



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_13821
Title of the Manuscript:	GEOPHYSICAL DETERMINATION OF THE CAUSES OF EROSION IN SOME PARTS OF ABIA STATE, SOUTHEASTERN NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The word 'geophysical' is a general term used in manuscript title. Can use 'electrical resistivity' term. 2. State why do you select the schlumberger electrode configuration, but not others in your study? 3. Geology description of the studied site should be included in this manuscript. 4. Please state all the related parameters that you used in VES method, such as output current etc. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Geophysical indeed is a general term and helps in bringing the idea of method used to non- geophysicists. But when electrical resistivity term is used, only geophysicists gets the initial idea. 2. The choice of VES goes with Schlumberger configuration, therefore stated in lines 241 to 253 about VES justifies the choice. 3. The geology of the area now outlined in lines 136 to 217. 4. Parameters used now stated in lines 259 to 261.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	