



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_13299
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATIONS MICRORELIEF OF THE SURFACE, DIELECTRIC PROPERTIES AND FLUORESCENCE SPECTRUM OF NATURAL COMPOSITE - FISH SCALES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The ABSTRACT has not been written in a proper way. It should include the research objective, parameters to be studied, methods and final outcomes.</li> <li>2. The scales and axis headings in Figs. 1 and 2 are illegible and should be improved.</li> <li>3. The CONCLUSIONS section should include the brief summary of the outcomes.</li> <li>4. Fig. 7 does not look like original and may be modified for getting desired results. I have great concern on this issue.</li> <li>5. The whole paper should be revised for typographical and grammatical errors.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. We edited the abstract.</li> <li>2. We corrected all figures in manuscript.</li> <li>3. The figure 7 is original and we edited it.</li> <li>4. The whole paper revised by for typographical and grammatical errors.</li> </ol>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		