



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACSJ_22842
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Process for β -glucan Concentrate from Ganoderma lucidum by Extraction and Micronization
Type of the Article	Original research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 158: please change with: "...powder products, which had..." Lines 171-189: this part must be moved to the experimental, since it is not pertinent to "Results". Line 273: correct word is "decarboxylation" Line 276: "constituent" Line 323, ref. 10: first name's initial is missing	Thank you very much for your correction. It has been changed in line 155-156. It has been moved to the experimental. See line 74-92. Thank you very much for your correction. It has been changed in line 270. Thank you very much for your correction. It has been changed in line 273. Ref. 10 (now ref. 13) is right. First name's initial for second author is nothing.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The present manuscript is well organized and well written. English is very good and the topic results to be interesting, although to experts from the field of polysaccharides extraction from medicinal mushrooms. The work is a follow up of a previous article, as stated by authors. It is quite technical, but findings are interesting for people involved in plant extracts production. Authors speak about "hydrothermal extraction", even though the technique used reminds very much the well known "Pressurized Liquid Extraction" (PLE).	Thank you very much for your good comments and recommendations. Hydrothermal extraction is also well known as pressurized hot water extraction or pressurized liquid extraction.