



№6 SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACSJ_22405
Title of the Manuscript:	Papaverin. Geometrical and Electronic Structure. Acidic Force.
Type of the Article	Original Research Papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



№6 SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is very poorly written. From introduction to conclusion, manuscript has just a calculative short note of calculation only. No discussion of thier calculations is present in the manuscript. Some Major comments are here- 1. Calculate HOMO-LUMO GAP and draw thier respective plots for reactivity of molecule	The article is really remade. The discussion of results was added. HOMO-LUMO GAP is the subject of our further investigations
	2. ReWrite introduction with more appropriate references. 3. Discussion part is missing in the manuscript. Add appropriate discussion of thier calculations. 4. Conclusion should be written agian with the final outcomes of your work.	Into the introduction was added the reference. Discussion part was added In the conclusion was included the final outcomes of the work
Minor REVISION comments	Check references again carefully Change the title of manuscript. Write appropriate title in minimum words which shows ur whole work in one line.	Carefully the references were checked The title of manuscript we have changed