



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_35602
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Infiltration Capacities by Field Measurement and Selected Emperical Models for Irrigated Agriculture
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Keywords: infiltration capacities, empirical models, irrigated agriculture Line 28: Haghaibi et al., 2011 not in the reference list. Is it Haghiabi in line 268? Check the spellings and correct as appropriate Line 35: It is one of the most... Line 37: Oyonarte et al not in the reference list. Is it Oyenarte in line 313? Check the spelling and correct as appropriate Line 40 – 52: back it up with appropriate literature/source Line 87: Agbede and Ojeniyi (2009) not in the reference. Line 224: Idike and Ejieji, (1993) not in the reference list Line 231, 236, 238, 240, 242, 247, 251, 253, 254, 256, 315. 317, 323, 326 and 330 appears in the reference but not referred to in the text	Spellings checked and corrected Corrected Spellings checked and corrected Agreed and backed up with appropriate references Included in the reference list Included in the reference list Removed
Minor REVISION comments	Good research work	We appreciate your acknowledgement
Optional/General comments	Please check your reference and make sure they are properly referenced/acknowledgeled.	All reference lists have been checked and cited accordingly