



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_39276
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological and Clinical Characteristics of Patients with Pressure Ulcers in Butembo, Democratic Republic of the Congo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Introduction is too brief and the researchers need to add flesh to it. The objectives of the study are yet to be stated. Please state them	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 6 use of the word real not proper and required Line 9 use of word prospective not proper and should be deleted Line 56 our study population of 762 patients. Is it total population that was studied or a sample of the population? Are there only two health care facilities in the zone? If not what sampling technique did you utilized in selecting the two hospitals? Line 99. You do not start a sentence with figure instead write in words. Referring to tables in discussion is not necessary for example line 107, line 143 (table 3). Table 1 in line 159 should read as variable, frequency and percentage and N=33 should be move to title of the table Profession in socio-demographic variable. What do you mean by without function	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Justin Agorye Ingwu
Department, University & Country	Nursing Sciences, University of Nigeria, Nigeria