



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACSJ_21119
Title of the Manuscript:	Vitamin C (L-ascorbic acid) content in different parts of Moringa Oleifera grown in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The paper has been improved. Yet, some very minor corrections would be necessary at the preparation of the final form: (lines 161-162): "It has been observed that different Moringa plants of the same species contain different amounts of vitamin C." This correction has not yet been performed. The word „different” and the plural of „plants” would require here the plural for „amounts”. The paper entitled: DETERMINATION AND COMPARISION OF VITAMIN C CONTENT FROM MORINGA OLEIFERA BY DIFFERENT METHODS, Authors: Nidhi Sankhyan, Anshul Sharma, Chandrika Attri Seth, Anjali Chauhan & Saurabh Kulshrestha, International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research (IJASR) ISSN 2250-0057 Vol. 3 Issue 2, Jun 2013, 67-70 would be worth being cited, as example of application of different techniques. The theme is very close to the one approached in the present study.	lines 161-162: Necessary correction has been done at line no: 161. Although the theme of the study (DETERMINATION AND COMPARISION OF VITAMIN C CONTENT FROM MORINGA OLEIFERA BY DIFFERENT METHODS, Authors: Nidhi Sankhyan, Anshul Sharma, Chandrika Attri Seth, Anjali Chauhan & Saurabh Kulshrestha, International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research (IJASR) ISSN 2250-0057 Vol. 3 Issue 2, Jun 2013, 67-70) is aparently similar, but our study only dealt with the variation among the different plant parts, not different methods. We quantify the vitamin C contents only by HPLC, not by any other methods such as UV, titration, etc. Since, our study is not a comperative study among different methods, we didn't mention any other technique.