SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Chemical Science Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ACSJ_22842**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Integrated Process for *β*-glucan Concentrate from Ganoderma lucidum by Extraction and Micronization**
Type of the Article	**Original research Articles**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Insert the reference for line 13-15, 16-19, 26-28,** **Line 76-83 is part of the methodology not results kindly review.** **Support the argument in line 123-127 with some published facts.** **The information in 171-189 is part of the methodology please rearrange** **Insert reference for 194-197** **Check line 267…**b-glucan	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 49 reads '*G. lucidum* obtained' should read *G. lucidum was* obtained	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Mutemi Muthangya***
Department, University & Country	***Biochemistry, South Eastern Kenya University, Kenya***