

1 **Type of Article: Original research paper**

2 **EFFECT OF SOIL TEXTURE ON SOIL**
3 **INFILTRATION RATE**

4 **Abstract**

5 The process of infiltration may be quite complex due to non-uniformity of the initial moisture
6 content and soil properties and it is a process governed by force of gravity and capillary
7 action. This process affects surface runoff, soil erosion, and groundwater recharge. It is
8 important therefore to measure the rate of infiltration to balance the ecosystem. This work
9 assesses the performance of a locally fabricated double-ring infiltrometer for infiltration rate
10 measurement using selected locations within the Ekiti State University, Nigeria. The double-
11 ring infiltrometer test is a well-recognized and documented technique for directly measuring
12 soil infiltration rate. From the observation of the study, the soil location can be texturally
13 classified as sandy clay, the infiltration depths obtained from the double ring infiltrometer test
14 are $0.97t^{1.22} - 2.9$ and $0.25t^{1.22} - 2.0$. The result demonstrates that the intake rate of soil
15 depends on the texture of soil, surface condition of the soil and time of pounding.

16 **Keywords:** Infiltration rate, infiltrometer, measurement, surface runoff, soil texture,
17 ecosystem.


18 **Introduction**

19 Infiltration, according to [1, 15], is the process whereby water enters the soil uniformly
20 through its matrix, or through pores or crevices. This implies that entry of water into soil is
21 affected by soil surface conditions as well as inherent properties and water supply [2, 3, 4, 5,
22 6]. It has been observed that infiltration is essentially a surface process in which porosity
23 distribution of the soil material is the determining factor.

24 Infiltration capacity is the maximum rate at which the soil can take in water [10, 13, 26].
25 Infiltration capacity can be reduced by compaction (human, animal or vehicular traffic). It
26 can be determined using infiltrometers (Figure 1), drainage basins rainfall-runoff-analysis,
27 inflow-outflow measurements, volume balance technique, index method and the far method.
28 When water from rainfall or irrigation is applied to the surface of the soil, some of it may
29 enter the soil where it may percolate to groundwater table or may become distributed within

30 the soil and is stored for plant use [2, 7, 8, 12]. Part of it may runoff causing erosion while
31 part may evaporate.

32



33

34

Figure 1: Section double ring infiltrometer

35 The process of infiltration under conditions may be quite complex due to non-uniformity of
36 the initial moisture content and soil properties. In the early stages of infiltration into a
37 uniformity dry soil, the matrix suction gradient in the surface layer will be very high and is
38 likely the most important factor determining the amount of infiltration and downward
39 movement of water. In a dry soil, suction gradients can be much greater than gravitational
40 gradient [15, 18, 22]. And infiltration rates can be attributed to the suction gradient. In wet
41 soils, suction gradients are small from the start and become negligible sooner. The rate of
42 infiltration and obvious characteristics of infiltration process is the rapid decrease in rate with
43 time. Generally, infiltration rate is initially high, decreasing rapidly and then moves slowly to
44 a constant rate known as the steady state [15].

45 The factors that affect infiltration include crop root system, organic debris, mulching,
46 burrowing animals, insects, surface moisture content. Others are frost heave, salts, digging
47 cracks, interstitial air, temperature, etc. all these factors mentioned above can be divided into
48 3 groups namely; surface conditions, soil characteristics and cultural practices.

49 The rate at which water passes through the soil surface is highly dependent on the conditions
50 of the soil surface. Materials such as clay, polythene and mulch may seal the surface of soil
51 so that infiltration rates are low and even when the underlying soils are highly permeable. If,
52 however, the soil surface is rough, it will permit high infiltration but if the surface is smooth
53 with tiny pores, infiltration rate would be low.

54 Soil characteristics include soil texture, soil structure, swelling and shrinkage etc. cultural
55 practices tillage vegetative cover, trash and organic matter.

