



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_15320
Title of the Manuscript:	PETROPHYSICAL ANALYSIS AND VOLUMETRIC ESTIMATION OF OTU FIELD, NIGER DELTA NIGERIA, USING 3D SEISMIC AND WELL LOG DATA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	none	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT: There is a lot of information and well described, but I presume that the index could be more concise .</p> <p>Figure 1: Please insert the large African continent map, since the readers do not identify where is the location of this area in the world. It is also recommended to add the location ravel for C10, D10 and D31 points on the map.</p> <p>Line 122: Figure 3 --> Figure 2 ??</p> <p>Figure 2: We need the scale of each axis (both for horizontal and vertical).</p> <p>Figure 3a, 3b, 3c: Explain more details for each panels in these figures, in the figure captions.</p>	<p>A Nigerian Map instead is inserted in the area of Niger Delta shown.</p> <p>Corrections effected shown</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The paper entitled " PETROPHYSICAL ANALYSIS AND VOLUMETRIC ESTIMATION OF OTU FIELD, FIELD, NIGER DELTA NIGERIA, USING 3D SEISMIC AND WELL LOG DATA " is well written and organized in its context. The sentences are well written and very less revision. However, the figures are not so clearly identical for the authors' intention by some lacks of information. It would be grad the authors could revise the manuscript and figures according as the above recommendation.</p>	