



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_43009
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and beliefs towards malaria and associated factors among residents of the town of Douala, Cameroon
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript is extremely long with repetition of the information. 1. No need for figure 3, 4, 2. For table 1 : Important point for the respondents is whether they know a drug for malaria or not. So shorten the table for only phamasotics and number of citations with the percentage. 3. Table 4 cannot be understand in its form. Explain this in the text. And omit table.4 4. Tables 5, 6, 7 can be given as supplementary data for the people who are interested in more information. Only give the statistically important variable in the text (preferably in Results section) 5. Why compare with IRAN. as it is a Middle East country. Omit it. 6. Only include African originated references for the discussion.	1. Done 2. Not agreed. We think this table is consistent with the objectives of the study. Indeed, as we interested in knowledge and belief of malaria and not only on "phamasotics" 3. Done 4. Not agreed. We think information issued from these tables is consistent with the objective of the study on "Factors associated with Knowledge and beliefs" as outlined in the title and discussion. 5. Not agreed, we think it is important to compare with other setting where malaria is endemic. 6. Not agreed as it is important to compare with other setting where malaria is endemic irrespective of country.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		Thank you dear colleague for your comment.