



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_35706
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of Concrete Using Burnt Waste Plastic as Partial Replacement for Coarse Aggregate
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The last few lines of the Abstract are copied from a source which is not acceptable and needs revision. 2. The manuscript should be strictly as per the format and thus needs revision. 3. The section 3.7 Compressive strength is mostly copied from a reference and thus needs revision. 4. Even the section 3.8 statistical analysis of the compressive strength results is copied from different sources which is not acceptable. 5. 17% of the work has been copied from a publication entitled "Duna Samson .. "EFFECT OF METAKAOLIN ON COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF CONCRETE CONTAINING GLASS POWDER", International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology, 2016. 6. The conclusion part needs revision as it is also copied from one of the sources.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sakshi Gupta
Department, University & Country	Department of Civil Engineering, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, India