56 Parr and Bertrand (1960) published a thorough review of field methods for measuring
57 infiltration capacity. Basically, some types of devices have been used as sprinkling
58 infiltrometer and flooding infiltrometer, it is always advantageous to use a sprinkling

infiltrometer for irrigation purpose, while flooding infiltrometer would be more appropriate for soils that are to be furrow for flood irrigation [5, 27, 30, 31]. However, the double rings infiltrometer devices are far more frequently used because they require less equipment and are easier to install and operate than the other types. This work, therefore, aims at designing and constructing a double ring infiltrometer using local materials to measure infiltration rate.

Material and Methods

The double ring infiltrometer is an instrument which is in form of concentric cylinder described in detail by [15]. According to him, for any two cylinders to be called concentric cylinder, the center from where the radii of the two cylinders are measured to their circumference must be the same. In other words, the two cylinders must have the same center of producing their circular edges as shown in Figure 2. The infiltrometer is produced from 2mm thick rolled steel as shown in Figure 2. The two cylinders are of same height of 40cm (Figure 2). The inner cylinder from where the infiltrometer measurements are taken is 30cm in diameter while the outer cylinder which is used to form the buffer pond is 60cm in diameter.




Figure 2: double ring infiltrometer plan and assembling

With the above two diameters, the circumference of each of the cylinders can be obtained mathematically as shown below:

Circumference of a circle = πd , where, d is the diameter. For the circumference of the inner cylinder = $\pi d = 94.30\text{cm}$, similarly for the circumference of the outer cylinder = $\pi d = 188.60\text{cm}$.

The Cylinders were installed 10cm deep into the soil for all experiments carried out.

Soil samples used for this work were collected at different depths; 0.30cm, 30-60cm, from the faculty of Engineering and at the Entrepreneur building of Ekiti State University. In what

85 follows, these are designated as location 1 and 2 respectively. The samples collected were
 86 analyzed to determine the particle size distribution of the soils.
 87 Infiltration rate was determined using the fabricated double ring infiltrometer of inner
 88 diameter 30cm and outer ring diameter of 60cm and 40cm deep (Figure 3). The infiltrometer
 89 is installed to a depth of 10cm, water is poured into the infiltrometer and pressure head kept
 90 at the same level and measurements made at two different locations on the field with the aid
 91 of the steel rule (Figure 3).



92
 93 **Figure 3: Infiltrometer**

94 The outer cylinder (buffer) prevents lateral seepage thereby ensuring only vertical movement
 95 of water in the inner cylinder into the soil. A stopwatch is used to take the reading at five
 96 minutes interval which is later varied as the experiment progresses.

97 **Results and Discussion**

98 The preliminary soil test carried out to determine the particle size distribution gave the results
 99 as shown in Tables 1 to 4. Using the soil texture triangle (Figure 4), the soil has more of sand
 100 particles at location 1 at 30cm depth and 60cm depth falls into the class of sandy clay, while
 101 the soil particle at location 2 at depth 60cm falls into the class of clay. Results from the
 102 double ring infiltrometer test using Equation (1) is shown in Table 7 and 8 for locations 1 and
 103 2.

$$104 \quad \text{Average infiltration rate} = \frac{i_t - i_o}{t - t_o} \quad (1)$$

105 where

106 i_t = depth after filling, i_o = depth before refilling, t = time (min), t_o = initial time (min)

107




Figure 4: Soil Texture Triangle

The cumulative infiltration depth (cm) is obtained by summing up the values of depth of water infiltrated in the infiltrometer one after the other, from the calculated data the values of accumulated infiltration rates are plotted as a function of the elapsed time as shown in Figures 5 and 6. The relationship of accumulated infiltration 'y' with respect to time 't' can be mathematically defined by the following equation known as modified kostiakov method [10]:

$$Y = at^{\alpha} + b \quad (2)$$

The values a, α and b are three characteristic constants which were be obtained by the procedures suggested by Davis [10] as follows for locations 1 and 2 respectively.

Location 1:

a = 0.97, b = -2.90, and $\alpha = 1.22$ given a relationship $Y = 0.97t^{1.22} - 2.90$.

