SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**American Chemical Science Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ACSJ_22327**
Title of the Manuscript:	**EFFICACY OF VARIED CONCENTRATIONS OF FIPRONYL 200G/L IN THE GRAVEYARD (FIELD TRIAL TEST) CONTROL OF TERMITES.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1/ Tables 1, 2 and 3 are unnecessary and add nothing to the understanding of the text 2/ Can be limited to Figure 1 or 2 (the latter does not contain a title) 3/ Place the key words at the end of the Abstract and not at the end of the Introduction 4/ Line 100 : how the medium value of weight loss for Eucalyptus control timbers is 0 while max is 1kg . The same about Gravellier where max is 0.9 kg. 5/ Table 1, line control : add 1 at the column max 6/ It would be interesting to indicate if there is difference in the attacks between Eucalyptus and Gravellia . 7/ Is there a difference in the cost of the treatment with Termidor (10 ml / l) and Fipronyl at a dose of 200g / l?	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Bergaoui Ridha***
Department, University & Country	***Department of animal production, National Institute of Agronomy, Tunisia***