



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_35194
Title of the Manuscript:	An Assessment of Sustainable Energy-Efficient Strategies for Retrofitted Building Development in Abuja, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	• In general is good, the connection between the background, research methods and results achieved. But in the result and analysis, the findings obtained, should be associated more with references to be more scholarly. • Presentation of maps and tables to be compiled and displayed in a neat and communicative	
Optional/General comments	Writing research in order to pay attention to a more academic grammar and bibliography to better reproduced journals are updated and relevance.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ngakan Ketut Acwin Dwijendra
Department, University & Country	Department Of Architecture, University Of Udayana, Indonesia