



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_13299
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATIONS MICRORELIEF OF THE SURFACE, DIELECTRIC PROPERTIES AND FLUORESCENCE SPECTRUM OF NATURAL COMPOSITE - FISH SCALES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The author must give more informations on the results obtained in the abstract which is very short in term of informations.- In the introduction: author must give the state of the art and the literature concerning research in this area and not to give the results from his studies.- In the experimental procedure: the author could gain in clarity presenting this experimental procedure in two or three sections: electrical measurements, optical measurements and so...- Results and Discussion: The author's results are not exploiting correctly. It didn't explain the causes of its results. <p>Finally, I suggest the author to improve the paper english and change the procedure order.</p> <p>I think that the results found are very interesting</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	but the explanation is not enough.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Senegal