



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACSJ_27123
Title of the Manuscript:	Transfer Characteristics of Trace metals from Soil to the edible Parts of some Vegetable Species Grown in Ibeno, South-south Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The work being reported here seems to be an extension of Etim's published work from the same area and with two added plants and sites . Thus, the work of Etim should be acknowledged here. 2. The criteria for choosing the selected control site should be clearly stated since it is even more polluted than some sites being investigated 3.The Method detection limit was be specified 4. Make and model of instrument should be indicated 5. Season during which the sampling occurred must also be indicated as there are seasonal variations in soil-metal content and consequently will affect metal plant uptake. 6. Other recommended but compulsory corrections are on the attached pdf format of the manuscript. None except that the author/s failed to cite Etim's work, a very important reference germane to the work. Furthermore, that work forms the foundation for this current work. The reference is given in the corrected manuscript.	1. Etim et al. 2016 is acknowledged. 2. The criteria has been stated. 3. Done 4. Model is indicated. 5. Done 6. All the corrections have been effected and highlighted in yellow. Strongly agree with reviewer. Etim's work is referenced appropriately.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		