malabaricus* (Traíra) and
154 *Leporinus friderici* (piau-três pintas) were 10.5 and 11.9%, respectively. However, the
155 residue obtained in the extraction are not comprised only by lipids, but for all compounds
156 that, under the conditions of the determination, can be extracted by the solvent, therefore it
157 becomes necessary a more rigorous analysis for qualitative determination in identifying and
158 hence its quantification. For Cecchi (2003), the lipid content can vary greatly depending on
159 the type of food, and fish have values of 0.1% to 20%. Lipids are highly energetic organic
160 compounds.

161 According to Jacquot (1961) cited Torres et al., (2012) fish of the same species,
162 depending on the capture site, could get different ratings based on their fat. He also
163 classifies the fish as thin when the determined maximum fat content is 2.5% and semi-fat
164 when these levels are in the range of 2.5% to 10%. Thus, the species fall within the semi-fat
165 rating.

166 The fat of fish is nutritionally important because it is a natural source of unsaturated
167 fatty acids; however, it may have an inverse relationship with the conservation time if it is
168 packaged in inadequate conditions (COSTA et al., 2008). According to some authors, the
169 fish generally are more palatable before spawning, this period in which the fish deposit fatter
170 and glycogen to be used as energy sources (COSTA, 2005; MENDONÇA, 2007; POLESE,
171 2009).

172 The Volatile Tertiary Nitrogen Bases (N-BVT) and Trimethylamine (TMA) is a
173 parameter recommended by national law for assessing the degree of freshness of fish and
174 fish products, but the limit established for this parameter does not seem to be suitable for all
175 kinds of fish. It was assumed that the limits below 30 mg N-BVT/100g meat to BVT and 4 mg
176 N-TMA/100g of meat to tertiary volatile bases, according to the Technical Regulation of
177 Industrial and Health Inspection of Animal Products – RIISPOA (BRASIL, 1952). The results
178 are in accordance with the standard set, as the values are lower, allowing a long period of
179 storage and preservation of nutritional value, slowing the actions of antioxidants, enzyme
180 activities and microorganisms.

181 Several countries, such as Brazil, Germany, Argentina and Australia, have adopted
182 this parameter to freshness criteria. However, the use of this parameter for freshwater fish is
183 questioned, because they have minimal amounts of trimethylamine oxide, which yields by
184 microbial action trimethylamine. Thus, unlike the saltwater fish, freshwater fish usually have
185 low values of BVT (GOMES, 2006).

186

187 4. CONCLUSION

188

189 The studied species have a high nutritional value which ensures a good quality of the steak
190 conservation and of their physicochemical properties. Thus, the research is confirming and
191 highlighting these species. They are commonly consumed by traditional communities and
192 marketed in pairs of fish since they are part of the daily diet that is served as food and
193 ensure the survival of these populations.

194

195 REFERENCES

196 Ashie INA, Smith JP, Simpson BK. Spoilage and shelf-life extension of fresh fish and
197 shellfish. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 1996;36(182):87-21

198

199 BRAZIL. Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Supply. Industrial Inspection Regulation and
200 Health of Animal Products - RIISPOA. Approved by Decree No. 30691 of March 29, 1952
201 and amended by Decree No. 1255 of 25/06/62, No. 1236 of 02/09/94, No. 812 of Decree No.
202 08-0296 and 2444 of 04/07/97 (Portuguese).

203

204 Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Supply. Technical Regulation Identity and Quality
205 Fresh Fish (whole and gutted). Ordinance No. 185 of 13 May 1997. (Portuguese).

206

207 Burkert D, et al. Yield processing and chemical composition of catfish fillets grown in cages.
208 Brazilian Journal of Animal Science, 2008;(7):1137-1143. (Portuguese).

209

210 Cecchi HM. Theoretical and practical foundations in food analysis. 2nd edition Magazine.
211 Publisher Unicamp. 2003. (Portuguese).

212

213 Contreras-Guzmán, E. S. Biochemistry derivatives of fish. FUNEP. Jaboticabal. 409 p.1994.
214 (Portuguese).

215

216 Costa APR. Income and processing red-piau *Leporinus copelandii* Steindachner, 1875,
217 collected from the wild. Thesis. 92f. 2005. Agricultural Science and Technology Center of the
218 North Fluminense State University Darcy Ribeiro. 2005. (Portuguese).

219

220 Costa APR, et al., Red-piau fillet Smoking (*Leporinus copelandii*) with the use of liquid
221 smoke. CERES, 2008; 55 (4): 2251- 257. (Portuguese).

222

223 Frazier WC, DC Westhoff. Food Microbiology them. 4th En. Zaragoza, Acribia, 681p., 1993.
224 (Spanish)

225

226 Gomes LM. Influence of conditions of handling and conservation in the quality of the fish.
227 Specialization. 43f. 2006. Qualittas Institute of Postgraduate Diploma in Veterinary Medicine.
228 2006. (Portuguese).

229

230 Adolfo Lutz Institute. Methods for chemical and physical analysis of food. London: IMESP,
231 2008. (Portuguese).

232
233 Machado MRF, Foresti F. Yield and chemical composition of *Prochilodus lineatus* fillet of Rio
234 Mogi das Cruzes, Brazil. *Archives Animal Science*, 2009; 58 (224): 663-670. (Portuguese).
235 Mendonça PP. Influence of photoperiod on the development of juvenile tambaqui
236 *Colossoma macropomum*. Thesis. 69f. 2007. Agricultural Science and Technology Center of
237 the North Fluminense State University Darcy Ribeiro. 2007. (Portuguese)
238
239 Ordonez AO. Food Technology. St. Paul, ed. Artmed, v.2, Chap.12, p.299-228, 2005.
240 (Portuguese)
241
242 Pinheiro LMS; Martins TR; Pinheiro LAS; Pinheiro LEL. Rendimento industrial de filetagem
243 da tilápia tailandesa (*Oreochromis* spp.). *Arq. Bras. Med. Vet. Zootec.*, 2006; 58 (2): 257-
244 262.
245
246 Polese MF. Ration of corn particle size of the effect on performance and composition of pacu
247 juveniles housing, *Piaractus mesopotamicus* Holmberg, 1887. Dissertation. 72f. 2009.
248 Agricultural Science and Technology Center of the North Fluminense State University Darcy
249 Ribeiro. 2009. (Portuguese)
250
251 Santos AB, et al. Chemical composition and fillet yield of betrayed (*H. malabaricus*).
252 *Uruguiana*, 2001; 7/8 (1): 140-150. (Portuguese)
253
254 Simões MR. Physico-chemical composition, microbiological and fillet yield of Thai tilapia
255 (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Food Science and Technology*, 2007; 27 (3): 608-613. (Portuguese)
256
257 Souza MLR; Macedo-Viegas, EM. Comparação de quatro métodos de filetagem utilizado
258 para a tilápia do Nilo (*Oreochromis niloticus*) sobre o rendimento do processamento.
259 *Infopesca International*, Montevideu, 20001; 7: 26-31.
260
261 Souza, MLR, et al. Influência do método de filetagem e categorias de peso sobre
262 rendimento de carcaça da tilápia do Nilo (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Rev. Bras. Zootec.*,
263 Viçosa, 1999; 28: 1-6.
264
265 Torres LM. Composition of Fatty Acids Traíra (*Hoplias malabaricus*) and Pintadinho
266 (unrated) come from southern Rio Grande do Sul and India Life in Uruguay. *Agricultural*
267 *Sciences*, 2012; 33 (3): 1047-1058. (Portuguese)