Location 2:

a = 0.25, b = 2.00, $\alpha = 1.22$ given relationship $Y = 0.25t^{1.22} - 2.00$

Sieve size (mm)	Mass of sieve (g)	Mass of sieve + soil (g)	Mass of soil retained	Percentage retained (%).	Cumulative % retained	Finer percentage
2.36	420	834	414	41.4	41.4	58.6
1.18	377	557	180	18.0	59.4	40.6
0.60	371	566	195	19.5	78.9	21.1
0.43	365	416	51	5.1	84.0	16.0
0.30	370	407	37	3.7	87.7	12.3
0.15	347	418	70	7.0	94.7	5.3
0.075	341	374	30	3.0	97.7	2.3
Pan	307	330	23	2.3	100	0
			Wt=1000g			

122 **Table 1: Sieve analysis for soil sample at depth 30cm for location 1**

Sieve size (mm)	Mass of sieve (g)	Mass of sieve + soil (g)	Mass of soil retained	Percentage retained (%).	Cumulative % retained	Finer percentage
2.36	420	894	474	47.4	47.4	52.6
1.18	377	513	136	13.6	61	39
0.60	371	524	153	15.3	76.3	23.7
0.43	365	415	50	5.0	81.3	18.7
0.30	370	409	39	3.9	85.2	14.8
0.15	347	427	80	8.0	93.2	6.8
0.075	341	379	38	3.8	97.0	3.0
Pan	307	339	30	3.0	100	0
			Wt =1000g			

123 **Table 2: Sieve analysis for soil sample at depth 60cm for location 1**

Sieve size (mm)	Mass of sieve (g)	Mass of sieve + soil (g)	Mass of soil retained	Percentage retained (%).	Cumulative % retained.	Finer percentage (%).
2.36	420	781	361	45	45	55
1.18	377	501	124	15.5	60.5	39.5
0.60	371	456	85	10.6	71.1	28.9
0.43	365	404	39	4.9	76	24
0.30	370	404	34	4.3	80.3	19.7
0.15	347	445	98	12.3	92.6	7.4
0.075	341	371	50	6.3	98.9	1.1
Pan	307	316	9	1.1	100	0
			Wt =800g			

124 **Table 3: Sieve analysis for soil sample at depth 30cm for location 2**

Sieve size (mm)	Mass of sieve (g)	Mass of sieve + soil (g)	Mass of soil retained	Percentage retained (%).	Cumulative % retained.	Finer percentage (%).
2.36	420	972	552	55.2	55.2	44.8
1.18	377	497	120	12.0	67.2	32.8
0.60	371	489	118	11.8	79	21
0.43	365	404	39	3.9	82.9	17.1
0.30	370	399	29	2.9	85.8	14.2
0.15	347	411	62	6.2	92	8
0.075	341	380	39	3.9	95.9	4.1
Pan	307	348	41	4.1	100	0

			Wt =1000g			
--	--	--	-----------	--	--	--

125 **Table 4: Sieve analysis for soil sample at depth 60cm for location 2**

	1			2		
Depth (cm)	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay
0-30	56.3	2.3	0	53.9	1.1	0
30-60	49.6	3.0	0	40.7	4.1	0

126 **Table 5: Particle size analysis of soil at different depths and locations.**

	1			2		
Depth (cm)	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay	% Sand	% Silt	% Clay
0-30	Sandy clay			Sandy clay		
30-60	Sandy clay			Clay		

127 **Table 6: Textual classes of the above soil**

128


129

130

Time (mins)	Depth after filling (cm)	Depth before refilling (cm)	Depth of water infiltrated (cm)	Average infiltration rate (cm/hr)	Average accumulated infiltration
0	30	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
5	30	25.6	4.4	52.8	4.4
10	30	22.2	7.8	93.6	12.2
15	30	19.4	10.6	127.2	23.0
20	30	17.2	12.8	153.6	36.0
25	30	15.2	14.8	177.6	50.4
30	30	13.6	16.4	196.8	67.0
40	30	10.6	19.4	116.4	86.2
60	30	5.0	25.0	75.0	111.2

