



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_35194
Title of the Manuscript:	An Assessment of Sustainable Energy-Efficient Strategies for Retrofitted Building Development in Abuja, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Comments on Introduction: i) Very long Introduction. It must be summarize but I suggest that may separate part to be as a problem definition. Comments on Results and Discussion: i) This part contains results and discussion (page 7,8,9) then Literature Review (page 10) and there is a lack of international previous examples. But it's better, to begin with, Literature Review first which must be throughout the national and international previous experiences. Then can determine the number of the strategies used for retrofitting building development. In addition to the guidelines of the energy efficiency building which issued by the key local authorities in the study area. ii) Green Star building rating system needs to more clarification. Comments on reference: i) The referencing should comply with the Journal's style. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].	Comments on Introduction i) The Researcher was following the template of 'a <i>factual background, clearly defined problem, proposed solution, a brief literature survey and the scope and justification of the work done</i>' Comments on Results and Discussion: i) The paragraphs being referred to are discussions summarized from the interview with the 36 building and energy savings experts. ii) Green Star building rating system has been explained. Comments on reference: i) This has been corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Comments on Abstract: i) Abstract well written but I hereby suggest that there is a sentence not considerable “The result revealed that although, majority of the respondents in the study area were married (59%) and graduates (41%) ” why choose this two categories only to be here?	i) This has been corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	- The paper needs extensive editing for grammar, style, spelling. Medium Plagiarism Detected 27% by plagiarism checker x - the Document needs Selective Improvement.	- This has been actualised.