



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACSJ_26972
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF EDIBLE CLAY FROM ENYIGBA IN EBONYI STATE, NIGERIA, FOR ESSENTIAL NUTRIENT METALLIC ELEMENTS AND ANALYSIS OF TISSUES AND ORGANS OF RABBITS FOR THE CLAY CONSTITUENTS, FOLLOWING ORAL ADMINISTRATION OF THE CLAY
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Although it accepted many of the suggestions, the authors did not answer why not changed some things and did not answer the questions asked. For example: in the material and methods it says that a control group was analyzed (without ingestion of clay). Why this group does not appear in the results and statistical comparison? if you do not speak any time of group C in the results and discussion, then it would be better to withdraw this group of materials and methods? Why the figures are not self-explanatory? Why has not the result of statistical analysis of the deletion of data elements in the figures? No explanations, change or compelling answers to these questions. In the title "EXPERIMENTS WITH RABBITS" define better experiments are those with rabbits.	The results for the control were not included in the table as the difference emanating from the control was taken care of by subtracting this amount from the observed values of different metal concentrations. (Refer to the main document attached) There was no need to represent the result of statistical analysis in figures as this would make the report cumbersome. It was presented with table because it involves the comparison of possible pairs of tissues and time (hour). However, a better explanation of the result has been presented under the tables. (Refer to the document attached)