



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACSJ_28201
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of Novel 4-Hydrazinyl-6-methyl-2-(alkylthio)pyrimidine Derivatives
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		Dear reviewer, we thank you for the detailed analysis of this article, your comments and suggestions on it
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The work is sound . There are few spelling mistakes concerning the word "heterocyclization" came at line P1/line 10 and at P7/line 345, should be written as "heterocyclization" [see J.Org.Chem., 1994,59,3375-3380 and Org. let. 2016, 18(14),3378-3381]. Also a typing mistake at P5/ end of line 292 , should be ...2-(6-methyl-2-.... Also, the concentration for biological test was rather high, 50—25 mg/L.	• We have corrected all mentioned words. • For preliminary testing, we take such concentrations on the advice of agrochemicals. During the subsequent deep studies the concentrations have varied in the range of 5-50 mg/L. As experience shows, in some cases lower concentrations of substances have a greater effect.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		