



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACSJ_27055
Title of the Manuscript:	Adsorption of poly-phenolic compounds in the extract optimized bark of the trunk of <i>Pittosporum viridiflorum</i> (Pittosporaceae) by the pillared clay of Boboyo in view of the formulation of powder insecticide
Type of the Article	Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I have tried making a lot of changes to the reporting style. After correction suggested is made, there is a need to give it a final touch to make sure that the tenses are same eg experimental reported in past tense. The work done in this manuscript is not well expressed due to choice of words, phrases and sentences. This manuscripts need an English scientific editor	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript has good scientific impart in the search for natural insecticides.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adebola O. Oyedeji
Department, University & Country	Department of Chemistry and Chemical Technology, Walter Sisulu University, Private Bag, South Africa