



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJPR_13479
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Antidiabetic Activity of Combination of Trace Elements
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1- The structure of abstract is not correct. In this part you need to have background, aim of study, material and methods, results and conclusion. You also need to have key words in the end of abstract. 2- Introduction is too long, please just referee to the related studies. 3- Ether is not good anesthesia for diabetic animals, because it can increase blood glucose. 4- In the material and methods part you don't need to have all detail about the parameters estimation. Please exactly explain which serum indexes you measured. 5- Did you measure the blood glucose by kit or glucometer? 6- From your results it seems that you measured glucose tolerance test, please add the information in the material and methods. 7- How medications are administrated for animals (add in drinking water or IP injection)? Please add this information in the method and material part. 8- How long after diabetic induction medications are administrated for animals? Please add in the method and material part. 9- Please change the table 1 to the line chart, because for such this data chart is much	1- Corrected the structure of abstract. Mentioned the background, material and methods, results and conclusion. Key words are also added. 2- Introduction has been made short by deleting few not relating matters. Like line no 34, 40, 41, 42, 48, and 51. 3- Ether has been replaced by chloroform. 4- For better explanation I have given detail about the parameters estimation. 5- I measured blood glucose by kit. 6- Information has been added line 110-122. 7- Medications are administrated for animal by gastric intubation. This already mentioned in line 84-85. 8- About 30 days medications are administrated for animals. Written in line 97. 9- Table no 1 has been changed in chart (Fig no - 1) line 117-228.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	easier to understand than table. 10- From your results it seems that you have non-diabetic groups, but you didn't mention it in the material and methods. 11- Your data were expressed as mean$\pm$SEM or mean$\pm$SD, please check it and correct it. 12- What is meaning of table 02 13- In all your table add more information about your group, for example what is meaning of diabetic test V+S 14- Your results are very difficult to understand, because they didn't present well. In some of your table you have presented all your groups, but in some of them you just have 4 or more groups. 15- Your chart #1 needs to present in the good format. 16- The pathologic sides also need more information. 17- The blood glucose didn't change, but in the discussion part you mentioned some of trace element could decrease blood glucose. 18- Please re-Wright the discussion part according to your findings. 19- The references are too old.	10- Group I, II, III and VI are non diabetic group. Mentioned in line 87-92. 11- Corrected in line 196 of material methods. 12- Means table no- 02, Corrected in line 238. 13- Corrected in all table. 14- According to material and methods. 15- Corrected. 16- Corrected. 17- According to available references for single trace element. 18- Corrected in many part, some unnecessary parts have been removed. 19- New references have been given for Ref. no. 4, 5 and 8 and 31.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		