



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_41831
Title of the Manuscript:	SERO-EPIDEMIOLOGY OF TRANSFUSION TRANSMISSIBLE INFECTIONS (TTIs) AMONGST VOLUNTARY BLOOD DONORS IN ERINGETI, DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO (D.R.C).
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Ethical Issue- No statement on evidence for Institutional Human Research Ethics Board approval for this study, please provide a scanned copy of the approval letter.	We haven't the scanned copy of the approval letter because this institution of ethical issue doesn't exist in this rural area part when we had worked. But, ethical standards have been met, because this study has guaranteed the confidentiality of donors by assigning a number instead of their name and the headmaster of the health area and the hospital agree and they gave us the permission before the beginning of this work.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	How about recasting your title to: "SERO-EPIDEMIOLOGY OF BLOOD TRANSFUSION TRANSMITTED INFECTIONS OF VOLUNTEER DONORS IN RURAL EASTERN PART OF THE DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF CONGO (D.R.C)."	Because we need the establishment of blood safety monitoring in that rural part of D.R.C.