



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACSJ_22842
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Process for β -glucan Concentrate from <i>Ganoderma lucidum</i> by Extraction and Micronization
Type of the Article	Original research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Insert the reference for line 13-15, 16-19, 26-28, Line 76-83 is part of the methodology not results kindly review. Support the argument in line 123-127 with some published facts. The information in 171-189 is part of the methodology please rearrange Insert reference for 194-197 Check line 267...b-glucan	Reference [1] has been added for line 13-15. References [3-4] have been added for line 16-19. References [14, 15] have been added for line 26-28. Thank you for your correction. It has been rearranged. Reference [20] has been added for supporting argument in line 123-127 (now line 143-147). Thank you for your correction. It has been rearranged. Reference [27] has been added for line 194-197. See line 170-172. Thank you for your correction. It has been changed to β-glucan.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 49 reads ' <i>G. lucidum</i> obtained' should read <i>G. lucidum</i> was obtained	Thank you for your correction. It has been changed " <i>G. lucidum</i> was obtained.."
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		