131 **Table 7: Infiltration readings for location 1**

132



133

134 **Figure 5: Graph of infiltration rate for location 1**

135

136

137

138

Time (mins)	Depth after filling (cm)	Depth before refilling (cm)	Depth of water infiltrated (cm)	Average infiltration rate (cm/hr)	Average accumulated infiltration
0	30	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	30	29.5	0.5	6.0	0.5
10	30	28.8	1.2	14.4	1.7
15	30	28.0	2.0	24.0	3.7
20	30	27.1	2.9	34.8	6.6
25	30	26.2	3.8	45.6	10.4
30	30	25.3	4.7	56.4	15.1
40	30	23.8	6.2	37.2	21.3
60	30	21.3	8.7	26.1	30.0

Table 8: Infiltration readings for location 2




Figure 6: Graph of infiltration rate for location 2

In the use of double ring infiltrometer, water impound in the outer cylinder is to prevent lateral flow from the inner cylinder or to serve as a buffer to ensure vertical flow in the inner cylinder. The infiltration rate represents primarily vertical flow in the soil.

From table 7 and 8, the infiltration rate (cm/hr) for the two points on the field were initially high and decreases sharply with time.

Similarly, Fig.5 and Fig.6 shows the graph of average infiltration rate and average accumulated infiltration against time for location 1 and location 2. The cumulative infiltration (cm) which designates the total quantity of water that enters the soil in a given time increased

as more time elapsed and also as high rate of sun evaporates the water. However, the fall in infiltration rate with time could be attributed to the attainment of saturated point by the soil as more water was applied. As the soil approaches saturated points, less water infiltrates into the soil because both micro-pores and macro-pores were almost filled up with water, thereby allowing for less infiltration to take place. The infiltration rate decreases with increase in time.

It is observed that the infiltration rate at the locations 2 is low, because of the predominant existence of clay in the soil which transmits water slowly.

The presence of dense cover vegetation, such as grass or forest tends to increase infiltration. The vegetation not only provides protection from erosion and compaction due to due to rain but also provides a layer of decaying organic matter which promotes the activity of burrowing insects and animals.

The field capacity varied at different points of location and depths. It increased with depth. The rate at which soils approach an average field capacity is slower with clayey soils.

Conclusion

From observation of the study and particle size analysis of soil samples at different depths and locations of field site as shown in table 7, the soil can be texturally classified as sandy clay and clay as shown in table 8.

The infiltration depths Y obtained from the double ring infiltrometer test are as follows;

$$Y = 0.97t^{1.22} - 2.9 \text{ and } Y = 0.25t^{1.22} - 2.0.$$

The result shows that the intake rate of soil depends on the texture of the soil, the surface condition of the soil and time of pounding.

The textual class and infiltration rate obtained from the test is good for furrow irrigation and the figures can be utilized for the efficient design of irrigation design on the field site.

The results obtained from the field test of the local fabricated double ring infiltrometer agrees with the findings of many researchers who have discovered that the rate of infiltration for various soil texture is high at the initial stage and later begins to fall as more water infiltrates into the soil.

