



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_35070
Title of the Manuscript:	Is It Time For A Multilateral Voluntary Carbon Offset Regulatory Agency?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	None	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Red through gin to eliminate minor errors such s the following: On line 47: auditing and validation is ~~control~~ controlled..... On line 134: 80% of offset ~~developers~~ brokers On line 166: Therefore, it must be ~~man~~ manned....	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It was a well organized mobilization and analysis of opinions from 4 key players, which enabled to confirm existence of dubious/fake in the voluntary carbon market, and the suspect causes; hence, generate measures to mitigate and enhance the effectiveness and trustiness of the industry.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Antipas T. S. Massawe
Department, University & Country	Retired mining eng. Lecturer at the University of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania