



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_35070
Title of the Manuscript:	Is It Time For A Multilateral Voluntary Carbon Offset Regulatory Agency?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Methodology section is very shallow. A global English revision should be made. In the conclusion, a successful narrative review should following the next characteristics: be well-structured, synthesise the available evidence pertaining to the topic, convey a clear message and draw conclusions supported by data analysis.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript organization is not clear and the arguments are hard to follow, often not supported by the data. Specific comments: Line 18- When we read "That nations need to reduce Green House Gases (GHG)..", surely the author or authors wants to say "The nations need to reduce Green House Gases...". The used sentence seems to be an obligation. If we change for "The" becomes a statement. Lines 22 to 23- When we read "...targets to less than 2 degrees Celsius (or less than 1990 levels)..", we must read "...limiting global temperature rise to 2 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial levels (or less than 1990 Green House Gases emission levels)...". Lines 52 and 53- It is proposed to change the sentence "However, this global VCO value chain system is not without its teething problems." for another one without common colloquial words/expressions because it is an informal, slang style of English that should be reserved primarily	Sentence is correct. Using the word 'target' sounds more technical as it is what is common in the Kyoto protocol document and among environment experts. It shows it is an actionable plan. Corrected!



SDI Review Form 1.6

	for speech, as it can seem unprofessional and sloppy in written text. Line5 97 and 98- A few sentences need to be grammatically corrected because some of them have only one subject or one predicate. For example the sentence “A market type solution towards the asymmetry information problem (market failure).” Need a conclusion. An English revision f the manuscript it is proposed. Lines 111 and 112- Probably when we read “snowball effect”, we want to read “snowball sampling”. Lines 138- Please explain the meaning of Global South and Global North. Lines 165 and 166- The sentence “It is imperative that this agency’s work has to be thorough and technically based; therefore, it must be man by relevant experts complete with modern equipments..”, need an English revision. Lines 175 to 180- It is important to clarify the meaning of the sentences: “There is no need perturbing whether investment or production can be decoupled from environment conservation, the right policies can make business motives be tandem with environment sustainability.” and “The business motive – environmental sustainability model certainly proves that the power for real change still resides in the ordinary people who flock markets and malls and in their shopping preferences lay the power to shape humanity’s’ future and eventually protect mother earth.”. These are not conclusions but only opinions.	Corrected! Corrected! Explanations added. Done! Explanation added to sharpen the conclusion.
Optional/General comments		