- 184 1. Adams, J.E. & R.J. Hanks (1964). Evaporation from Soil Shrinkage Cracks. Soil Science Society,
185 America Proc. 28: 281-284.
- 186 2. AHN, P.M. (1970). West African Soil. Oxford University Press, London. (3rd Edition). 28-37.
- 187 3. American Association of Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Amemiya, M. (1965). The
188 Influence of Aggregate Size on Soil Moisture Content-Capillary. Advance Agronomy 15: 303-316.
- 189 4. Arora, K.R (2002). Irrigation, Water Power and Water Resources. Engineering pp.54-56.
- 190 5. Bartrand, A.R; Parr, J.F (1960). Development of a Portable Sprinting Infiltrometer. 7th Int.Biswas, T.D;
191 Das, B. and Verma, H.K.C (1969). Quality Rating of Well Waters for Irrigation in Delhi Area,
192 Grandwater Post III, Mise. Pub. No.14 Geological Survey of India, Calcutta.
- 193 6. Borrows, H.L; and V.J. Kilmer (1963). Plant Nutrient Losses from Soils by Water Erosion. Advance
194 Agronomy 15: 303-316.
- 195 7. Bruce, W. and Stanley, V. (1988). Irrigation Design and Practice. The Anchor Press Ltd, Tiptre,
196 Essese.
- 197 8. Clarke, G.R. (1987). The Study of the Soil in the Field. Oxford University Press (4th Edition) 36-40.
- 198 9. Courtney, F.M and Trudgill, S.T (1984). An Introduction to Soil Study. Pp.62. John Willey and Sons,
199 United States of America.
- 200 10. Davis, D.S (1943). Empirical Equations and Nomography. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York.
- 201 11. Deimond, E. & Shaw, A. (1960). Engineering Hydrology. McGraw Hill Publishing Company, New
202 York.
- 203 12. Egbomary, E.A. (1989). Architects, Planners, Engineering Consultants Limited.
- 204 13. Fitzpatrick, E.A (1986). An Introduction to Soil Science. 2nd Edition. John Willey and Sons Inc, New
205 York.
- 206 14. Harry, O.B. and Nyle, C.B. (1969). The Nature and Properties of Soils. Macmillan Company, New
207 York.
- 208 15. Hillel, D. (1980). Soil and Water: Physical Principles and Processes. Academic Press, New York.
- 209 16. Lambe, T.W. (1951). Soil Testing for Engineer. John Wiley and Sons Publishers, New York. (2nd
210 Edition).
- 211 17. Lamber, K.S. & David, N.R. (1978). Land Drainage. Oxford and ICH Publishing Company, PUT Ltd,
212 New York.
- 213 18. London, J.R. (1978). Tropical Soil Manual. P. 216-230.
- 214 19. Michael, A.M. (1978). Irrigation Theory and Practice. Vikas Publishing House, PUT Ltd, New Delhi.
- 215 20. Michael, D., Gregory, J.H., Dukes, M.D., Miller, G.L., Jones, P.H. (2005) Analysis of Double-Ring
216 Infiltration Techniques and Development of a Simple Automatic Water Deliver System.
- 217 21. Nwajide, C.S. (1982). Unpublished Ph.D Thesis on Petology and Paleogeography of the Makurdi
218 Formation. Department of Geology, University of Nigeria, Nsukka.
- 219 22. Nyle, C.B. (1990). Nature and Properties of Soil. 10th Edition. Macmillan Publishers, London.
- 220 23. Penman (1990). Agricultural Land Drainage. UCL Publishers Ltd. California, USA.
- 221 24. Randling, v.v. and howard, M.T (1989). Principles of Soil-Plant Interrelationship. McGraw-Hill
222 Publishing Company, New York.

- 223 25. Richard, L.A (1941). Uptake and Retention of Water by Soil as Determined by Distance to Water
224 Table. *Journal of American Society of Agronomy*, 33: 778 786.
- 225 26. Rowland, J. (1993). Soil and Soil Management. 2nd Edition. Macmillan publishing Company New
226 York.
- 227 27. Roy, H.D; Raymond, W.M. and John, C.S (1977). An Introduction to Soils and Plant Growth. Prentice
228 Hall Inc, Englewood Cliff, New Jersey.
- 229 28. Schwab, A; Hert, E. and Gab, S.C (1981). Irrigation Principles and Practice. Oxford Publishing
230 Company New York.
- 231 29. Sharmer & Sharma, T.K. (1990). Irrigation and Drainage. Oxford & IBH Publishing Co. PUT Ltd,
232 New York.
- 233 30. Thomas, J.T; Ignacio, G; Garcia-Castillas and William, E (1982). Soil Water Engineering. Field and
234 Laboratory Manual, Department of Agriculture and Chemical Engineering, Colorado State University
235 and Water Management Synthesis Project.
- 236 31. Winter (1974). Soil-Plant Interrelationship. McGraw-Hill Publishing Company, New York.
- 237 32. Uloma A,L Samuel A.C and kingsley I.K (2014) Estimation of Kostiakov's infiltration model
238 parameters of some sandy loam soils of Ikwuano – Umuahia, Nigeria. *Open Trans. Geosci.* **1**
239 **34–38.**
- 240